

Charles  
Ashbacher

Topics in Recreational  
Mathematics 3/2016



*Illustrated by Caytie Ribble, Contributions  
by Lamarr Widmer and Rachel Pollari*

**Topics in Recreational Mathematics 3/2016**

Editor-in-chief

Charles Ashbacher  
5530 Kacena Ave  
Marion, IA 52302 USA

[cashbacher@yahoo.com](mailto:cashbacher@yahoo.com)

Artwork

Caytie Ribble

Problems

Lamarr Widmer

Contributor

Rachel Pollari

ISBN-13: 978-1537333212

Copyright 2016

# Palindromic Numbers and Iterations of the Pseudo-Smarandache Function

Charles Ashbacher  
[cashbacher@yahoo.com](mailto:cashbacher@yahoo.com)

## Abstract

For  $n \geq 1$ , the Pseudo-Smarandache function  $Z(n)$  is the smallest integer  $m$  such that  $n$  evenly divides  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + m$ . In this paper, some iterations of this function on palindromes that yield palindromes are demonstrated.

This paper was originally published in **Proceedings of the First International Conference on Smarandache Type Notions in Number Theory**, American Research Press, 1997.  
ISBN 1-879585-58-8.

In his delightful book[1], Kenichiro Kashara introduced the Pseudo-Smarandache function.

**Definition:** For any  $n \geq 1$ , the value of the Pseudo-Smarandache function  $Z(n)$  is the smallest integer  $m$  such that  $n$  evenly divides  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + m$ . It is well known that the sum is equivalent to

$$\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$$

Having been defined only recently, many of the properties of this function remain to be discovered. In this short paper, we will tentatively explore the connections between  $Z(n)$  and a subset of the integers known as the palindromic numbers.

**Definition:** A number is said to be a palindrome if it reads the same forward and backwards. Examples of palindromes are 121, 34566543 and 111111111.

There are some palindromic numbers  $n$  such that  $Z(n)$  is also palindromic. For example,

$$Z(909) = 404 \text{ and } Z(2222) = 1111.$$

In this paper, we will not consider the trivial cases of the single digit numbers.

A simple computer program was used to search for values of  $n$  satisfying the above criteria. The range of the search was,  $10 \leq n \leq 10000$ . Of the 189 palindromic values of  $n$  within that range, 37, or slightly over 19%, satisfied the criteria.

Furthermore, it is sometimes possible to repeat the function and get another palindrome.

$$Z(909) = 404, Z(404) = 303.$$

Once again, a computer program was run looking for values of  $n$  within the range  $1 \leq n \leq 10000$ . Of the 37 values found in the previous test, 9 or slightly over 24%, exhibited the property of repeated palindromes.

Using the program to test for values of  $n$  such that  $n$ ,  $Z(n)$ ,  $Z(Z(n))$  and  $Z(Z(Z(n)))$  are all palindromic, we discovered that of the 9 found in the previous test, 2 or roughly 22% satisfy the new criteria.

**Definition:** Let  $Z^k(n) = Z(Z(Z(\dots(n)\dots))$  where the  $Z$  function is executed  $k$  times. For notational purposes, let  $Z^0(n) = n$ .

Modifying the program to search for solutions for a value of  $n$  so that  $n$  and all iterations  $Z^k(n)$  are palindromic for  $k = 1, 2, 3$  and  $4$ , we found that there were no solutions in the range  $1 \leq n \leq 10000$ . Given the percentages already encountered, this should not be a surprise. In fact, by expanding the search up through  $100000$ , one solution was found.

$$Z(86868) = 17271, Z(17271) = 2222, Z(2222) = 1111, Z(1111) = 505.$$

Since  $Z(505) = 100$ , this is the largest such sequence in this region.

Computer searches for larger such sequences can be more efficiently carried out by using only palindromic numbers for  $n$ .

**Unsolved Question:** What is the largest value of  $m$  so that for some  $Z^k(n)$  is a palindrome for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ ?

**Unsolved Question:** Do the percentages discussed previously accurately represent the general case?

Of course, an affirmative answer to the second question would mean that there is no largest value of  $m$ .

**Conjecture:** There is no largest value of  $m$  such that for some  $n$ ,  $Z^k(n)$  is a palindrome for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ .

There are solid arguments in support of the truth of this conjecture. Palindromes tend to be divisible by palindromic numbers, so if we take a palindromic, many of the numbers that divide it would be palindromic. Furthermore, that palindrome is often the product of two numbers, one of which is a palindrome. Numbers like the repunits,  $11 \dots 11$  and those with a small number of different digits, like  $1001$  and  $505$  appeared quite regularly in the computer search.

### Reference

1. K. Kashihara, **Comments and Topics on Smarandache Notions and Problems**, Erhus University Press, Vail, AZ, 1996.