

# SOME PROPERTIES OF SMARANDACHE FUNCTIONS OF THE TYPE I

by

Balacenciu Ion and Seleacu Vasile

Department of mathematics

University of Craiova

We consider the construction of Smarandache functions of the type I  $S_p$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $p$  prim) which are defined in [1] and [2] as follows:

$$S_n : \mathbb{N}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \quad ; \quad S_1(k) = 1 \quad ; \quad S_n(k) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \left( S_{p_j}(i_j, k) \right)$$

$$\text{for} \quad n = p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \dots p_r^{i_r}$$

In this paper there are presented some properties of these functions. We shall study the monotonicity of each function  $S_n$  and also the monotonicity of some subsequences of the sequence  $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ .

**1. Proposition.** The function  $S_n$  is monotonous increasing for every positiv integer n.

**Proof.** The function  $S_1$  is abviously monotonous increasing.

Let  $k_1 < k_2$  where  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Supposing that n is a prime number

and taking accont that  $(S_n(k_2))! = \text{multiple } n^{k_1} = \text{multiple } n^{k_2}$ ,

it results that  $S_n(k_1) \leq S_n(k_2)$ , therefore  $S_n$  is monotonous increasing.

$$\text{Let } S_n(k_1) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{ S_{p_j}(i_j, k_1) \} = S_{p_m}(i_m, k_1)$$

$$S_n(k_2) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{ S_{p_j}(i_j, k_2) \} = S_{p_t}(i_t, k_2)$$

$$\text{Because } S_{p_m}(i_m, k_1) \leq S_{p_m}(i_m, k_2) \leq S_{p_t}(i_t, k_2)$$

it results that  $S_n(k_1) \leq S_n(k_2)$  so  $S_n$  is monotonous increasing.

**2. Proposition.** The sequence of functions  $(S_p^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is monotonous increasing, for every prime number  $p$ .

**Proof.** For any two numbers  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $i_1 < i_2$  and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

we have :

$$S_{p_1}^{i_1}(n) = S_{p_1}(i_1, n) \leq S_{p_1}(i_2, n) = S_{p_1}^{i_2}(n) \text{ therefore } S_{p_1}^{i_1} \leq S_{p_1}^{i_2}$$

Hence the sequence  $(S_p^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is monotonous increasing for every prime number  $p$ .

**3. Proposition.** Let  $p$  and  $q$  two given prime numbers. If  $p < q$  then

$$S_p(k) < S_q(k) \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

**Proof.** Let the sequence of coefficients (see [2])  $a_1^{(p)}, a_2^{(p)}, \dots, a_s^{(p)}, \dots$

Every  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  can be uniquely written as

$$k = t_1 a_1^{(p)} + t_2 a_2^{(p)} + \dots + t_s a_s^{(p)} \quad (1)$$

where  $0 \leq t_i \leq p-1$ , for  $i = \overline{1, s-1}$ , and  $0 \leq t_s \leq p$ .

The procedure of passing from  $k$  to  $k+1$  in formule (1) is :

(i)  $t_s$  is increasing with a unity.

(ii) if  $t_s$  can not increase with a unity, then  $t_{s-1}$  is increasing with a unity and  $t_s = 0$

(iii) if neither  $t_s$ , nor  $t_{s-1}$  are not increasing with a unity then  $t_{s-2}$  is increasing with a unity and  $t_s = t_{s-1} = 0$

The procedure is continued in the same way until we obtain the expression of  $k+1$ .

Denoting  $\Delta_k(S_p) = S_p(k+1) - S_p(k)$  the leap of the function  $S_p$

when we pass from  $k$  to  $k+1$  corresponding to the procedure described above. We find that

- in the case (i)  $\Delta_k(S_p) = p$
- in the case (ii)  $\Delta_k(S_p) = 0$
- in the case (iii)  $\Delta_k(S_p) = 0$

It is obviously seen that:  $S_p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_p) + S_p(1)$ .

Analogously we write  $S_q(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_q) + S_q(1)$

Taking into account that  $S_p(1) = p < q = S_q(1)$  and using the procedure of passing from  $k$  to  $k+1$  we deduce that the number of leaps with zero value of  $S_p$  is greater then the number of leaps with zero value of  $S_q$ , respectively the number of leaps with value  $p$  of  $S_p$  is less then the number of leaps of  $S_q$  with value

q it result that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_p) + S_p(1) < \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_q) + S_q(1) \quad (2)$$

Hence  $S_p(n) < S_q(n)$  ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

As an example we give a table with  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  for  $0 < n < 21$

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
the leap	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2
$S_2(k)$	2	4	4	6	8	8	8	10	12	12	14	16	16	16	18	18	20	22	24	24
the leap	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	3
$S_3(k)$	3	6	9	9	12	15	18	18	21	24	27	27	27	30	33	36	36	39	42	45

Hence  $S_2(k) < S_3(k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 20$ .

**4. Remark.** For any monotonous increasing sequence of prime numbers

$p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n < \dots$  it results that

$$S_1 < S_{p_1} < S_{p_2} < \dots < S_{p_n} < \dots$$

If  $n = p_1^{t_1} p_2^{t_2} \dots p_t^{t_t}$  and  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$  then

$$S_n(k) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq t} (S_{p_j}(k)) = S_{p_t}(k) = S_{p_t}(ik)$$

**5. Proposition.** If  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers and  $p.i < q$  then  $S_p < S_q$ .

Proof. Because  $p.i < q$  it results

$$S_p(1) \leq p.i < q = S_q(1) \quad (3)$$

and  $S_p(k) = S_p(ik) \leq i S_p(k)$ .

From (3) passing from  $k$  to  $k+1$ , we deduce

$$\Delta_k(S_p) \leq i \Delta_k(S_p) \quad (4)$$

Taking into account the proposition 3. from (4) it results that

when we pass from  $k$  to  $k+1$  we obtain

$$\Delta_k(S_p) \leq i \Delta_k(S_p) \leq i, p < q \text{ and } i \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_p) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_q) \quad (5)$$

Because we have

$$S_p^i(n) = S_p^i(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_p) \leq S_p^i(1) + i \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_p)$$

and

$$S_q(n) = S_q(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k(S_q)$$

from (3) and (5) it results  $S_p^i(n) \leq S_q(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

**6. Proposition.** If  $p$  is a prime number then  $S_n < S_p$  for every  $n < p$ .

**Proof.** If  $n$  is a prime number from  $n < p$ , using the proposition 3 it results  $S_n(k) < S_p(k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $n$  is a composed, that

is  $n = p_1^{t_1} \dots p_t^{t_t}$  then  $S_n(k) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq t} \langle S_{p_j^{t_j}}(k) \rangle = S_{p_r^{t_r}}(k)$ .

Because  $n < p$  it results  $p_r^{t_r} < p$  and using the proposition 5

and knowing that  $i_r p_r \leq p_r^{t_r} < p$  it results that  $S_{p_r^{t_r}}^i(k) \leq S_p(k)$

therefore for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$   $S_n(k) < S_p(k)$ .

#### References

- [1] Balacenciu I, Smarandache Numerical Functions in Smarandache Function Journal nr. 4 / 1994.
- [2] Smarandache F., A function in the number theory. " An. Univ. Timisoara" vol XVIII, fasc 1, pp 79-88.