On the Solutions of an Equation Involving the Smarandache Power Function SP(n)

PAN Xiao-wei

(Department of Common Course, Xi'an Medical University, Xi'an 710021, China; Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China)

Abstract: For any positive integer n, the famous Smarandache power function SP(n) is defined as the smallest positive integer m such that $n|m^m$, where m and n have the same prime divisors. The main purpose of this paper is using the elementary methods to study the positive integer solutions of an equation involving the Smarandache power function SP(n) and obtain some interesting results. At the same time, we give an open problem about the related equation.

Key words: Smarandache power function; equation; positive integer solutions 2000 MR Subject Classification: 11B83 CLC number: O156.4 Document code: A

Article ID: 1002-0462 (2008) 03-0437-05

§1. Introduction and Results

For any positive integer n, we define the Smarandache power function SP(n) as the smallest positive integer m such that $n|m^m$, where n and m have the same prime divisors. That is,

$$SP(n) = \min\left\{m: \ n|m^m, \ m \in \mathbb{N}, \ \prod_{p|n} p = \prod_{p|m} p\right\}.$$

If *n* runs through all natural numbers, then we can get the Smarandache power function sequence $\{SP(n)\}$: 1, 2, 3, 2, 5, 6, 7, 4, 3, 10, 11, 6, 13, 14, 15, 4, 17, 6, 19, 10,

In reference^[1], professor Smarandache asked us to study the properties of the sequence $\{SP(n)\}$. From the definition of SP(n) we can easily get the following conclusions:

Received date: 2007-05-10

Foundation item: Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China(10671155)

Biography: PAN Xiao-wei(1982-), female, native of Xi'an, Shannxi, Ph.D., engages in analytic number theory.

If $n = p^{\alpha}$, where p be a prime, then we have

$$SP(n) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } 1 \le \alpha \le p; \\ p^2, & \text{if } p+1 \le \alpha \le 2p^2; \\ p^3, & \text{if } 2p^2+1 \le \alpha \le 3p^3; \\ \cdots \\ p^{\alpha}, & \text{if } (\alpha-1)p^{\alpha}+1 \le \alpha \le \alpha p^{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ denotes the factorization of n into prime powers.

If $\alpha_i \leq p_i$ for all $\alpha_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$, then we have SP(n) = U(n), where $U(n) = \prod_{p|n} p$, $\prod_{p|n}$ denotes the product over all different prime divisors of n. It is clear that SP(n) is not a multiplicative function. For example, SP(8) = 4, SP(3) = 3, $SP(24) = 6 \neq SP(3) \times SP(8)$. But for almost m and n with (m, n) = 1, we have $SP(mn) = SP(m) \cdot SP(n)$. In reference^[2], doctor XU Zhe-feng had studied the mean value properties of SP(n), and obtained some sharper asymptotic formulas, one of them as follows:

$$\sum_{n \le x} SP(n) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \right) + O\left(x^{\frac{3}{2} + \epsilon}\right),$$

where ϵ denotes any fixed positive number, and \prod denotes the product over all primes.

In this paper, we shall use the elementary methods to study the positive integer solutions of an equation involving the Smarandache power function SP(n), and prove the following conclusion:

Theorem For any positive integer m and k > 1, the equation

$$SP(n_1) + SP(n_2) + \dots + SP(n_k) = m \cdot SP(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k),$$
(1.1)

has infinite positive integer solutions (n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k) .

§2. Proof of the Theorem

In this section, we shall complete the proof of our theorem. First we need the following two important Lemmas.

Lemma 1 There exists an absolutely constant $c_1 > 0$ such that every odd number $N \ge c_1$ can be represented as a sum of three odd primes.

This Lemma is called the famous Three Primes Theorem. Its proof can be found in reference [3] and [4].

Lemma 1 can also be extended as follows: There exists an absolutely constant $c_1 > 0$ such that every odd number $N_k \ge c_1$ can be represented as a sum of 2k + 1 odd primes.

439

Lemma 2 There exists an absolutely constant $c_1 > 0$ such that every large even integer $N \ge c_1$ can be represented a sum of a prime and an almost prime having at most two prime factors.

This is the famous Chen's Theorem. Its proof can also be found in reference^[3].

Now we use these two Lemmas to prove our Theorem. If m and k are odd numbers, then $k \geq 3$. Let $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ be the factorization of m into prime powers, then for prime P large enough, from Lemma 1 we know that there exist primes q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_s satisfying the equation:

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s+1}P = q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_k.$$
(2.1)

Then taking $n_i = q_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ in equation (1), from the properties of SP(n) and equation (2) we may immediately deduce that

$$SP(q_{1}) + SP(q_{2}) + \dots + SP(q_{k})$$

= $q_{1} + q_{2} + \dots + q_{k} = p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+1}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+1} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+1}P$
= $p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}} \cdot p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{s}P = m \cdot p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{s}P$
= $m \cdot SP(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+1}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+1} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+1}P)$
= $m \cdot SP(q_{1} + q_{2} + \dots + q_{k}).$

That is to say, our theorem is correct if m and k are odd numbers.

If m be an odd number and k be an even number, then we discuss it in two cases:

Case (a) k = 2. We still let $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the factorization of m into prime powers, then for prime P large enough, from Lemma 2 we know that

$$2p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s+1}P = q_1 + q_2$$

or

$$2p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s+1}P = q_1 + \bar{q_2}\bar{q_3}.$$

where q_1 , $\bar{q_2}$ and $\bar{q_3}$ are primes. In any case, we still have

$$SP(q_{1}) + SP(q_{2}) = q_{1} + q_{2}$$

= $2p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+1}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+1}\cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+1}P$
= $m \cdot 2p_{1}p_{2}\cdots p_{s}P$
= $m \cdot SP(2p_{1}p_{2}\cdots p_{s}P)$
= $m \cdot SP(2p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+1}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+1}\cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+1}P)$
= $m \cdot SP(q_{1} + q_{2})$

or

$$SP(q_1) + SP(\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3) = q_1 + \bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3$$
$$= 2p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s+1}P$$

$$= m \cdot 2p_1p_2 \cdots p_s P$$

= $m \cdot SP(2p_1p_2 \cdots p_s P)$
= $m \cdot SP(2p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s+1}P)$
= $m \cdot SP(q_1 + \bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3).$

Case (b) $k = 2k_1, k_1 \ge 2$. This time from Lemma 1 we have

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P = q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_{k-1}+2$$

Using the same method of the above we can prove that the theorem is also correct, see reference [5].

Next, we'll discuss the equation (1) in which m be an even number. We still let $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the factorization of m into prime powers, and discuss the equation (1) in the following three cases:

(I) If k = 2, then from Lemma 2 we know that, $p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P$ is a sum of a prime and an almost prime having at most two prime factors. That is,

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P = p_1' + q_1'$$

or

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P = p_1' + \bar{q_1'}\bar{q_2'},$$

where P be a prime large enough, and p'_1 , $\bar{q}'_i(i = 1, 2)$ are primes. Using the same method of the above we also get that the left hand side of the equation (1) is equal to its right hand side.

(II) If $k = 2k_1(k_1 > 1)$, then we have

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P = q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{k-1} + 3,$$

where P denotes a prime large enough, $q_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1)$ are primes.

Hence,

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P-3 = q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_{k-1},$$

which satisfy Lemma 1, so (1) is also holds.

(III) If $k = 2k_1 + 1(k_1 > 1)$, then we have

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P = q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_{k-1}+2$$

and

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1}p_2^{\alpha_2+1}\cdots p_k^{\alpha_k+1}P-2 = q_1+q_2+\cdots+q_{k-1}$$

Since k - 1 is an even number, so this case is the same as in (II). Thus (1) is also holds.

Since P is a prime large enough, hence for any $m \in Z^+$, and k > 1, the equation (1) has infinite positive integer solutions (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) . This completes the proof of our Theorem.

§3. An Open Problem

If we put the number m in the right hand side of the equation (1), how about the positive integer solutions of the equation:

$$m \cdot (SP(n_1) + SP(n_2) + \dots + SP(n_k)) = SP(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k).$$
(3.1)

This is an open problem.

We guess that (3) also has infinite positive integer solutions (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) .

[References]

- [1] SMARANDACHE F. Collected Papers[M]. Bucharest: Tempus Publ Hse, 1998.
- [2] XU Zhe-feng. On the mean value of the Smarandache power function[J]. Acta Mathematica Sinica(Chinese series), 2006, 49(1): 77-80.
- [3] PAN Cheng-dong, PAN Cheng-biao. Goldbach Conjecture[M]. Beijing: Science Press, 1981, 128-132, 225-238.
- [4] PAN Cheng-dong, PAN Cheng-biao. The Elementary Number Theory[M]. Beijing: Beijing University Press, 2003.
- [5] TOM M. Apostol. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.