On the Irrationality of Certain Constants Related

to the Smarandache Function

J. Sándor

Babeş-Bolyai University, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

1. Let S(n) be the Smarandache function. Recently I. Cojocaru and S. Cojocaru [2] have proved the irrationality of $\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{S(n)}{n!}$.

The author of this note [5] showed that this is a consequence of an old irrationality criteria (which will be used here once again), and proved a result implying the irrationality of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{S(n)}{n!}$.

E. Burton [1] has studied series of type $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{S(k)}{(k+1)!}$, which has a value $\in \left(e - \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. He showed that the series $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{S(k)}{(k+r)!}$ is convergent for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. I. Cojocaru and S. Cojocaru [3] have introduced the "third constant of Smarandache" namely $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{S(2)S(3)\dots S(n)}$, which has a value between $\frac{71}{100}$ and $\frac{97}{100}$. Our aim in the following is to prove that the constants introduced by Burton and Cojocaru-Cojocaru are all irrational.

2. The first result is in fact a refinement of an old irraionality criteria (see [4] p.5):
Theorem 1. Let (x_n) be a sequence of nonnegative integers having the properties:
(1) there exists n₀ ∈ N^{*} such that x_n ≤ n for all n ≥ n₀;
(2) x_n < n - 1 for infinitely many n;

(3) $x_m > 0$ for an infinity of m.

Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n!}$ is irrational. Let now $x_n = S(n-1)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{S(k)}{(k+1)!} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n!}.$$

Here $S(n-1) \le n-1 < n$ for all $n \ge 2$; S(m-1) < m-2 for m > 3 composite, since by $S(m-1) < \frac{2}{3}(m-1) < m-2$ for m > 4 this holds true. (For the inequality $S(k) < \frac{2}{3}k$ for k > 3 composite, see [6]). Finally, S(m-1) > 0 for all $m \ge 1$. This proves the irrationality of $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{S(k)}{(k+1)!}$.

Analogously, write

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{S(k)}{(k+r)!} = \sum_{m=r+2}^{\infty} \frac{S(m-r)}{m!}.$$

Put $x_m = S(m-r)$. Here $S(m-r) \le m-r < m$, $S(m-r) \le m-r < m-1$ for $r \ge 2$, and S(m-r) > 0 for $m \ge r+2$. Thus, the above series is irrational for $r \ge 2$, too.

3. The third constant of Smarandache will be studied with the following irrationality criterion (see [4], p.8):

Theorem 2. Let $(a_n), (b_n)$ be two sequences of nonnegative integers satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $a_n > 0$ for an infinity of n;
- (2) $b_n \ge 2, \ 0 \le a_n \le b_n 1$ for all $n \ge 1;$

(3) there exists an increasing sequence (i_n) of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}b_{i_n}=+\infty,\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}a_{i_n}/b_{i_n}=0.$$

Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n}$ is irrational.

Corollary. For $b_n \ge 2$, $(b_n \text{ positive integers})$, (b_n) unbounded the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_n}$ is irrational.

Proof. Let $a_n \equiv 1$. Since $\limsup_{n \to \infty} b_n = +\infty$, there exists a sequence (i_n) such that $b_{i_n} \to \infty$. Then $\frac{1}{b_{i_n}} \to 0$, and the three conditions of Theorem 2 are verified. By selecting $b_n \equiv S(n)$, we have $b_p = S(p) = p \to \infty$ for p a prime, so by the above Corollary, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{S(1)S(2)\dots S(n)}$ is irrational.

References

- E. Burton, On some series involving Smarandache function, Smarandache Function J. 6(1995), no.1, 13-15.
- [2] I. Cojocaru and S. Cojocaru, The second constant of Smarandache, Smarandache Notions J. 7(1996), no.1-2-3, 119-120.
- [3] I. Cojocaru and S. Cojocaru, The third and fourth constants of Smarandache, Smarandache Notions J. 7(1996), no.1-2-3, 121-126.
- [4] J. Sándor, Irrational Numbers (Romanian), Univ. Timişoara, Caiete Metodico-Stiinţifice No.44, 1987, pp. 1-18.
- [5] J. Sándor, On the irrationality of certain alternative Smarandache series, Smarandache Notion J. 8(1997), no.1-2-3, 1997, 143-144.
- [6] T. Yau, A problem of maximum, Smarandache Function J., vol. 4-5(1994), no.1, p.45.