Scientia Magna Vol. 3 (2007), No. 3, 30-35

# A note on the Smarandache inversion sequence

A.A.K. Majumdar

APU, 1-1 Jumonjibaru Beppu-shi 875-8577, Oita-ken, Japan majumdar@apu.ac.jp/aakmajumdar@gmail.com

**Abstract** In a recent paper, Muneer [1] introduced the Smarandache inversion sequence. In this paper, we study some properties of the Smarandache inversion sequence. Moreover, we find the necessary and sufficient condition such that  $[SI(n)]^2 + [SI(n+1)]^2$  is a perfect square.

Keywords Smarandache reverse sequence, Smarandache inversion, perfect square.

## §1. Introduction

The Smarandache reverse sequence is (see, for example, Ashbacher [2])

 $1, 21, 321, 4321, 54321, \cdots,$ 

and in general, the n - th term of the sequence is

$$S(n) = n(n-1)\cdots 321.$$

In connection with the Smarandache reverse sequence, Muneer [1] introduced the concept of the Smarandache inversion sequence, SI(n), defined as follows :

**Definition 1.1.** The value of the Smarandache inversion of (positive) integers in a number is the number of order relations of the form i > j (where *i* and *j* are digits of the positive integers of the number under consideration), with SI(0) = 0, SI(1) = 0.

More specifically, for the Smarandache reverse sequence number

$$S(n) = n(n-1)\cdots 321,$$

the following order relations hold :

 $(A-1)n > n-1 > \dots > 3 > 2 > 1,$  $(A-2)n-1 > n-2 > \dots > 3 > 2 > 1,$  $\dots$ (A-(n-1))2 > 1.

Note that, the number of order relations in (A - 1) is n - 1, that in (A - 2) is n - 2, and so on, and finally, the number of order relation in (A - (n - 1)) is 1. We thus have the following result :

Lemma 1.1.  $SI(n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . Proof.  $SI(n) = (n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . Lemma 1.2. For any integer  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} SI(1) = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}$ . Proof. Using Lemma 1.1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} SI(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right] = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}.$$

Muneer [1] also derived the following results.

**Lemma 1.3.**  $SI(n+1) + SI(n) = n^2$  for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . **Lemma 1.4.** SI(n+1) - SI(n) = n for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . **Proof.** Since

$$SI(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n = SI(n) + n,$$

we get the desired result.

**Lemma 1.5.**  $[SI(n+1)]^2 - [SI(n)]^2 = n^3$  for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . **Proof.** Using Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4,

$$[SI(n+1)]^{2} - [SI(n)]^{2} = [SI(n+1) + SI(n)][SI(n+1) - SI(n)] = (n^{2})(n) = n^{3}.$$

**Lemma 1.6.**  $SI(n+1)SI(n-1) + SI(n) = \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)^2$  for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . We also have the following recurrence relation.

Lemma 1.7. SI(n+1) - SI(n-1) = 2n - 1 for any integer  $n \ge 1$ . Proof. Using Lemma 1.4,

$$SI(n+1) - SI(n-1) = [SI(n+1)SI(n)] + [SI(n) - SI(n-1)]$$
  
=  $n + (n-1) = 2n - 1.$ 

Muneer [1] also considered the equation

$$[SI(n)]^{2} + [SI(n+1)]^{2} = k^{2}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

for some integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , and found two solutions, namely, n = 7 and n = 8.

In this note, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition such that (1) is satisfied. This is given in the next section.

### §2. Main Results

We consider the equation

$$[SI(n)]^{2} + [SI(n+1)]^{2} = k^{2}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

for some integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . By definition,

$$[SI(n)]^{2} + [SI(n+1)]^{2} = \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2}n^{2}(n^{2}+1)$$

We thus arrive at the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** The equation (2) has a solution (for n and k) if and only if  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 1)$  is a perfect square.

Lemma 2.2. The Diophantine equation

$$\frac{1}{2}(n^2+1) = k^2 \tag{3}$$

has a solution (for n and k) if and only if there is an integer  $m \ge 1$  such that  $m^2 + (m+1)^2$  is a perfect square, and in that case, n = 2m + 1,  $k^2 = m^2 + (m+1)^2$ .

**Proof.** We consider the equation (3) in the equivalent form

$$n^2 + 1 = 2k^2, (4)$$

which shows that n must be odd; so let

$$n = 2m + 1. \tag{5}$$

for some integer  $m \ge 1$ . Then, from (4),

$$(2m+1)^2 + 1 = 2k^2,$$

that is,  $(4m^2 + 4m + 1) + 1 = 2k^2$ , that is,  $m^2 + (m + 1)^2 = k^2$ .

Searching for all consecutive integers up o 1500, we found only four pairs of consecutive integers whose sums of squares are perfect squares. These are

$$(1)32 + 42 = 52, (6)$$

$$(2)202 + 212 = 292, (7)$$

$$(3)1192 + 1202 = 1692, (8)$$

$$(4)6962 + 6972 = 9852. \tag{9}$$

The first two give respectively the solutions

- (a)  $[SI(7)]^2 + [SI(8)]^2 = 35^2$ ,
- **(b)**  $[SI(41)]^2 + [SI(42)]^2 = 1189^2$ ,

which were found by Muneer [1], while the other two give respectively the solutions

- (c)  $[SI(239)]^2 + [SI(240)]^2 = 40391^2$ ,
- (d)  $[SI(1393)]^2 + [SI(1394)]^2 = 1372105^2$ .

The following lemma, giving the general solution of the Diophantine equation  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ , is a well-known result (see, for example, Hardy and Wright [3]).

**Lemma 2.3.** The most general (integer) solution of the Diophantine equation  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$  is

$$x = 2ab, \quad y = a^2 - b^2, \quad z = a^2 + b^2,$$
 (10)

where x > 0, y > 0, z > 0 are integers with (x, y) = 1 and x is even, and a and b are of opposite parity with (a, b) = 1.

Lemma 2.4. The problem of solving the Diophantine equation

$$m^2 + (m+1)^2 = k^2, (11)$$

is equivalent to the problem of solving the Diophantine equations

$$x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3, the general solution of the Diophantine equation

$$(m+1)^2 + m^2 = k^2$$

has one of the following two forms :

(a) m = 2ab, m + 1 = a<sup>2</sup> - b<sup>2</sup>, k = a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup> for some integers a, b ≥ 1 with (a, b) = 1;
(b) m = a<sup>2</sup> - b<sup>2</sup>, m + 1 = 2ab, k = a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup> for some integers a, b ≥ 1 with (a, b) = 1. In case (a),

$$1 = (m+1) - m = (a^2 - b^2)^2 - 2ab = (a-b)^2 - 2ab^2,$$

which leads to the Diophantine equation  $x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$ .

In case (b),

$$-1 = m - (m+1) = (a^2 - b^2)^2 - 2ab = (a-b)^2 - 2ab^2,$$

leading to the Diophantine equation  $x^2 - 2y^2 = -1$ .

The general solutions of the Diophantine equations  $x^2 - 2y^2 = \pm 1$  are given in the following lemma (see, for example, Hardy and Wright [3]).

Lemma 2.5. All solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$$

are given by

$$x + \sqrt{2}y = (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2n}, \tag{12}$$

 $n \ge 0$  is an integer; and all solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 - 2y^2 = -1,$$

are given by

$$x + \sqrt{2}y = (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2n+1},\tag{13}$$

 $n \ge 0$  is an integer.

**Remark 2.1.** Lemma 2.5 shows that the Diophantine equation  $m^2 + (m+1)^2 = k^2$  has infinite number of solutions. The first four solutions of the Diophantine equation (11) are given in (6 - 9). It may be mentioned here that the first and third solutions can be obtained from

No. 3

(12) corresponding to n = 1 and n = 2 respectively, while the second and the fourth solutions can be obtained from (13) corresponding to n = 0 and n = 1 respectively. The fifth solution may be obtained from (12) with n = 3 as follows :

$$x + \sqrt{2}y = (1 + \sqrt{2})^6 = 99 + 70\sqrt{2} \Rightarrow x = 99, y = 70.$$

Therefore,

$$a-b=99, \ b=70 \Rightarrow a=169, b=70,$$

and finally,

$$m = 2ab = 23660, m + 1 = a^2 - b^2 = 23661.$$

Corresponding to this, we get the following solution to (2):

$$[SI(47321)]^2 + [SI(47322)]^2 = 1583407981^2.$$

### §3. Some Observations

In [1], Muneer has found three relations connecting four consecutive Smarandache inversion functions. These are as follows :

- (1)  $SI(6) + SI(7) + SI(8) + SI(9) = 10^2$ ,
- (2)  $SI(40) + SI(41) + SI(42) + SI(43) = 58^2$ ,
- (3)  $SI(238) + SI(239) + SI(240) + SI(241) = 338^2$ .

Searching for more such relations up to n = 1500, we got a fourth one :

(4)  $SI(1392) + SI(1393) + SI(1394) + SI(1395) = 1970^2$ . Since

$$SI(n-1) + SI(n) + SI(n+1) + SI(n+2) = (n-1)^2 + (n+1)^2,$$

the problem of finding four consecutive Smarandache inversion functions whose sum is a perfect square reduces to the problem of solving the Diophantine equation

$$m^2 + (m+2)^2 = k^2.$$

In this respect, we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $m_0$ ,  $m_0 + 1$  and  $k_0 = \sqrt{m_0^2 + (m_0 + 1)^2}$  is a solution of the Diophantine equation

$$m^2 + (m+1)^2 = k^2, (14)$$

then  $2m_0$ ,  $2(m_0 + 1)$  and  $l_0 = 2\sqrt{m_0^2 + (m_0 + 1)^2}$  is a solution of the Diophantine equation

$$m^2 + (m+2)^2 = l^2, (15)$$

and conversely.

**Proof.** First, let  $m_0$ ,  $m_0 + 1$  and  $k_0 = \sqrt{m_0^2 + (m_0 + 1)^2}$  be a solution of (14), so that

$$m_0^2 + (m_0 + 1)^2 = k_0^2, (16)$$

Multiplying throughout of (1) by 4, we get

 $(2m_0)^2 + [2(m_0+1)]^2 = (2k_0)^2,$ 

so that  $2m_0$ ,  $2(m_0 + 1)$  and  $l_0 = 2k_0$  is a solution of (15).

Conversely, let  $m_0$ ,  $m_0 + 2$  and  $l_0 = \sqrt{m_0^2 + (m_0 + 2)^2}$  be a solution of (15). Note that,  $m_0$  and  $m_0 + 2$  are of the same parity. Now, both  $m_0$  and  $m_0 + 2$  cannot be odd, for otherwise,

$$m_0 \equiv 1 (mod2), \ m_0 + 2 \equiv 1 (mod2) \Rightarrow l_0^2 (mod4),$$

which is impossible. Thus, both  $m_0$  and  $m_0 + 2$  must be even. It, therefore, follows that  $\frac{m_0}{2}$ ,  $\frac{m_0}{2} + 1$  and  $k_0 = \frac{l_0}{2}$  is a solution of (14).

## References

[1] Muneer, J. K., Smarandache inversion sequence, Scientia Magna, 4(2006), No. 2, 1-14.

[2] Charles Ashbacher, Pluckings from the tree of Smarandache sequences and functions, American Research Press, 1998.

[3] Hardy, G.H. and Wright, E.M., An introduction to the theory of numbers, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 5th Edition 2002.