## Perfect Powers in Smarandache Type Expressions

## Florian Luca

In [2] and [3] the authors ask how many primes are of the Smarandache form (see [10]) $x^{y}+y^{x}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ and $x, y \geq 2$. In [6] the author showed that there are only finitely many numbers of the above form which are products of factorials.

In this article we propose the following
Conjecture 1. Let $a, b$, and $c$ be three integers with $a b \neq 0$. Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x^{y}+b y^{x}=c z^{n} \quad \text { with } x, y, n \geq 2, \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finitely many solutions ( $x, y, z, n$ ).
We announce the following result:
Theorem 1. The "abc Conjecture" implies Conjecture 1.
The proof of Theorem 1 is based on an idea of Lang (see [5]).
For any integer $k$ let $P(k)$ be the largest prime number dividing $k$ with the convention that $P(0)=P( \pm 1)=1$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $a, b$, and $c$ be three integers with $a b \neq 0$. Let $P>0$ be a fixed positive integer. Then the equation

$$
a x^{y}+b y^{x}=c z^{n} \quad \text { with } x, y, n \geq 2, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, \text { and } P(y)<P
$$

has finitely many solutions ( $x, y, z, n$ ). Moreover, there exists a computable positive number $C$ depending only on $a, b, c$, and $P$ such that all the solutions of equation (2) satisfy $\max (x, y)<C$.

The proof of theorem 2 uses lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers.

Conjecture 2. The only solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{y} \pm y^{x}=z^{n} \quad \text { with } x, y, n \geq 2, z>0, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $(x, y, z, n)=(3,2,1, n)$.
We have the following results:
Theorem 3. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{y} \pm y^{x}=z^{2} \quad \text { with } x, y \geq 2, \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has finitely many solutions ( $x, y, z$ ) with $2 \mid x y$. Moreover, all such solutions satisfy $\max (x, y)<3 \cdot 10^{143}$.

The proof of Theorem 3 uses lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers.

Theorem 4. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{y}+y^{2}=z^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solutions $(y, z, n)$ such that $y$ is odd and $n>1$.
The proof of theorem 4 is elementary and uses the fact that $\mathrm{Z}[i \sqrt{2}]$ is an UFD.

## 2. Preliminary Results

We begin by stating the abc Conjecture as it appears in [5]. Let $k$ be a nonzero integer. Define the radical of $k$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}(k)=\prod_{p \mid k} p \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the product of the distinct primes dividing $k$. Notice that if $x$ and $y$ are integers, then

$$
N_{0}(x y) \leq N_{0}(x) N_{0}(y),
$$

and if $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, then

$$
N_{0}(x y)=N_{0}(x) N_{0}(y) .
$$

The abc Conjecture ([5]). Given $\epsilon>0$ there exists a number $C(\epsilon)$ having the following property. For any nonzero relatively prime integers $a, b, c$ such that $a+b=c$ we have

$$
\max (|a|,|b|,|c|)<C(\epsilon) N_{0}(a b c)^{1+\epsilon} .
$$

The proofs of theorems 2 and 3 use estimations of linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers.

Suppose that $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}$ are algebraic numbers, not 0 or 1 , of heights not exceeding $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{l}$, respectively. We assume $A_{m} \geq e^{e}$ for $m=1, \ldots, l$. Put $\Omega=\log A_{1} \ldots \log A_{l}$. Let $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Q}\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]$. Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}$ be integers, not all 0 , and let $B \geq \max \left|n_{m}\right|$. We assume $B \geq e^{2}$. The following result is due to Baker and Wüstholz.

Theorem BW ([1]). If $\zeta_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots \zeta_{l}^{n_{l}} \neq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \zeta_{l}^{n_{l}}-1\right|>\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\left(16(l+1) d_{F}\right)^{2(l+3)} \Omega \log B\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, Baker and Würtholz showed that if $\log \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \log \zeta_{L}$ are any fixed values of the logarithms, and $\Lambda=n_{1} \log \zeta_{1}+\ldots+n_{l} \log \zeta_{l} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\Lambda|>-\left(16 l d_{F}\right)^{2(l+2)} \Omega \log B \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (7) follows easily from (8) via an argument similar to the one used by Shorey et al. in their paper [9].

We also need the following $p$-adic analogue of theorem BW which is due to Alf van der Poorten.

Theorem vdP ([7]). Let $\pi$ be a prime ideal of F lying above a prime integer $p$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{\pi}\left(\zeta_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots \zeta_{l}^{n_{l}}-1\right)<\left(16(l+1) d_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{12(l+1)} \frac{p^{d_{\mathrm{F}}}}{\log p} \Omega(\log B)^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also need the following two results.
Theorem K ([4]). Let $A$ and $B$ be nonzero rational integers. Let $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ with $m n \geq 6$ be rational integers. For any two integers $x$ and $y$ let $X=\max (|x|,|y|)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A x^{m}+B y^{n}\right)>C\left(\log _{2} X \log _{3} X\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a computable constant depending only on $A, B, m$ and $n$.
Theorem $\mathrm{S}([8])$. Let $n>1$ and $A, B$ be nonzero integers. For integers $m>3, x$ and $y$ with $|x|>1, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, and $A x^{m}+B y^{n} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A x^{m}+B y^{n}\right) \geq C((\log m)(\log \log m))^{1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A x^{m}+B y^{n}\right| \geq \exp \left(C((\log m)(\log \log m))^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a computable number depending only on $A, B$ and $n$.
Let K be a finite extension of Q of degree $d$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ be the ring of algebraic integers inside K . For any element $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$, let $[\gamma]$ be the ideal generated by $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. For any ideal $I$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$, let $N(I)$ be the norm of $I$. Let $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{l}$ be a set of prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. Put

$$
p=\max P\left(N\left(\pi_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Write

$$
\pi_{i}^{h}=\left[p_{i}\right] \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, l
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $h$ is the class number of K . Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of all elements $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ such that [ $\alpha$ ] is exclusively composed of prime ideals $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{l}$. Then we have

Lemma T. ([9]). Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$. Assume that

$$
[\alpha]=\pi_{1}^{b_{1}} \pi_{2}^{b_{2}} \ldots \pi_{l}^{b_{1}}
$$

There exist a $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ with $|N(\beta)| \leq p^{\text {dhl }}$ and a unit $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ such that

$$
\alpha=\epsilon \beta p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{l}^{a_{l}} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
b_{i}=a_{i} h+c_{i} \quad \text { for some } 0 \leq c_{i}<h
$$

## 3. The Proofs

The Proof of Theorem 1. We may assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1$. By $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$, we shall denote computable positive numbers depending only on $a, b, c$. Let $(x, y, z, n)$ be a solution of (1). Assume that $x>y$, and that $x>3$. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a x^{y}, b y^{x}\right)$. Notice that $d \mid a b$. Equation (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a x^{y}}{d}+\frac{b y^{x}}{d}=\frac{c z^{n}}{d} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the abc Conjecture for $\epsilon=2 / 3$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\left|a x^{y}\right|,\left|b y^{x}\right|\left|c z^{n}\right|\right)<\frac{C(2 / 3) N_{0}(a b c)^{5 / 3}}{d^{2}} N_{0}(x y z)^{5 / 3} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
C_{1}=C(2 / 3) N_{0}(a b c)^{5 / 3}
$$

Since $d \geq 1$, and $|b| \geq 1$, from inequality (14) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x} \leq\left|b y^{x}\right|<C_{1}(x y|z|)^{5 / 3}<C_{1} x^{10 / 3}|z|^{5 / 3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x>\min (y, 3)$, it follows easily that $y^{x}>x^{y}$. Hence,

$$
|z|^{n}=\left|\frac{a}{c} x^{y}+\frac{b}{c} y^{x}\right|<C_{2} y^{x}
$$

where $C_{2}=\frac{|a|+|b|}{|c|}$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|<C_{2}^{1 / n} y^{x / n} \leq C_{2}^{1 / 2} y^{x / n} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (15) and (16) it follows that

$$
y^{x}<C_{1} C_{2}^{5 / 6} x^{10 / 3} y^{(5 x / 3 n)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x(1-5 / 3 n)}<C_{3} x^{10 / 3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}=C_{1} C_{2}^{5 / 6}$. Since $2 \leq y$ and $2 \leq n$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{x / 6} \leq 2^{x(1-5 / 3 n)}<C_{3} x^{10 / 3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (18) clearly shows that $x<C_{4}$.
The Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that

$$
P \geq \max (P(a), P(b), P(c))
$$

By $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$, we shall denote computable positive numbers depending only on $a, b, c, P$. We begin by showing that $n$ is bounded. Fix $d \in$ $\{2,3, \ldots, P-1\}$. Suppose that $x, y, z, n$ is a solution of (2) with $n>3$ and $d \mid y$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
b y^{x}=c z^{n}-a\left(x^{y / d}\right)^{d} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows, by Theorem S, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left(b y^{x}\right)=P\left(c z^{n}-a\left(x^{y / d}\right)^{d}\right)>C_{1}((\log n)(\log \log n))^{1 / 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a computable number depending only on $a, c, d$. Inequality (20) shows that $n<C_{2}$.

Suppose now that $n y \geq 6$. Let $X=\max (x,|z|)$. From equation (19) and theorem K , it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left(b y^{x}\right)=P\left(c z^{n}-a x^{y}\right)>C_{3}\left(\log _{2} X \log _{3} X\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}>0$ is a computable constant depending only on $a, c$, and $C_{2}$. From inequality (21) it follows that $X<C_{3}$. Let $C_{4}=\max \left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)$. It follows that, if $n y \geq 6$, then $\max (x,|z|, n)<C_{4}$. We now show that $y$ is bounded as well. Suppose that $y>\max \left(C_{4}, e^{2}\right.$ ). Rewrite equetion (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|c z|^{n}}{|a| x^{y}}=\left|1-\left(\frac{-b}{a}\right) y^{x} x^{-y}\right| . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A>e^{e}$ be an upper bound for the height of $-b / a$ and $C_{4}$. Let $\Omega=$ $(\log A)^{3}$. From theorem BW we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |c|+n \log |z|-\log |a|-y \log x>-\log 2-64^{12} \Omega \log y \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x \geq 2$, and $\max (x,|z|, n)<C_{4}$, it follows, by inequality (23), that $y \log 2-64^{12} \Omega \log y \leq y \log x-64^{12} \Omega \log y<C_{4} \log C_{4}-\log |a|+\log |c|+\log 2$.

From equation (24) it follows that $y<C_{5}$.

Suppose now that $n=y=2$. We first bound $z$ in terms of $x$. Rewrite equation (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=2^{x}\left|\frac{b}{c}\right| \cdot\left|1+\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2^{x}}\right)\right| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{6}>0$ be a computable positive number depending only on $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{a}{b}\right|\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2^{x}}\right)<\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } x>C_{6} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (25) and inequality (26), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{x}\left|\frac{b}{2 c}\right|<2^{x}\left|\frac{b}{c}\right|\left(1-\left|\frac{a}{b}\right|\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2^{x}}\right)\right)<z^{2}<2^{x}\left|\frac{b}{c}\right|\left(1+\left|\frac{a}{b}\right|\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2^{x}}\right)\right)<2^{x}\left|\frac{3 b}{2 c}\right| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>C_{6}$. Taking logarithms in inequality (27) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x C_{7}+C_{8}<\log z<x C_{7}+C_{9} \quad \text { for } x>C_{6} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{7}=\frac{\log 2}{2}, C_{8}=\frac{\log |b|-\log 2|c|}{2}$, and $C_{9}=\frac{\log |3 b|-\log |2 c|}{2}$. We now rewrite equation (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c z)^{2}-a c x^{2}=a b 2^{x} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\sqrt{a c}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c z+\alpha x)(c z-\alpha x)=c b 2^{x} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish 2 cases.
CASE 1. $a c<0$. Let $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Q}[\alpha]$. Since $a c<0$, it follows that all the units of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ are roots of unity. Since $K$ is a quadratic field, it follows that the ideal [2] has at most two prime divisors. Since

$$
\operatorname{gcd}([c z+\alpha x],[c z-\alpha x]) \mid 2[\alpha b c]
$$

it follows, by lemma T , that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c z+\alpha x=\epsilon \beta p^{u} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{x}{h}-1<u \leq \frac{x}{h}$, and $\epsilon, \beta, p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ are such that $|\epsilon|=1,|p|=2^{h / 2}$, where $h$ is the class number of K , and $|\beta|<C_{10}$ where $C_{10}$ is a computable number depending only on $a, b$, and $c$. Conjugating equation (31) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c z-\alpha x=\bar{\epsilon} \bar{\beta} \bar{p}^{u} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (31) and (32) it follows that

$$
2 \alpha x=\epsilon \beta p^{u}\left(1-\left(-\epsilon^{-2}\right)(\beta)^{-1} \bar{\beta}(p)^{-u}(\bar{p})^{u}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2|\alpha| x=|\beta||p|^{u}\left|1-\left(-\epsilon^{-2}\right)(\beta)^{-1} \bar{\beta}(p)^{-u}(\bar{p})^{u}\right| \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithms in equation (33) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (2|\alpha|)+\log x=\log |\beta|+u \log p+\log \left|1-\left(-\epsilon^{-2}\right)(\beta)^{-1} \bar{\beta}(p)^{-u}(\bar{p})^{u}\right| \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$, and $P$ be upper bounds for the heights of $-\epsilon^{-2}(\beta)^{-1} \bar{\beta}$ and $p$, respectively. Assume that $\min (A, P)>e^{e}$. Let $\Omega=\log A(\log P)^{2}$. Assume also that $\frac{x}{h}>1+e^{2}$. From equation (34), theorem BW, the fact that $|p|=2^{h / 2}$, and the fact that $\frac{x}{h}-1<u \leq \frac{x}{h}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log (2|\alpha|)+\log x>\log |\beta|+u \log |p|-\log 2-64^{12} \Omega \log u> \\
\log |\beta|+\left(\frac{x}{h}-1\right) \cdot\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) \log 2-\log 2-64^{12} \Omega \log (x / h) . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Inequality (35) clearly shows that $x<C_{11}$.
CASE 2. $a c>0$. We may assume that both $a$ and $c$ are positive. If $b<0$, equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a| x^{2}-|b| 2^{x}=|c| z^{2}>0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (36) clearly shows that $x<C_{12}$. Hence, we assume that $b>0$. We distinguish two subcases.

CASE 2.1. $\sqrt{a c} \in \mathrm{Z}$. In this case, from equation

$$
(c|z|+\alpha x)(c|z|-\alpha x)=b c 2^{x}
$$

and from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(c|z|+\alpha x, c|z|-\alpha x) \mid 2 \alpha c b \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows easily that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c|z|+\alpha x=\beta 2^{u}  \tag{38}\\
c|z|-\alpha x=\gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\beta, \gamma, u$ are positive integers with $0<\beta<b c, \gamma<(b c) \cdot(2 \alpha c b)$ and $u>x-\operatorname{ord}_{2}(2 \alpha c b)$. From equation (38) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha x=\beta 2^{x}-\gamma \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (39), and from the fact that $0<\beta<b c, \gamma<(b c) \cdot(2 \alpha c b)$, and $u>x-\operatorname{ord}_{2}(2 \alpha c b)$, it follows that $x<C_{13}$.

CASE 2.2. $\sqrt{a c} \notin \mathrm{Z}$. Let $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Q}[\alpha]$. Let $\epsilon$ be a generator of the torsion free subgroup of the units group of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$. From equation (37) and lemma T , it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c|z|+\alpha x=\epsilon^{m} \beta_{1} p_{1}^{u} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{x}{h}-1<u \leq \frac{x}{h}$, and $\beta, p_{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{K}}$ are such that $1<\beta_{1}<C_{14}$ for some computable constant $C_{14}$, and $1<p_{1}<2^{h} \cdot \epsilon$. From equation (40), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c|z|-\alpha x=\epsilon^{-m} \beta_{2} p_{2}^{u} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{2}=\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2} / \beta_{1}$, and $p_{2}=2^{h} / p_{1}$. Suppose now that $x>C_{6}$. Since

$$
\epsilon^{m}=p_{1}^{-u} \beta_{1}^{-1}(c|z|+\alpha x)
$$

it follows, from inequality (28), and from the fact that $\frac{x}{h}-1<u \leq \frac{x}{h}$ and $1<p_{1}<2^{h} \cdot \epsilon$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m|<C_{15} x+C_{16} \quad \text { for } x>C_{6} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some computable constants $C_{15}$ and $C_{16}$ depending only on $a, b$, and $c$. From equations (40) and (41), it follows that

$$
2 \alpha x=\epsilon^{m} \beta_{1} p_{1}^{u} \cdot\left(1-\epsilon^{-2 m}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{-1} \beta_{2}\left(p_{1}\right)^{-u} p_{2}^{u}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha x=(c|z|+\alpha x) \cdot\left(1-\epsilon^{-2 m}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{-1} \beta_{2}\left(p_{1}\right)^{-u} p_{2}^{u}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ be upper bounds for the heights of $\epsilon,\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{-1} \beta_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$ respectively. Assume that $\min \left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}\right)>e^{e}$. Denote $\Omega=$ $\prod_{i=1}^{4} \log A_{i}$. Denote $C_{17}=\max \left(2 C_{15}, 1 / h\right)$. From inequality (42), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max (2|m|, u)<C_{17} x+C_{16} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B=C_{17} x+C_{16}$. Taking logarithms in equation (43), and applying theorem BW, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log (2 \alpha)+\log x=\log (c|z|+\alpha x)+\log \left|1-\epsilon^{-2 m}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{-1} \beta_{2}\left(p_{1}\right)^{-u} p_{2}^{u}\right|> \\
\log (c|z|+\alpha x)-\log 2-80^{14} \Omega \log \left(C_{17} x+C_{16}\right) \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining inequalities (28) and (45) we obtain
$\log (4 \alpha)+\log x+80^{14} \Omega \log \left(C_{17} x+C_{16}\right)>\log (c|z|+\alpha x)>\log z>C_{7} x+C_{8}$
This last inequality clearly shows that $x<C_{18}$.

The Proof of Theorem 3. We treat only the equation

$$
x^{y}+y^{x}=z^{2} .
$$

We may assume that $x$ is even. First notice that, since $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, it follows that $\operatorname{gcd}(x, z)=\operatorname{gcd}(y, z)=1$. Rewrite equation (4) as

$$
x^{y}=\left(z+y^{x / 2}\right)\left(z-y^{x / 2}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(z, y^{x / 2}\right)=1$ and both $z$ and $y$ are odd, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(z+y^{x / 2}, z-y^{x / 2}\right)=2 .
$$

Write $x=2 d_{1} d_{2}$ such that either one of the following holds

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ z + y ^ { x / 2 } = 2 ^ { y - 1 } d _ { 1 } ^ { y } }  \tag{46}\\
{ z - y ^ { x / 2 } = 2 d _ { 2 } ^ { y } }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
z+y^{x / 2}=2 d_{1}^{y} \\
z-y^{x / 2}=2^{y-1} d_{2}^{y}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Hence, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed in several steps.
Step 1. (1) If $x>y$ then either $y \leq 9$ and $x<27$, or $y>9$ and $x<3 y$.
(2) If $x<y$ and $y>2.6 \cdot 10^{21}$, then $y<4 x$.
(1) Assume first that $x>y$. Since

$$
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \quad \text { or } \quad y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}<2^{y-1} d_{1}^{y}<\left(2 d_{1}\right)^{y}<x^{y} \quad \text { or } \quad y^{x / 2}<d_{1}^{y}<x^{y} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{2} \log y<y \log x \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (50) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{\log x}<2 \frac{y}{\log y} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y \leq 9$, then one can check easily that (51) implies $x<27$. Suppose now that $y>9$. We show that inequality (51) implies $x<3 y$. Indeed, assume that $x \geq 3 y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 y}{\log 3+\log y}=\frac{3 y}{\log (3 y)} \leq \frac{x}{\log x}<\frac{2 y}{\log y} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (52) is equivalent to

$$
3 \log y<\log 9+2 \log y
$$

or $y<9$. This contradiction shows that $x<3 y$ for $y>9$.
(2) Assume now that $x<y$. Suppose first that

$$
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y}
$$

In this case

$$
\left(2 d_{1}\right)^{y}>2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}=d_{2}^{y}+y^{x / 2}>d_{2}^{y}
$$

therefore $2 d_{1}>d_{2}$. Since $x=2 d_{1} d_{2}$, it follows that $2 d_{1}>\sqrt{x}$, or $d_{1}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}$.
Suppose now that

$$
y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y}
$$

In this case,

$$
d_{1}^{y}>2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y}>d_{2}^{y}
$$

or $d_{1}>d_{2}$. We obtain that $d_{1}>\sqrt{d_{1} d_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}$.
If equality (47) holds, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}\left|1-2^{-(y-2)}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\right)^{y}\right| \geq d_{1}^{y}\left|1-2^{-(y-2)}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\right)^{y}\right| \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if equality (48) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}\left|1-2^{y-2}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\right)^{y}\right| \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (53) and equation (54), we conclude that, in, either case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2} \geq d_{1}^{y}\left|1-2^{\epsilon(y-2)}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}\right)^{y}\right| \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$. Suppose now that $x>e^{e}$. By theorem BW, and inequality (55), it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{x}{2} \log y \geq y \log d_{1}-\log 2-48^{10} e \log x \log y \geq \\
y \log \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}-\log 2-48^{10} e \log x \log y \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
48^{10} e \log x \log y+\log 2+\frac{x}{2} \log y>y \log \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2} . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

CASE 1. Assume that $x<2^{6}$. From inequality (57), it follows that

$$
48^{10} e \cdot 6 \log 2 \cdot \log y+\log 2+2^{5} \log y>y \log \frac{\sqrt{e^{e}}}{2}>\frac{y}{2}
$$

or

$$
\left(48^{10} e \cdot 6 \log 2+2^{5}\right) \log y+\log 2>\frac{y}{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(48^{10} e \cdot 6 \log 2+2^{5}+1\right)>\frac{y}{\log y} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{1}=2\left(48^{10} e \cdot 6 \log 2+2^{5}+1\right)$. From inequality (58) and lemma 2 in [6], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y<C_{1} \log ^{2} C_{1}<2\left(48^{10} e \cdot 6 \log 2+2^{5}+1\right) \cdot 42^{2}<2.6 \cdot 10^{21} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

CASE 2. Assume that $x \geq 2^{6}$. Then,

$$
d_{1}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2} \geq \sqrt[3]{x}
$$

Inequality (56) becomes

$$
48^{10} e \log x \log y+\log 2+\frac{x}{2} \log y>\frac{1}{3} y \log x
$$

or

$$
3 e 48^{10} \log x \log y+\log 8+\frac{3}{2} x \log y>y \log x
$$

or

$$
\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right) \log x \log y+\frac{3}{2} x \log y>y \log x
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 e 48^{10}+1+\frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{\log x}>\frac{y}{\log y} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{\log x}<3 e 48^{10}+1 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{\log x}<\frac{2}{3}\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{2}=\frac{2}{3}\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right)$. From inequality (62) and lemma 2 in [6], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x<C_{2} \log ^{2} C_{2}<\frac{2}{3}\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right) \cdot 41^{2}<6 \cdot 10^{20} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, from inequalities (60) and (61), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y}{\log y}<2\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{3}=2\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right)$. It follows, by inequality (64) and lemma 2 in [6], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y<C_{3} \log ^{2} C_{3}<2\left(3 e 48^{10}+1\right) \cdot 42^{2}<1.8 \cdot 10^{21} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $y>2.6 \cdot 10^{21}$. From inequality (59), it follows that $x \geq 2^{6}$. Moreover, since inequality (65) is a consequence of inequality (61), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{\log x} \geq 3 e 48^{10}+1 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequalitites (60) and (66) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 x}{\log x}>\frac{y}{\log y} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that inequality (67) implies $y<4 x$. Indeed, assume that $y \geq 4 x$. Then inequality (67) implies

$$
\frac{3 x}{\log x}>\frac{y}{\log y} \geq \frac{4 x}{\log (4 x)}=\frac{4 x}{\log x+\log 4}
$$

or

$$
3 \log x+3 \log 4>4 \log x
$$

or $3 \log 4>\log x$ which contradicts the fact that $x \geq 2^{6}$.
Step 2. If $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$, then $y$ is prime.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \quad \text { or } \quad y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if $y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y}$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=1$. Let $p \mid y$ be a prime number. Since $p \nmid 2 d_{1} d_{2}=x$, it follows, by theorem vdP, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{2} \leq \max \left(\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y}\right), \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y}\right)\right)<48^{36} e \frac{p}{\log p} \log ^{2} y \log x \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

By step 1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} y<x \leq 2 \cdot 48^{36} e \frac{p}{\log p} \log ^{2} y \log (4 y)<4 \cdot 48^{36} e \frac{p}{\log p} \log ^{3} y . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y}{\log ^{3} y}<16 \cdot 48^{36} e \frac{p}{\log p}<16 \cdot 48^{36} e p \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $y$ is not prime. Let $p \mid y$ be a prime such that $p \leq \sqrt{y}$. From inequality (71) it follows that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\log ^{3} y}<16 \cdot 48^{36} e
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\log ^{3}(\sqrt{y})}<128 \cdot 48^{36} e \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=\sqrt{y}$ and $C_{4}=128 \cdot 48^{36} e$. By inequality (72) and lemma 2 in [6], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{y}=k<C_{4} \log ^{4} C_{4}=128 \cdot 48^{36} e \cdot 146^{4}<5.3 \cdot 10^{71} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y<\left(5.3 \cdot 10^{71}\right)^{2}<3 \cdot 10^{143} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last inequality contradicts the assumption that $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$.
Step 3. If $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$, then $x>y$.
Let $y=p$ be a prime. If $y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y}$, it follows, by Fermat's little theorem that

$$
2^{-1} d_{1}-d_{2} \equiv 2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \equiv y^{x / 2} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} \equiv 2 d_{2}(\bmod p) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y}$, then

$$
\left.d_{1}-2^{-1} d_{2} \equiv d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \equiv y^{x / 2} \equiv 0 \bmod p\right)
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2} \equiv 2 d_{1}(\bmod p) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $x<y$. From congruences (75) and (76), we conclude that, in both cases, $x$ is a perfect square. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x}=z^{2}-(\sqrt{x})^{2 y}=\left(z+(\sqrt{x})^{y}\right) \cdot\left(z-(\sqrt{x})^{y}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (77) it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z-(\sqrt{x})^{y}=1  \tag{78}\\
z+(\sqrt{x})^{y}=y^{x}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\sqrt{x})^{y}=y^{x}-1 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows, by equation (79) and theorem BW, that

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\log \left|y^{x}-2(\sqrt{x})^{y}\right|=\log \left(y^{x}\right)+\log \left|1-2 y^{-x}(\sqrt{x})^{y}\right|> \\
x \log y-\log 2-64^{12} e \log ^{2} y \log x \tag{80}
\end{gather*}
$$

From inequality (80) and Step 1 it follows that

$$
\log 2+64^{12} e \log ^{3} y>x \log y>\frac{y \log y}{4}
$$

or

$$
4 \log 2+4 \cdot 64^{12} e \log ^{3} y>y \log y
$$

or

$$
\left(4 \cdot 64^{12} e+1\right) \log ^{2} y>y
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \cdot 64^{12} e+1>\frac{y}{\log ^{2} y} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{5}=4 \cdot 64^{12} e+1$. By inequality (81) and lemma 2 in [6] it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y<C_{5} \log ^{3} C_{5}<\left(4 \cdot 64^{12} e+1\right) \cdot 53^{3}<8 \cdot 10^{27} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality contradicts the fact that $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$.
Step 4. Suppose that $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$. Let $y=p$ be a prime. Then, with the notations of Step 1, every solution of equation (4) is of one of the following forms:
(1) $y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \quad$ with $y=p, d_{1}=2+p, d_{2}=1, x=4+2 p$
(2) $y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \quad$ with $y=p, d_{1}=\frac{3 p-1}{2}, d_{2}=1, x=3 p-1$
(3) $y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \quad$ with $y=p, d_{1}=\frac{p-1}{2}, d_{2}=3, x=3 p-9$

We assume that $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$. In this case, $y=p$ is prime, and $x>y$. From Step 1 we conclude that $x<3 y$. Moreover, from the arguments used at Step 1 it follows that $d_{1}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}$. Since $x=2 d_{1} d_{2}$, it follows that

$$
d_{2}<\sqrt{x}<\sqrt{3 y}=\sqrt{3 p}
$$

By the arguments used at Step 3 we may assume that $x$ is not a perfect square. We distinguish the following cases.

CASE 1. $d_{2}=1$. By congruences (75) and (76) it follows that $d_{1} \equiv$ $2(\bmod p)$, or $2 d_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

Assume that $d_{1} \equiv 2(\bmod p)$. Since $x=2 d_{1}$, and $p=y<x<3 y=3 p$, it follows that $d_{1}=2+p$ and $x=2 d_{1}=4+2 p$.

Assume that $2 d_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Again, since $x=2 d_{1}$, and $p=y<x<$ $3 y=3 p$, it follows that $d_{1}=\frac{3 p-1}{2}$, and $x=3 p-1$.

CASE 2. $d_{2}=2$. By congruences (75) and (76) it follows that $d_{1} \equiv$ $4(\bmod p)$, or $d_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. One can easily check that there is no solution in this case. Indeed, if $d_{1} \equiv 4(\bmod p)$, it follows that $d_{1} \geq p+4$. Hence, $x=2 d_{1} d_{2} \geq 4(p+4)>3 p=3 y$ which contradicts the fact that $x<3 y$.

Similar arguments can be used to show that there is no solution for which $d_{2}=2$ and $d_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

CASE 3. $d_{2}=3$. By congruences (75) and (76) it follows that $d_{1} \equiv$ $6(\bmod p)$, or $2 d_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod p)$. One can easily check that there is no solution for which $d_{1} \equiv 6(\bmod p)$. Suppose that $2 d_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod p)$. Since $p=y<x<3 y=3 p$ and $x=2 d_{1} d_{2}=6 d_{1}$, it follows easily that $d_{1}=\frac{p-3}{2}$, and $x=3 p-9$.

CASE 4. $d_{2}=k \geq 4$.
If $k$ is even, then, by congruences (75) and (76), it follows that $d_{1} \equiv$ $2 k(\bmod p)$, or $d_{1} \equiv k / 2(\bmod p)$. Since $x$ is not a perfect square it follows that $d_{1} \geq p+k / 2$, therefore $x \geq 2 p k+k^{2}>p k \geq 4 p>3 p=3 y$ contradicting the fact that $x<3 y$.

If $k$ is odd, then, by congruences (75) and (76), it follows that $d_{1} \equiv$ $2 k(\bmod p)$, or $2 d_{1} \equiv k(\bmod p)$. We conclude that $d_{1} \geq \frac{p-k}{2}$, therefore $x=2 d_{1} d_{2} \geq k(p-k)$. Since $k(p-k)>3 p$ for $5 \leq k \leq \sqrt{3 p}$ and $p \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$, we conclude that $x>3 p=3 y$ contradicting again the fact that $x<3 y$.

Step 5. There are no solutions of equation (2) with $y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{142}$ and $x$ even.

According to Step 4 we need to treat the following cases.
CASE 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x / 2}=2^{y-2} d_{1}^{y}-d_{2}^{y} \quad \text { with } y=p, d_{1}=2+p, d_{2}=1, x=4+2 p \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2+p}=2^{p-2}(2+p)^{p}-1>2^{p-3}(2+p)^{p} . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithms in inequality (84) we obtain

$$
(2+p) \log p>(p-3) \log 2+p \log (p+2)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log p+p(\log p-\log (p+2))>(p-3) \log 2 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows, by inequality (85), that

$$
2 \log p>(p-3) \log 2
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \log 2<2 \log p+3 \log 2<5 \log p \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (86) is certainly false for $p=y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$.
CASE 2.

$$
y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \quad \text { with } y=p, d_{1}=\frac{3 p-1}{2}, d_{2}=1, x=3 p-1
$$

Hence,

$$
p^{(3 p-1) / 2}=\left(\frac{3 p-1}{2}\right)^{p}-2^{p-2}<\left(\frac{3 p-1}{2}\right)^{p}<\left(\frac{3 p}{2}\right)^{p}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(p-1) / 2}<\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{p} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithms in inequality (87) it follows that

$$
\frac{p-1}{2} \log p<p \log 1.5
$$

or

$$
\log p<\frac{2 p}{p-1} \log 1.5<3 \log 1.5<\log 1.5^{3}
$$

It follows that $p<1.5^{3}<4$ which contradicts the fact that $p \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$.
CASE 3.

$$
y^{x / 2}=d_{1}^{y}-2^{y-2} d_{2}^{y} \quad \text { with } y=p, d_{1}=\frac{p-1}{2}, d_{2}=3, x=3 p-9 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(3 p-9) / 2}=\left(\frac{p-3}{2}\right)^{p}-2^{p-2} 3^{p}<\left(\frac{p-3}{2}\right)^{p}<p^{p} . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (88) it follows that $\frac{3 p-9}{2}<p$ or $p<9$ which contradicts the fact that $p=y \geq 3 \cdot 10^{143}$.

The Proof of Theorem 4. The given equation has no solution ( $y, z, n$ ) with $n>1$ and $y$ odd, $y<5$. Assume now that $y \geq 5$. We may assume that $n$ is prime. We first show that $n$ is odd. Indeed, assume that $(y, z)$ is a positive solution of $y^{2}+2^{y}=z^{2}$ with both $y$ and $z$ odd. Then $(z+y)(z-y)=2^{y}$. Since gcd $(z+y, z-y)=2$ it follows that $z-y=2$ and $z+y=2^{y-1}$. Hence, $y=2^{y-2}-1$. However, one can easily check that $2^{y-2}-1>y$ for $y \geq 5$.

Assume now that $n=p \geq 3$ is an odd prime. Write

$$
\left(y+2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}\right) \cdot\left(y-2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}\right)=z^{n}
$$

Since $\mathrm{Z}[i \sqrt{2}]$ is euclidian and

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(y+2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}, y-2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}\right)=1
$$

it follows that there exists $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y+2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}=(a+b i \sqrt{2})^{n}  \tag{89}\\
y-2^{(y-1) / 2} \cdot i \sqrt{2}=(a-b i \sqrt{2})^{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From equations (89) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{(a+b i \sqrt{2})^{n}+(a-b i \sqrt{2})^{n}}{2} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(y-1) / 2}=\frac{(a+b i \sqrt{2})^{n}-(a-b i \sqrt{2})^{n}}{2 \sqrt{2} i} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (90) we conclude that $a$ is odd. From equation (91), it follows that

$$
2^{(y-1) / 2}=b\left(n a^{n-1}+s\right),
$$

where $s$ is even. Since both $n$ and $a$ are odd, it follows that $n a^{n-1}+s$ is odd as well. Hence, $b=2^{(y-1) / 2}$. Equation (5) can now be rewritten as

$$
y^{2}+2^{y}=z^{n}=((a+b i \sqrt{2}) \cdot(a-b i \sqrt{2}))^{n}=\left(a^{2}+2 b^{2}\right)^{n}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+2^{y}=\left(a^{2}+2^{y}\right)^{n}>2^{n y} \geq 2^{3 y} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (92) implies that

$$
y^{2}>2^{3 y}-2^{y}=2^{y}\left(2^{2 y}-1\right)>2^{y}
$$

which is false for $y \geq 5$.
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