

## NEUTROSOPHIC HYPERIDEALS OF $\Gamma$ -SEMIHYPERRINGS

Debabrata Mandal

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to introduced neutrosophic hyperideals of a  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring and consider some operations on them to investigate some of its basic properties.

### 1. Introduction

Hyperstructures, in particular hypergroups, were introduced in 1934 by Marty [12] at the eighth congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. The notion of algebraic hyperstructure has been developed in the following decades and nowadays by many authors, especially Corsini [2, 3], Davvaz [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], Mittas [13], Spartalis [16], Stratigopoulos [17] and Vougiouklis [20]. Basic definitions and notions concerning hyperstructure theory can be found in [2].

The classical notion of rings was extended by hyperrings, substituting both or only one of the binary operations of addition and multiplication by hyperoperations. Hyperrings were introduced by several authors in different ways. If only the addition is a hyperoperation and the multiplication is a binary operation, then we say that  $R$  is a Krasner hyperring [4]. Davvaz [5] has defined some relations in hyperrings and prove isomorphism theorems. For a more comprehensive introduction about hyperrings, we refer to [9].

As a generalization of a ring, semiring was introduced by Vandiver [18] in 1934. A semiring is a structure  $(R; +; \cdot; 0)$  with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  such that  $(R; +; 0)$  is a commutative semigroup,  $(R; \cdot)$  a semigroup, multiplication is distributive from both sides over addition and  $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . In [19], Vougiouklis generalizes the notion of hyperring and named it as semihyperring, where both the addition and multiplication are hyperoperation. Semihyperrings are a generalization of Krasner hyperrings. Note that a semiring with zero is a

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y99, 20N20, 20N25.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyperideal, Neutrosophic, Semihyperring.

semihyperring. Davvaz in [12] studied the notion of semihyperrings in a general form.

The concept of a fuzzy set, introduced by Zadeh in his classical paper [21], provides a natural framework for generalizing some of the notions of classical algebraic structures. As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by Atanassov [1] in 1986, where besides the degree of membership of each element there was considered a degree of non-membership with (membership value + non-membership value)  $\leq 1$ . There are also several well-known theories, for instances, rough sets, vague sets, interval-valued sets etc. which can be considered as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties.

In 2005, inspired from the sport games (winning/tie/ defeating), votes, from (yes /NA /no), from decision making(making a decision/ hesitating/not making), from (accepted /pending /rejected) etc. and guided by the fact that the law of excluded middle did not work any longer in the modern logics, F. Smarandache [15] combined the non-standard analysis [8, 18] with a tri-component logic/set/probability theory and with philosophy and introduced Neutrosophic set which represents the main distinction between fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy logic/set. Here he included the middle component, i.e., the neutral/ indeterminate/ unknown part (besides the truth/membership and falsehood/non-membership components that both appear in fuzzy logic/set) to distinguish between 'absolute membership and relative membership' or 'absolute non-membership and relative non-membership'.

Using this concept, in this paper, I have defined neutrosophic ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semihyperrings and study some of its basic properties.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $H$  be a non-empty set and let  $P(H)$  be the set of all non-empty subsets of  $H$ . A hyperoperation on  $H$  is a map  $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P(H)$  and the couple  $(H, \circ)$  is called a hypergroupoid. If  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty subsets of  $H$  and  $x \in H$ , then we denote

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b, \quad x \circ A = x \circ A \text{ and } A \circ x = A \circ x$$

A hypergroupoid  $(H, \circ)$  is called a semihypergroup if for all  $x, y, z \in H$  we have  $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$  which means that

$$\bigcup_{u \in x \circ y} u \circ z = \bigcup_{v \in y \circ z} x \circ v.$$

A semihyperring is an algebraic structure  $(R, +, \cdot)$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $(R, +)$  is a commutative semihypergroup;
- (ii)  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semihypergroup;
- (iii) Multiplication is distributive with respect to hyperoperation  $+$  that is  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ ,  $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$
- (iv)  $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

A semihyperring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called commutative if and only if  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . Vougiouklis in [19] and Davvaz in [6] studied the notion of semihyperrings in a general form, i.e., both the sum and product are hyperoperations.

A semihyperring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  with identity  $1_R \in R$  means that  $1_R \cdot x = x \cdot 1_R = x$  for all  $x \in R$ . An element  $x \in R$  is called unit if there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $1_R = x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .

A nonempty subset  $S$  of a semihyperring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called a sub-semihyperring if  $a + b \subseteq S$  and  $a \cdot b \subseteq S$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . A left hyperideal of a semihyperring  $R$  is a non-empty subset  $I$  of  $R$  satisfying

- (i) If  $a, b \in I$  then  $a + b \subseteq I$ ;
- (ii) If  $a \in I$  and  $s \in R$  then  $s \cdot a \subseteq I$ ;
- (iii)  $I \neq S$ .

A right hyperideal of  $R$  is defined in an analogous manner and an hyperideal of  $R$  is a nonempty subset which is both a left ideal and a right ideal of  $R$ . We now recall the definition of  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring from [11].

Let  $R$  be a commutative semihypergroup and  $\Gamma$  be a commutative group. Then  $R$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring if there exists a map  $R \times \Gamma \times R \rightarrow P(R)$   $((a, \alpha, b) \mapsto a\alpha b)$  for  $a, b \in R, \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $P(R)$ —the set of all non-empty subsets of  $R$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$ ,
- (ii)  $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$ ,
- (iii)  $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$ ,
- (iv)  $a\alpha(b\beta c) = (a\alpha b)\beta c$

for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

We say that  $R$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring with zero, if there exists  $0 \in R$  such that  $a \in a + 0$  and  $0 \in 0\alpha a, 0 \in a\alpha 0$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

For more results on semirings and neutrosophic sets we refer to [6, 10] and [15] respectively.

### 3. Main Results

DEFINITION 3.1. [15] A neutrosophic set  $A$  on the universe of discourse  $X$  is defined as

$$A = \{ \langle x, A^T(x), A^I(x), A^F(x) \rangle, x \in X \},$$

where

$$A^T, A^I, A^F : X \rightarrow ]^{-0}, 1^{+}[$$

and

$$^{-0} \leq A^T(x) + A^I(x) + A^F(x) \leq 3^{+}.$$

From philosophical point of view, the neutrosophic set takes the value from real standard or non-standard subsets of  $]^{-0}, 1^{+}[$ . But in real life application in scientific and engineering problems it is difficult to use neutrosophic set with value from real standard or non-standard subset of  $]^{-0}, 1^{+}[$ . Hence we consider the neutrosophic set which takes the value from the subset of  $[0, 1]$ .

Throughout this section unless otherwise mentioned  $R$  denotes a  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $\mu = (\mu^T, \mu^I, \mu^F)$  be a non empty neutrosophic subset of a semihyperring  $R$  (i.e. anyone of  $\mu^T(x)$ ,  $\mu^I(x)$  or  $\mu^F(x)$  not equal to zero for some  $x \in S$ ). Then  $\mu$  is called a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$  if

- (i)  $\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^T(z) \geq \min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\}$ ,  $\inf_{z \in x\gamma y} \mu^T(z) \geq \mu^T(y)$
- (ii)  $\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^I(z) \geq \frac{\mu^I(x)+\mu^I(y)}{2}$ ,  $\inf_{z \in x\gamma y} \mu^I(z) \geq \mu^I(y)$
- (iii)  $\sup_{z \in x+y} \mu^F(x+y) \leq \max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\}$ ,  $\sup_{z \in x\gamma y} \mu^F(z) \leq \mu^F(y)$ .

for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Similarly we can define neutrosophic right hyperideal of  $R$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\Gamma = Z_2$ . Define the hyperoperation  $\oplus$  and the multiplication  $\odot$  on  $R$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
$a$	$a$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$
$b$	$\{a, b\}$	$b$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$
$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$
$d$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$d$

and

$\odot$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
$a$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$
$b$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$
$c$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$
$d$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$

Also, for  $x, y \in R$  and  $\alpha \in Z_2$ , define  $x\alpha y = \{a, b\}$ . Then  $(R, \oplus, \odot)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring.

Define neutrosophic subset  $\mu$  of  $R$  by  $\mu(a) = (0.5, 0.3, 0.4)$ ,  $\mu(b) = (0.6, 0.3, 0.4)$ ,  $\mu(c) = (0.7, 0.4, 0.2)$ ,  $\mu(d) = (0.8, 0.4, 0.1)$ . Then  $\mu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .

THEOREM 3.1. A neutrosophic set  $\mu$  of  $R$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$  if and only if any level subsets  $\mu_t^T := \{x \in S : \mu^T(x) \geq t, t \in [0, 1]\}$ ,  $\mu_t^I := \{x \in S : \mu^I(x) \geq t, t \in [0, 1]\}$  and  $\mu_t^F := \{x \in S : \mu^F(x) \leq t, t \in [0, 1]\}$  are left hyperideals of  $R$ .

PROOF. Assume that the neutrosophic set  $\mu$  of  $R$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ . Then anyone of  $\mu^T$ ,  $\mu^I$  or  $\mu^F$  is not equal to zero for some  $x \in S$  i.e., in other words anyone of  $\mu_t^T$ ,  $\mu_t^I$  or  $\mu_t^F$  is not equal to zero for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So it is sufficient to consider that all of them are not equal to zero.

Suppose  $x, y \in \mu_t = (\mu_t^T, \mu_t^I, \mu_t^F)$  and  $s \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^T(z) &\geq \min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\} \geq \min\{t, t\} = t \\ \inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^I(z) &\geq \frac{\mu^I(x)+\mu^I(y)}{2} \geq \frac{t+t}{2} = t \\ \sup_{z \in x+y} \mu^F(x+y) &\leq \max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\} \leq \max\{t, t\} = t \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $x + y \subseteq \mu_t^T, \mu_t^I, \mu_t^F$  i.e.,  $x + y \subseteq \mu_t$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in s\gamma x} \mu^T(z) &\geq \mu^T(x) \geq t \\ \inf_{z \in s\gamma x} \mu^I(z) &\geq \mu^I(x) \geq t \\ \sup_{z \in s\gamma x} \mu^F(z) &\leq \mu^F(x) \leq t \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $s\gamma x \subseteq \mu_t$ . Therefore  $\mu_t$  is a left hyperideal of  $R$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mu_t (\neq \phi)$  is a left hyperideal of  $S$ . If possible  $\mu$  is not a neutrosophic left hyperideal. Then for  $x, y \in S$  anyone of the following inequality is true.

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^T(z) &< \min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\} \\ \inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^I(z) &< \frac{\mu^I(x) + \mu^I(y)}{2} \\ \sup_{z \in x+y} \mu^F(x+y) &> \max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

For the first inequality, choose  $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}[\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^T(z) + \min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\}]$ . Then  $\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^T(z) < t_1 < \min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\}$  which implies  $x, y \in \mu_{t_1}^T$  but  $x + y \not\subseteq \mu_{t_1}^T$  - a contradiction.

For the second inequality, choose  $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^I(z) + \min\{\mu^I(x), \mu^I(y)\}]$ . Then  $\inf_{z \in x+y} \mu^I(z) < t_2 < \frac{\mu^I(x) + \mu^I(y)}{2}$  which implies  $x, y \in \mu_{t_2}^I$  but  $x + y \not\subseteq \mu_{t_2}^I$  - a contradiction.

For the third inequality, choose  $t_3 = \frac{1}{2}[\sup_{z \in x+y} \mu^F(x+y) + \max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\}]$ . Then  $\sup_{z \in x+y} \mu^F(x+y) > t_3 > \max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\}$  which implies  $x, y \in \mu_{t_3}^F$  but  $x + y \not\subseteq \mu_{t_3}^F$  - a contradiction.

So, in any case we have a contradiction to the fact that  $\mu_t$  is a left hyperideal of  $R$ . Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.3.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two neutrosophic subsets of  $S$ . The intersection of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^T \cap \nu^T)(x) &= \min\{\mu^T(x), \nu^T(x)\}, \\ (\mu^I \cap \nu^I)(x) &= \min\{\mu^I(x), \nu^I(x)\}, \\ (\mu^F \cap \nu^F)(x) &= \max\{\mu^F(x), \nu^F(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in S$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Intersection of a nonempty collection of neutrosophic left hyperideals is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\mu_i : i \in I\}$  be a non-empty family of neutrosophic left hyperideals of  $S$  and  $x, y \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in x+y} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^T)(z) &= \inf_{z \in x+y} \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^T(z) \\ &\geq \inf_{i \in I} \{\min\{\mu_i^T(x), \mu_i^T(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^T(x), \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{(\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^T)(x), (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^T)(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{z \in x+y} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^I)(z) &= \inf_{z \in x+y} \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(z) \\
&\geq \inf_{i \in I} \frac{\mu_i^I(x) + \mu_i^I(y)}{2} \\
&= \frac{\inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(x) + \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(y)}{2} \\
&= \frac{\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(x) + \bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(y)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z \in x+y} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^F)(z) &= \sup_{z \in x+y} \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i^F(z) \\
&\leq \sup_{i \in I} \{\max\{\mu_i^F(x), \mu_i^F(y)\}\} \\
&= \max\{\sup_{i \in I} \mu_i^F(x), \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i^F(y)\} \\
&= \max\{(\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^F)(x), (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^F)(y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\inf_{z \in s\gamma x} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^T)(z) = \inf_{z \in s\gamma x} \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^T(z) \geq \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^T(x) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^T)(x).$$

$$\inf_{z \in s\gamma x} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^I)(z) = \inf_{z \in s\gamma x} \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(z) \geq \inf_{i \in I} \mu_i^I(x) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^I)(x).$$

$$\sup_{z \in s\gamma x} (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^F)(z) = \sup_{z \in s\gamma x} \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i^F(z) \leq \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i^F(x) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i^F)(x).$$

Hence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.4. Let  $R, S$  be  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be a homomorphism if for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$

- (i)  $f(a + b) \subseteq f(a) + f(b)$
- (ii)  $f(a\gamma b) \subseteq f(a)\gamma f(b)$
- (iii)  $f(0_R) = 0_S$  where  $0_R$  and  $0_S$  are the zeroes of  $R$  and  $S$  respectively.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring. Then

- (i) If  $\phi$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $S$ , then  $f^{-1}(\phi)$  [14] is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is surjective morphism and  $\mu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ , then  $f(\mu)$  [14] is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $S$ .

PROOF. Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of  $\Gamma$ -semihyperring.

(i) Let  $\phi$  be a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $S$  and  $r, s \in R$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{z \in r+s} f^{-1}(\phi^T)(z) &= \inf_{z \in r+s} \phi^T(f(z)) \geq \inf_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)+f(s)} \phi^T(f(z)) \\
&\geq \min\{\phi^T(f(r)), \phi^T(f(s))\} = \min\{(f^{-1}(\phi^T))(r), (f^{-1}(\phi^T))(s)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{z \in r+s} f^{-1}(\phi^I)(z) &= \inf_{z \in r+s} \phi^I(f(z)) \geq \inf_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)+f(s)} \phi^I(f(z)) \\
&\geq \frac{\phi^I(f(r)) + \phi^I(f(s))}{2} = \frac{(f^{-1}(\phi^I))(r) + (f^{-1}(\phi^I))(s)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in r+s} f^{-1}(\phi^F)(z) &= \sup_{z \in r+s} \phi^F(f(z)) \leq \sup_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)+f(s)} \phi^F(f(z)) \\ &\leq \max\{\phi^F(f(r)), \phi^F(f(s))\} = \max\{(f^{-1}(\phi^F))(r), (f^{-1}(\phi^F))(s)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in r\gamma s} (f^{-1}(\phi^T))(z) &= \inf_{z \in r\gamma s} \phi^T(f(z)) \geq \inf_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)\gamma f(s)} \phi^T(f(z)) \\ &\geq \phi^T(f(s)) = (f^{-1}(\phi^T))(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in r\gamma s} (f^{-1}(\phi^I))(z) &= \inf_{z \in r\gamma s} \phi^I(f(z)) \geq \inf_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)\gamma f(s)} \phi^I(f(z)) \\ &\geq \phi^I(f(s)) = (f^{-1}(\phi^I))(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in r\gamma s} (f^{-1}(\phi^F))(z) &= \sup_{z \in r\gamma s} \phi^F(f(z)) \leq \sup_{f(z) \subseteq f(r)\gamma f(s)} \phi^F(f(z)) \\ &\leq \phi^F(f(s)) = (f^{-1}(\phi^F))(s) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f^{-1}(\phi)$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .

(ii) Suppose  $\mu$  be a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$  and  $x', y' \in S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} (f(\mu^T))(z') &= \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(z')} \mu^T(z) \\ &\geq \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^T(z) \geq \sup\{\min\{\mu^T(x), \mu^T(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu^T(x), \sup_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^T(y)\} = \min\{(f(\mu^T))(x'), (f(\mu^T))(y')\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} (f(\mu^I))(z') &= \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(z')} \mu^I(z) \\ &\geq \inf_{z' \in x'+y'} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^I(z) \geq \sup \frac{\mu^I(x) + \mu^I(y)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu^I(x) + \sup_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^I(y)] = \frac{1}{2}[(f(\mu^I))(x') + (f(\mu^I))(y')] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z' \in x'+y'} (f(\mu^F))(x' + y') &= \sup_{z' \in x'+y'} \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(x'+y')} \mu^F(z) \\ &\leq \sup_{z' \in x'+y'} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^F(z) \leq \inf\{\max\{\mu^F(x), \mu^F(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\inf_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu^F(x), \inf_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^F(y)\} = \max\{(f(\mu^F))(x'), (f(\mu^F))(y')\} \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z' \in x'\gamma' y'} f(\mu^T)(z') &= \inf_{z' \in x'\gamma' y'} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(z')} \mu^T(z) \geq \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^T(z) \\ &\geq \sup_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^T(y) = f(\mu^T)(y') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{z' \in x' \gamma' y'} f(\mu^I)(z') &= \inf_{z' \in x' \gamma' y'} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(z')} \mu^I(z) \\
&\geq \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^I(z) \\
&\geq \sup_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^I(y) = f(\mu^I)(y') \\
\sup_{z' \in x' \gamma' y'} f(\mu^F)(z') &= \sup_{z' \in x' \gamma' y'} \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(z')} \mu^F(z) \leq \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(x'), y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^F(z) \\
&\leq \inf_{y \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu^F(y) = f(\mu^F)(y')
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(\mu)$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two neutrosophic subsets of  $R$ . The cartesian product of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu^T \times \nu^T)(x, y) &= \min\{\mu^T(x), \nu^T(y)\} \\
(\mu^I \times \nu^I)(x, y) &= \frac{\mu^I(x) + \nu^I(y)}{2} \\
(\mu^F \times \nu^F)(x, y) &= \max\{\mu^F(x), \nu^F(y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two neutrosophic left hyperideals of  $R$ . Then  $\mu \times \nu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R \times R$ .

PROOF. Let  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in R \times R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^T \times \nu^T)(z_1, z_2) &= \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} (\mu^T \times \nu^T)(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} \min\{\mu^T(z_1), \nu^T(z_2)\} \\
&\geq \min\{\min\{\mu^T(x_1), \mu^T(y_1)\}, \min\{\nu^T(x_2), \nu^T(y_2)\}\} \\
&= \min\{\min\{\mu^T(x_1), \nu^T(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu^T(y_1), \nu^T(y_2)\}\} \\
&= \min\{(\mu^T \times \nu^T)(x_1, x_2), (\mu^T \times \nu^T)(y_1, y_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^I \times \nu^I)(z_1, z_2) &= \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} (\mu^I \times \nu^I)(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} \frac{\mu^I(z_1) + \nu^I(z_2)}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\mu^I(x_1) + \mu^I(y_1)}{2} + \frac{\nu^I(x_2) + \nu^I(y_2)}{2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\mu^I(x_1) + \nu^I(x_2)}{2} + \frac{\mu^I(y_1) + \nu^I(y_2)}{2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{(\mu^I \times \nu^I)(x_1, x_2) + (\mu^I \times \nu^I)(y_1, y_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^F \times \nu^F)(z_1, z_2) &= \sup_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} (\mu^F \times \nu^F)(z_1, z_2) \\
&= \sup_{z_1 \in x_1 + y_1, z_2 \in x_2 + y_2} \max\{\mu^F(z_1), \nu^F(z_2)\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\mu^F(x_1), \mu^F(y_1)\}, \max\{\nu^F(x_2), \nu^F(y_2)\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\mu^F(x_1), \nu^F(x_2)\}, \max\{\mu^F(y_1), \nu^F(y_2)\}\} \\
&= \max\{(\mu^F \times \nu^F)(x_1, x_2), (\mu^F \times \nu^F)(y_1, y_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) \gamma (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^T \times \nu^T)((z_1, z_2)) &= \inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1 \gamma y_1, x_2 \gamma y_2)} (\mu^T \times \nu^T)(z_1, z_2) = \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 \gamma y_1, z_2 \in x_2 \gamma y_2} \min\{\mu^T(z_1), \nu^T(z_2)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu^T(y_1), \nu^T(y_2)\} = (\mu^T \times \nu^T)(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) \gamma (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^I \times \nu^I)((z_1, z_2)) &= \inf_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1 \gamma y_1, x_2 \gamma y_2)} (\mu^I \times \nu^I)(z_1, z_2) = \inf_{z_1 \in x_1 \gamma y_1, z_2 \in x_2 \gamma y_2} \frac{\mu^I(z_1) + \nu^I(z_2)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{\mu^I(y_1) + \nu^I(y_2)}{2} = (\mu^I \times \nu^I)(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1, x_2) \gamma (y_1, y_2)} (\mu^F \times \nu^F)((z_1, z_2)) &= \sup_{(z_1, z_2) \in (x_1 \gamma y_1, x_2 \gamma y_2)} (\mu^F \times \nu^F)(z_1, z_2) = \sup_{z_1 \in x_1 \gamma y_1, z_2 \in x_2 \gamma y_2} \max\{\mu^F(z_1), \nu^F(z_2)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mu^F(y_1), \nu^F(y_2)\} = (\mu^F \times \nu^F)(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu \times \nu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R \times R$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a neutrosophic subset of  $R$ . Then  $\mu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$  if and only if  $\mu \times \mu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R \times R$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof follows by routine verification.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.6.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two neutrosophic sets of a semiring  $R$ . Define composition of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^T \circ \nu^T(x) &= \sup_n \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(a_i), \nu^T(b_i) \} \} \\ &\quad x \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\ &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressed as above} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^I \circ \nu^I(x) &= \sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(a_i) + \nu^I(b_i)}{2n} \\ &\quad x \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\ &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressed as above} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^F \circ \nu^F(x) &= \inf_n \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(a_i), \nu^F(b_i) \} \} \\ &\quad x \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\ &= 0, \text{ if } x \text{ cannot be expressed as above} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x, a_i, b_i \in R$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two neutrosophic left hyperideals of  $R$  then  $\mu \circ \nu$  is also a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\mu, \nu$  be two neutrosophic hyperideals of  $R$  and  $x, y \in R$ . If  $x + y$  is not equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i$ , for  $a_i, b_i \in R$  and  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ , then there is nothing to proof. So, assume that  $x + y$  is not equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{z \in x+y} (\mu^T \circ \nu^T)(z) \\
&= \sup_{z \in x+y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i} \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(a_i), \nu^T(b_i) \} \} \\
&\geq \sup_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i, y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(c_i), \nu^T(d_i), \mu^T(e_i), \nu^T(f_i) \} \} \\
&= \min \left\{ \sup_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i} \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(c_i), \nu^T(d_i) \} \}, \sup_{y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(e_i), \nu^T(f_i) \} \} \right\} \\
&= \min \{ (\mu^T \circ \nu^T)(x), (\mu^T \circ \nu^T)(y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{z \in x+y} (\mu^I \circ \nu^I)(z) \\
&= \sup_{z \in x+y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(a_i) + \nu^I(b_i)}{2n} \\
&\geq \sup_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i, y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(c_i) + \nu^I(d_i) + \mu^I(e_i) + \nu^I(f_i)}{4n} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sup_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(c_i) + \nu^I(d_i)}{2n}, \sup_{y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(e_i) + \nu^I(f_i)}{2n} \right] \\
&= \frac{(\mu^I \circ \nu^I)(x) + (\mu^I \circ \nu^I)(y)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{z \in x+y} (\mu^F \circ \nu^F)(z) \\
&= \inf_{z \in x+y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i} \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(a_i), \nu^F(b_i) \} \} \\
&\leq \inf_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i, y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(c_i), \nu^F(d_i), \mu^F(e_i), \nu^F(f_i) \} \} \\
&= \max \left\{ \inf_{x \in \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \gamma_i d_i} \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(c_i), \nu^F(d_i) \} \}, \inf_{y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \delta_i f_i} \{ \min_i \{ \mu^F(e_i), \nu^F(f_i) \} \} \right\} \\
&= \max \{ (\mu^F \circ \nu^F)(x), (\mu^F \circ \nu^F)(y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{z \in x\gamma y} (\mu^T \circ \nu^T)(z) &= \sup_n \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(a_i), \nu^T(b_i) \} \} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\
 &\geq \sup_n \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(x\delta_i e_i), \nu^T(f_i) \} \} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n x\delta_i e_i \gamma_i f_i \\
 &\geq \sup_n \{ \min_i \{ \mu^T(e_i), \nu^T(f_i) \} \} = (\mu^T \circ \nu^T)(y) \\
 &\quad y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \gamma_i f_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{z \in x\gamma y} (\mu^I \circ \nu^I)(z) &= \sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(a_i) + \nu^I(b_i)}{2n} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\
 &\geq \sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(x\delta_i e_i) + \nu^I(f_i)}{2n} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n x\delta_i e_i \gamma_i f_i \\
 &\geq \sup_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu^I(e_i) + \nu^I(f_i)}{2n} = (\mu^I \circ \nu^I)(y) \\
 &\quad y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \gamma_i f_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{z \in x\gamma y} (\mu^F \circ \nu^F)(z) &= \inf_n \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(a_i), \nu^F(b_i) \} \} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i \\
 &\leq \inf_n \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(xe_i), \nu^F(f_i) \} \} \\
 &\quad z \in x\gamma y \in \sum_{i=1}^n x\delta_i e_i \gamma_i f_i \\
 &\leq \inf_n \{ \max_i \{ \mu^F(e_i), \nu^F(f_i) \} \} = (\mu^F \circ \nu^F)(y) \\
 &\quad y \in \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \gamma_i f_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu \circ \nu$  is a neutrosophic left hyperideal of  $R$ . □

**Conclusion:** This is the introductory paper on neutrosophic hyperideals of semi-hyper-rings in the sense of Smarandache[15]. Our next aim to use these results to study some other properties such *prime neutrosophic hyperideal*, *semiprime neutrosophic hyperideal*, *neutrosophic bi-hyperideal*, *neutrosophic quasi-hyperideal*, *radicals* etc..

## References

- [1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20 (1986) 87 - 96.
- [2] P. Corsini, Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory", Second edition, *Aviani Editore*, Italy, 1993.
- [3] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructure Theory" *Adv. Math.*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [4] M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 6(2)(1983), 307-312.
- [5] B. Davvaz, Isomorphism theorems of hyperrings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 35(3)(2004), 321-331.
- [6] B. Davvaz, Rings derived from semihyperrings, *Algebras Groups Geom.* 20 (2003), 245-252.
- [7] B. Davvaz, Some results on congruences in semihypergroups, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 23(2) (2000), 53-58.
- [8] B. Davvaz, Polygroup Theory and Related Systems", *World scientific publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.*, Hackensack, NJ, 2013.
- [9] B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Hyperring Theory and Applications", *International Academic Press*, Palm Harbor, USA, 2007.
- [10] B. Davvaz and S. Omid, Basic notions and ptoperties of ordered semihyperrings, *Categories and General Algebraic Structure with Applications*, 4(1) (2016), In press.
- [11] S.O. Dehkordi and B. Davvaz,  $\Gamma$ -semihyperrings: Approximations and rough ideals, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* (2), (32)(3) (2009) 375- 390.
- [12] F. Marty, Sur une generalisation de la notion de groupe, *Siem Congress Math. Scandinaves*, Stockholm (1934), 45-49.
- [13] J. Mittas, Hypergroupes canoniques, *Math. Balkanica* 2(1972), 165-179.
- [14] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 35(1971), 512-517.
- [15] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 24(2005) 287 - 297.
- [16] S. Spartalis, A class of hyperrings, *Rivista Mat. Pura Appl.* 4(1989), 56-64.
- [17] D. Stratigopoulos, Hyperanneaux, hypercorps, hypermodules, hyperspaces vectoriels et leurs proprietes elementaires, *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, Paris A (269)(1969), 489-492.
- [18] H.S. Vandiver, Note on a simple type of algebra in which cancellation law of addition does not hold, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40(1934), 914-920.
- [19] T. Vougiouklis, On some representations of hypergroups, *Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont Ferrand II Math.* 26(1990), 21-29.
- [20] T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and Their Representations", *Hadronic Press Inc.*, Florida, 1994.
- [21] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8(1965) 338 - 353.

Received 04.04.2016; Available online 14.11.2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAJA PEARY MOHAN COLLEGE, UTTARPARA, HOOGHLY-712258, INDIA

E-mail address: dmandaljumath@gmail.com