## Sebastián Martín Ruiz

# Applications of Smarandache Function, and Prime and Coprime Functions 

$$
C_{k}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } \quad n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k} \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \text { are coprime numbers }
$$

Sebastián Martín Ruiz<br>Avda. De Regla, 43, Chipiona 11550 (Cadiz), Spain<br>Smaranda@teleline.es<br>www.terra.es/personal/smaranda

## Applications of Smarandache Function, and Prime and Coprime Functions

Rehoboth

This book can be ordered in microfilm format from:
Bell and Howell Co.
(University of Microfilm International)
300 N. Zeeb Road
P.O. Box 1346, Ann Arbor MI 48106-1346, USA
Tel.: 1-800-521-0600 (Customer Service)
http://wwwlib.umi.com/bod/search/basic (Books on Demand)

Copyright 2002 by American Research Press
Rehoboth, Box 141
NM 87322, USA
http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/math.htm

## ISBN: 1-931233-30-6

Standard Address Number 297-5092
Printed in the United States of America

## Contents:

Chapter 1: Smarandache Function applied to perfect numbers Chapter 2: A result obtained using the Smarandache Function Chapter 3: A Congruence with the Smarandache Function Chapter 4: A functional recurrence to obtain the prime numbers using the Smarandache prime function
Chapter 5: The general term of the prime number sequence and the Smarandache prime function
Chapter 6:Expressions of the Smarandache Coprime Function Chapter 7: New Prime Numbers

## Chapter 1: Smarandache function applied to perfect numbers

The Smarandache function is defined as follows:
$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=$ the smallest positive integer such that $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})$ ! is divisible by n . [1]
In this article we are going to see that the value this function takes when n is a perfect number of the form $n=2^{k-1} \cdot\left(2^{k}-1\right), p=2^{k}-1$ being a prime number.

Lemma 1: Let $n=2^{i} \cdot p$ when $p$ is an odd prime number and $i$ an integer such that:

$$
0 \leq i \leq E\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)+E\left(\frac{p}{2^{2}}\right)+E\left(\frac{p}{2^{3}}\right)+\cdots+E\left(\frac{p}{2^{E\left(\log _{2} p\right)}}\right)=e_{2}(p!)
$$

where $e_{2}(p!)$ is the exponent of 2 in the prime number decomposition of $p!$.
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x .
One has that $S(n)=p$.
Demonstration:
Given that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(2^{i}, p\right)=1(\mathrm{GCD}=$ greatest common divisor) one has that $S(n)=\max \left\{S\left(2^{i}\right), S(p)\right\} \geq S(p)=p$. Therefore $S(n) \geq p$.
If we prove that p ! is divisible by n then one would have the equality.

$$
p!=p_{1}^{e_{p_{1}}(p)} \cdot p_{2}^{e_{2}(p)} \cdots p_{s}^{e_{p_{s}}(p)}
$$

where $p_{i}$ is the $i-$ th prime of the prime number decomposition of $p!$. It is clear that
$p_{1}=2, p_{s}=p, e_{p_{s}}(p!)=1$ for which:

$$
p!=2^{e_{2}(p)} \cdot p_{2}{ }^{e_{p}(p)} \cdots p_{s-1}{ }^{e_{s-1}(p)} \cdot p
$$

From where one can deduce that:

$$
\frac{p!}{n}=2^{e_{2}(p)-i} \cdot p_{2}^{e_{p 2}(p)} \cdots p_{s-1}{ }^{e_{S-1}(p)}
$$

is a positive integer since $e_{2}(p!)-i \geq 0$.
Therefore one has that $S(n)=p$
Proposition1: If n is a perfect number of the form $n=2^{k-1} \cdot\left(2^{k}-1\right)$ with $k$ is a positive integer, $2^{k}-1=p$ prime, one has that $S(n)=p$.

Demonstration:
For the Lemma it is sufficient to prove that $k-1 \leq e_{2}(p!)$.
If we can prove that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k-1 \leq 2^{k-1}-\frac{1}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will have proof of the proposition since:

$$
k-1 \leq 2^{k-1}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{2^{k}-1}{2}=\frac{p}{2}
$$

As $k-1$ is an integer one has that $k-1 \leq E\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \leq e_{2}(p!)$
Proving (1) is the same as proving $k \leq 2^{k-1}+\frac{1}{2}$ at the same time, since k is integer, is equivalent to proving $k \leq 2^{k-1}$ (2).

In order to prove (2) we may consider the function: $f(x)=2^{x-1}-x \quad x$ real number.

This function may be derived and its derivate is $f^{\prime}(x)=2^{x-1} \ln 2-1$.
$f$ will be increasing when $2^{x-1} \ln 2-1>0$ resolving x :

$$
x>1-\frac{\ln (\ln 2)}{\ln 2} \cong 1^{\prime} 5287
$$

In particular $f$ will be increasing $\forall x \geq 2$.
Therefore $\forall x \geq 2 \quad f(x) \geq f(2)=0$ that is to say $2^{x-1}-x \geq 0 \quad \forall x \geq 2$.

Therefore: $2^{k-1} \geq k \forall k \geq 2$ integer.

And thus is proved the proposition.

## EXAMPLES:

\[

\]
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## Chapter 2: A result obtained using the Smarandache Function

Smarandache Function is defined as followed:
$S(m)=$ The smallest positive integer so that $S(m)$ ! is divisible by $m$. [1]
Let's see the value which such function takes for $m=p^{p^{n}}$ with n integer, $n \geq 2 \quad$ and p prime number. To do so a Lemma required.

Lemma $1 \forall m, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad m, n \geq 2$

$$
m^{n}=E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m}\right]+E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{2}}\right]+\cdots+E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{\left.\left.m^{E\left[\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)\right.}\right)\right]}\right]
$$

where $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})$ gives the greatest integer less than or equal to x .

## Proof:

Let's see in the first place the value taken by $E\left[\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)\right]$.
If $n \geq 2: m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m<m^{n+1}$ and therefore
$\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)<\log _{m} m^{n+1}=n+1$.
And if $m \geq 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m m^{n} \geq 2 m^{n} \Rightarrow m^{n+1} \geq 2 m^{n} \Rightarrow m^{n+1}+m \geq 2 m^{n} \Rightarrow m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m \geq m^{n} \\
& \Rightarrow \log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right) \geq \log _{m} m^{n}=n \Rightarrow E\left[\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)\right] \geq n
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result: $n \leq E\left[\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)\right]<n+1$ therefore:

$$
E\left[\log _{m}\left(m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m\right)\right]=n \text { if } n, m \geq 2
$$

Now let's see the value which it takes for $1 \leq k \leq n: E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{k}}\right]$
$E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{k}}\right]=E\left[m^{n+1-k}-m^{n-k}+\frac{1}{m^{k-1}}\right]$

> If $\mathrm{k}=1: E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{k}}\right]=m^{n}-m^{n-1}+1$
> If $1<k \leq n: \quad E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{k}}\right]=m^{n+1-k}-m^{n-k}$

Let's see what is the value of the sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}=1 \quad \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad-\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad \ldots \quad \quad \ldots \quad \cdots \quad \ldots \quad+1 \\
& \mathrm{k}=2 \quad \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad-\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}-2} \\
& \mathrm{k}=3 \quad \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{n}-2} \quad-\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}-3} \\
& \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}-1 \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad-\mathrm{m} \\
& \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left[\frac{m^{n+1}-m^{n}+m}{m^{k}}\right]=m^{n} \quad m, n \geq 2
$$

Proposition: $\quad \forall \quad$ p prime number $\quad \forall n \geq 2$ :

$$
S\left(p^{p^{n}}\right)=p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p
$$

Proof:

Having $e_{p}(k)=$ exponent of the prime number p in the prime decomposition of k .

We get:

$$
e_{p}(k)=E\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)+E\left(\frac{k}{p^{2}}\right)+E\left(\frac{k}{p^{3}}\right)+\cdots+E\left(\frac{k}{p^{E\left(\log _{p} k\right)}}\right)
$$

And using the lemma we have
$e_{p}\left[\left(p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p\right)!\right]=E\left[\frac{p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p}{p}\right]+E\left[\frac{p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p}{p^{2}}\right]+\cdots+E\left[\frac{p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p}{\left.p^{E\left[\log _{p}\left(p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p\right)\right.}\right)}\right]=p^{n}$
Therefore:

$$
\frac{\left(p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p\right)!}{p^{p^{n}}} \in \mathrm{~N} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left(p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p-1\right)!}{p^{p^{n}}} \notin \mathrm{~N}
$$

And :

$$
S\left(p^{p^{n}}\right)=p^{n+1}-p^{n}+p
$$

References:
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## Chapter 3: A Congruence with the Smarandache function

Smarandache's function is defined thus:
$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=$ is the smallest integer such that $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})$ ! is divisible by n . [1]
In this article we are going to look at the value that has $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)(\bmod \mathrm{k})$
For all integer, $2 \leq k \leq 97$.

| k | $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)(\bmod \mathrm{k})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 7 | 1 |
| 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 5 | 31 | 1 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 7 | 127 | 1 |
| 8 | 17 | 1 |
| 9 | 73 | 1 |
| 10 | 31 | 1 |
| 11 | 89 | 1 |
| 12 | 13 | 1 |
| 13 | 8191 | 1 |
| 14 | 127 | 1 |
| 15 | 151 | 1 |
| 16 | 257 | 1 |
| 17 | 131071 | 1 |
| 18 | 73 | 1 |
| 19 | 524287 | 1 |
| 20 | 41 | 1 |
| 21 | 337 | 1 |
| 22 | 683 | 1 |
| 23 | 178481 | 1 |
| 24 | 241 | 1 |
| 25 | 1801 | 1 |
| 26 | 8191 | 1 |
| 27 | 262657 | 127 |
| 28 | 2089 | 15 |
| 29 | 331 | 1 |
| 30 |  | 1 |


| $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right) \quad \mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)$ | $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)(\bmod \mathrm{k})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2147483647 | 1 |
| 65537 | 1 |
| 599479 | 1 |
| 131071 | 1 |
| 122921 | 1 |
| 109 | 1 |
| 616318177 | 1 |
| 524287 | 1 |
| 121369 | 1 |
| 61681 | 1 |
| 164511353 | 1 |
| 5419 | 1 |
| 2099863 | 1 |
| 2113 | 1 |
| 23311 | 1 |
| 2796203 | 1 |
| 13264529 | 1 |
| 673 | 1 |
| 4432676798593 | 1 |
| 4051 | 1 |
| 131071 | 1 |
| 8191 | 27 |
| 20394401 | 1 |
| 262657 | 1 |
| 201961 | 1 |
| 15790321 | 1 |
| 1212847 | 1 |
| 3033169 | 1 |
| 3203431780337 | 1 |
| 1321 | 1 |
| 2305843009213693951 | 6939511 |
| 2147483647 | 1 |
| 649657 | 1 |
| 6700417 | 1 |
| 145295143558111 | 11 |
| 599479 | 1 |
| 761838257287 | 1 |
| 131071 | 35 |


| k | $\mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right) \quad \mathrm{S}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}}-1\right)(\mathrm{mod} \mathrm{k})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 69 | 10052678938039 | 1 |
| 70 | 122921 | 1 |
| 71 | 212885833 | 1 |
| 72 | 38737 | 1 |
| 73 | 9361973132609 | 1 |
| 74 | 616318177 | 1 |
| 75 | 10567201 | 1 |
| 76 | 525313 | 1 |
| 77 | 581283643249112959 |  |
| 78 | 22366891 | 1 |
| 79 | 1113491139767 | 1 |
| 80 | 4278255361 | 1 |
| 81 | 97685839 | 1 |
| 82 | 8831418697 | 1 |
| 83 | 57912614113275649087721 | 1 |
| 84 | 14449 | 1 |
| 85 | 9520972806333758431 | 1 |
| 86 | 2932031007403 | 1 |
| 87 | 9857737155463 | 1 |
| 88 | 2931542417 | 1 |
| 89 | 618970019642690137449562111 | 1 |
| 90 | 18837001 | 1 |
| 91 | 23140471537 | 1 |
| 92 | 2796203 | 47 |
| 93 | 658812288653553079 | 1 |
| 94 | 165768537521 | 1 |
| 95 | 30327152671 | 1 |
| 96 | 22253377 | 1 |
| 97 | 13842607235828485645766393 | 1 |

One can see from the table that there are only 4 exceptions for $2 \leq k \leq 97$

We can see in detail the 4 exceptions in a table:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}=28=2^{2} \circ 7 & \mathrm{~S}\left(2^{28}-1\right) \equiv 15(\bmod 28) \\
\mathrm{k}=52=2^{2} \circ 13 & \mathrm{~S}\left(2^{52}-1\right) \equiv 27(\bmod 52) \\
\mathrm{k}=68=2^{2} \circ 17 & \mathrm{~S}\left(2^{68}-1\right) \equiv 35(\bmod 68) \\
\mathrm{k}=92=2^{2} \circ 23 & \mathrm{~S}\left(2^{92}-1\right) \equiv 47(\bmod 92)
\end{array}
$$

One can observe in these 4 cases that $\mathrm{k}=2^{2} \mathrm{p}$ with p is a prime and more over $S\left(2^{k}-1\right) \equiv \frac{k}{2}+1(\bmod k)$

## UNSOLVED QUESTION:

One can obtain a general formula that gives us, in function of $k$ the value $S\left(2^{k}-1\right)(\bmod k)$ for all positive integer values of $k$ ?.

## Reference:

[1] Smarandache Notions Journal, Vol. 9, No. 1-2, (1998), pp. 21-26.

## Chapter 4: A functional recurrence to obtain the prime numbers using the Smarandache prime function.

Theorem: We are considering the function:
For $n$ integer:

$$
F(n)=n+1+\sum_{m=n+1}^{2 n} \prod_{i=n+1}^{m}\left[-\left[-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{j}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{j}\right\rfloor\right)-2}{i}\right]\right]
$$

one has: $p_{k+1}=F\left(p_{k}\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$ where $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ are the prime numbers and $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x .

Observe that the knowledge of $p_{k+1}$ only depends on knowledge of $p_{k}$ and the knowledge of the fore primes is unnecessary.

Proof:
Suppose that we have found a function $P(i)$ with the following property:

$$
P(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } i \text { is composite } \\
0 \text { if } i \text { is prime }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This function is called Smarandache prime function.(Ref.)
Consider the following product:

$$
\prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)
$$

If $p_{k}<m<p_{k+1} \prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)=1$ since $i: p_{k}+1 \leq i \leq m$ are all composites.

If $m \geq p_{k+1} \quad \prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)=0$ since $P\left(p_{k+1}\right)=0$

Here is the sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=p_{k}+1}^{2 p_{k}} \prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)=\sum_{m=p_{k}+1}^{p_{k+1}-1} \prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)+\sum_{m=p_{k+1}}^{2 p_{k}} \prod_{i=p_{k}+1}^{m} P(i)=\sum_{m=p_{k}+1}^{p_{k+1}-1} 1= \\
& \quad=p_{k+1}-1-\left(p_{k}+1\right)+1=p_{k+1}-p_{k}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The second sum is zero since all products have the factor $P\left(p_{k+1}\right)=0$.
Therefore we have the following recurrence relation:

$$
p_{k+1}=p_{k}+1+\sum_{m=p_{k}+i>=p_{k}+1}^{2 p_{k}} \prod_{n}^{m} P(i)
$$

Let's now see we can find $P(i)$ with the asked property.
Consider:

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{i}{j}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{j}\right\rfloor=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \text { si } & j \mid i \\
0 & \text { si } & j \text { not } \mid i & j=1,2, \cdots, i
\end{array} \quad i \geq 1\right.
$$

We deduce of this relation:

$$
d(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left\lfloor\frac{i}{j}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{j}\right\rfloor
$$

where $d(i)$ is the number of divisors of $i$.

If $i$ is prime $d(i)=2$ therefore:

$$
-\left\lfloor-\frac{d(i)-2}{i}\right\rfloor=0
$$

If $i$ is composite $d(i)>2$ therefore:

$$
0<\frac{d(i)-2}{i}<1 \Rightarrow-\left\lfloor-\frac{d(i)-2}{i}\right\rfloor=1
$$

Therefore we have obtained the Smarandache Prime Function $P(i)$ which is:

$$
P(i)=-\left\lfloor-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{j}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{j}\right\rfloor\right)-2}{i}\right\rfloor \quad i \geq 2 \text { integer }
$$

With this, the theorem is already proved .
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## Chapter 5: The general term of the prime number sequence and the Smarandache prime function.

Let is consider the function $d(i)=$ number of divisors of the positive integer number i. We have found the following expression for this function:

$$
d(i)=\sum_{k=1}^{i} E\left(\frac{i}{k}\right)-E\left(\frac{i-1}{k}\right)
$$

" $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})=$ Floor $[\mathrm{x}] "$

We proved this expression in the article "A functional recurrence to obtain the prime numbers using the Smarandache Prime Function".

We deduce that the folowing function:

$$
G(i)=-E\left[-\frac{d(i)-2}{i}\right]
$$

This function is called the Smarandache Prime Function (Reference) It takes the next values:

$$
G(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \text { if } & \text { i is } & \text { prime } \\
1 & \text { if } & \text { i is } & \text { composite }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let is consider now $\pi(n)=$ number of prime numbers smaller or equal than n.

It is simple to prove that:

$$
\pi(n)=\sum_{i=2}^{n}(1-G(i))
$$

Let is have too:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { If } \quad 1 \leq k \leq p_{n}-1 & \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{\pi(k)}{n}\right)=0 \\ \text { If } \quad C_{n} \geq k \geq p_{n} & \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{\pi(k)}{n}\right)=1\end{array}$

We will see what conditions have to carry $C_{n}$.

Therefore we have the following expression for $p_{n} \mathrm{n}$-th prime number:

$$
p_{n}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{C_{n}}\left(1-E\left(\frac{\pi(k)}{n}\right)\right.
$$

If we obtain $C_{n}$ that only depends on $n$, this expression will be the general term of the prime numbers sequence, since $\pi$ is in function with $G$ and $G$ does with $d(i)$ that is expressed in function with i too. Therefore the expression only depends on $n$.

Let is consider $C_{n}=2(E(n \log n)+1)$
Since $p_{n} \approx n \log n$ from of a certain $n_{0}$ it will be true that

$$
\text { (1) } p_{n} \leq 2(E(n \log n)+1)
$$

If $n_{0}$ it is not too big, we can prove that the inequality is true for smaller or equal values than $n_{0}$.

It is necessary to that:

$$
E\left[\frac{\pi(2(E(n \log n)+1))}{n}\right]=1
$$

If we check the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(2(E(n \log n)+1))<2 n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will obtain that:

$$
\frac{\pi\left(C_{n}\right)}{n}<2 \Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\pi\left(C_{n}\right)}{n}\right] \leq 1 \quad ; C_{n} \geq p_{n} \Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\pi\left(C_{n}\right)}{n}\right]=1
$$

We can experimentaly check this last inequality saying that it checks for a lot of values and the difference tends to increase, wich makes to think that it is true for all $n$.

Therefore if we prove that the (1) and (2) inequalities are true for all $n$ which seems to be very probable; we will have that the general term of the prime numbers sequence is:
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## Chapter 6: Expressions of the Smarandache Coprime Function

Smarandache Coprime function is defined this way:

$$
C_{k}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } \quad n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k} \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \text { are coprime numbers }
$$

We see two expressions of the Smarandache Coprime Function for $\mathrm{k}=2$.

## EXPRESSION 1:

$$
C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=-\left\lfloor-\frac{n_{1} n_{2}-\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)}{n_{1} n_{2}}\right\rfloor
$$

$\lfloor x\rfloor \quad=$ the biggest integer number smaller or equal than x .

If $n_{1}, n_{2}$ are coprime numbers:

$$
\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=n_{1} n_{2} \quad \text { therefore: } \quad C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=-\left\lfloor\frac{0}{n_{1} n_{2}}\right\rfloor=0
$$

If $n_{1}, n_{2}$ aren't coprime numbers:

$$
\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)<n_{1} n_{2} \Rightarrow 0<\frac{n_{1} n_{2}-\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)}{n_{1} n_{2}}<1 \Rightarrow C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=1
$$

## EXPRESSION 2:

$$
C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=1+\left\lfloor\left.\begin{array}{|}
\prod_{d \mid n n_{1} d \| n_{2}}\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \\
\prod_{d>1 n_{1}} \prod_{d>1}\left(d+n_{2}\right)
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.
$$

If $n_{1}, n_{2}$ are coprime numbers then $d \neq d^{\prime} \quad \forall d, d^{\prime} \neq 1$

$$
\Rightarrow 0<\frac{\prod_{\substack{d n_{1} d d^{n} n_{2} \\ d>1 \\ d^{>}>1}}\left|d-d^{\prime}\right|}{\prod_{d n_{1} d n_{2}}\left(d+d^{\prime}\right)}<1 \Rightarrow C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=0
$$

If $n_{1}, n_{2}$ aren't coprime numbers $\exists d=d^{\prime} \quad d>1, d^{\prime}>1 \Rightarrow C_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=1$

## EXPRESSION 3:

Smarandache Coprime Function for $k \geq 2$ :

$$
C_{k}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)=-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{G C D\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)}-1\right\rfloor
$$

If $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}$ are coprime numbers:

$$
G C D\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)=1 \Rightarrow C_{k}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)=0
$$

If $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}$ aren't coprime numbers: $\operatorname{GCD}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)>1$
$0<\frac{1}{G C D}<1 \Rightarrow-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{G C D}-1\right\rfloor=1=C_{k}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right)$
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## Chapter 7: New Prime Numbers

I have found some new prime numbers using the PROTH program of Yves Gallot.
This program in based on the following theorem:

## Proth Theorem (1878):

Let $N=k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ where $k<2^{n}$. If there is an integer number $a$ so that $a^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod N)$ therefore $N$ is prime.

The Proth progam is a test for primality of greater numbers defined as $k \cdot b^{n}+1$ or $k \cdot b^{n}-1$. The program is made to look for numbers of less than 5.000000 digits and it is optimized for numbers of more than 1000 digits..

Using this Program, I have found the following prime numbers:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
3239 \cdot 2^{12345}+1 & \text { with } 3720 \text { digits } & a=3, & a=7 \\
7551 \cdot 2^{12345}+1 & \text { with } 3721 \text { digits } & a=5, & a=7 \\
7595 \cdot 2^{12345}+1 & \text { with } 3721 \text { digits } & a=3, & a=11 \\
9363 \cdot 2^{12321}+1 & \text { with } 3713 \text { digits } & a=5, & a=7
\end{array}
$$

Since the exponents of the first three numbers are Smarandache number $\operatorname{Sm}(5)=12345$ we can call this type of prime numbers, prime numbers of Smarandache .

Helped by the MATHEMATICA progam, I have also found new prime numbers which are a variant of prime numbers of Fermat. They are the following:

$$
2^{2^{n}} \cdot 3^{2^{n}}-2^{2^{n}}-3^{2^{2}} \text { for } \mathrm{n}=1,4,5,7 .
$$

It is important to mention that for $\mathrm{n}=7$ the number which is obtained has 100 digits.

Chris Nash has verified the values $\mathrm{n}=8$ to $\mathrm{n}=20$, this last one being a number of 815.951 digits, obtaining that they are all composite. All of them have a tiny factor except $\mathrm{n}=13$.
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A book for people who love numbers:
Smarandache Function applied to perfect numbers, congruences.
Also, the Smarandache Prime and Coprime functions in connection with the expressions of the prime numbers.

