Asia Pacific Journal of Research ISSN: 2320-5504, E-ISSN-2347-4793 Vol: I. Issue XXI, January 2015



### SMARANDACHE - R-MODULE AND COMMUTATIVE AND BOUNDED BE-ALGEBRAS

# Dr. N. KANNAPPA<sup>1</sup> P. HIRUDAYARA $J^2$

 <sup>1</sup>Head & Associate Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, TBML College, Porayar - 609307, TamilNadu, India
 <sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, RVS College of Arts and Science, Karaikal - 609609, Puducherry, India.

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduced Smarandache -2 – algebraic structure of R-Module namely Smarandache – R-Module. A Smarandache – 2 – algebraic structure on a set N means a weak algebraic structure  $A_0$  on N such that there exist a proper subset M of N, which is embedded with a stronger algebraic structure  $A_1$ , stronger algebraic structure means satisfying more axioms, by proper subset one understands a subset different from the empty set, from the unit element if any, from the whole set. We define Smarandache – R-Module and obtain some of its characterization through Commutative and Bounded BE-Algebras. For basic concepts we refers to Florentin smarandache[2] and Raul Padilla[9].

#### Keyword: R-Module, Smarandache – R-Module, BE-Algebras.

#### **1.INTRODUCTION**

New notions are introduced in algebra to study more about the congruence in number theory by Florentin smarandache[2]. By proper subset> of a set A, We consider a set P included in A and different from A, different from the empty set, and from the unit element in A - if any they rank the algebraic structures using an order relationship.

The algebraic structures  $S_1 \ll S_2$  if :both are defined on the same set :: all  $S_1$  laws are also  $S_2$  laws; all axioms of  $S_1$  law are accomplished by the corresponding  $S_2$  law;  $S_2$  law strictly accomplishes more axioms than  $S_1$  laws, or in other words  $S_2$  laws has more laws than  $S_1$ .

For example : semi group << monoid << group << ring << field, or Semi group << commutative semi group, ring << unitary ring, etc. they define a General special structure to be a structure SM on a set A, different from a structure SN, such that a proper subset of A is an SN structure, where SM << SN.

# 2. Prerequistics

**Definition 2.1:** An algebra (A; \*, 1) of type (2, 0) is called a BE-algebra if for all x, y and z in A,

(BE1) x \* x = 1

(BE2) *x* \* 1 = 1

(BE3) 
$$1 * x = x$$

(BE4) x \* (y \* z) = y \* (x \* z).

In A, a binary relation " $\leq$ " is defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if x \* y = 1.

**Definition 2.2:** A BE-algebra (X; \*, 1) is said to be self-distributive if x \* (y \* z) = (x \* y) \* (x \* z) for all x, y and  $z \in A$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A dual BCK-algebra is an algebra (A; \*, 1) of type (2,0) satisfying (BE1) and (BE2) and the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

(dBCK1) x \* y = y \* x = 1 implies x = y

(dBCK2) (x \* y) \* ((y \* z) \* (x \* z)) = 1

(dBCK3) x \* ((x \* y) \* y) = 1.

**Definition 2.4:** Let A be a BE-algebra or dual BCK-algebra . A is said to be commutative if the following identity holds:

 $x \vee_{B} y = y \vee_{B} x$  where  $x \vee_{B} y = (y * x) * x$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Definition 2.5:** Let A be a BE-algebra. If there exists an element 0 satisfying  $0 \le x$  (or 0 \* x = 1) for all  $x \in A$ , then the element "0" is called unit of A. A BE-algebra with unit is called a bounded BE-algebra.

**Note :** In a bounded BE-algebra x \* 0 denoted by xN.

**Definition 2.6:** In a bounded BE-algebra, the element x such that xNN = x is called an involution .

Let S (A) = { $x \in A$  ; xNN = x } where A is a bounded BE-algebra. S(A) is the set of all involutions in A. Moreover, since 1NN = (1 \* 0) \* 0 = 0 \* 0 = 1 and 0NN = (0 \* 0) \* 0 = 1 \* 0 = 0, We have  $0, 1 \in S(A)$  and so  $S(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.7:** Each of the elements *a* and *b* in a bounded BE-algebra is called the complement of the other if  $a \lor b = 1$  and  $a \land b = 0$ .

**Definition 2.8**: Now we have introduced our concept smarandache - R - module : "Let R be a module, called R-module. If R is said to be smarandache - R - module. Then there exist a proper subset A of R which is an algebra with respect to the same induced operations of R."

## ISSN: 2320-5504, E-ISSN-2347-4793

# 3.Theorem

**Theorem 3.1:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold, then the following conditions are satisfied,

(i) 
$$1N = 0, 0N = 1$$

(ii) 
$$x \le xNN$$

(iii) 
$$x * yN = y * xN$$

(iv)  $0 \lor x = xNN, x \lor 0 = x.$ 

*Proof.* Let R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is bounded BE-algebras.

(i) We have 1N=1\*0=0 and 0N=0\*0=1. by using (BE1) and (BE3)

(ii) Since 
$$x * xNN = x * ((x * 0) * 0) = (x * 0) * (x * 0) = 1$$

We get  $x \le x$  (by (BE1) and (BE4))

(iii) We have x \* yN = x \* (y \* 0) (by using (BE4))

$$= y * (x * 0)$$
$$= y * xN.$$

(iv) By routine operations, we have  $0 \lor x = (x * 0) * 0 = xNN$  and  $x \lor 0 = (0 * x) * x = 1 * x = x$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold, then the following conditions are satisfied  $x * y \le (y \lor x) * y$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is bounded BE-algebras. Since

 $(x * y) * ((y \lor x) * y) = (y \lor x) * ((x * y) * y) = (y \lor x) * (y \lor x) = 1$ 

```
We have x * y \le (y \lor x) * y.
```

**Theorem 3.3:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold. In addition to that satisfy x \* (y \* z) = (x \* y) \* (x \* z) then the following conditions are satisfied for all  $x, y, z \in A$ 

(i) x \* y ≤ y N \* xN
(ii) x ≤ y implies yN ≤ xN.

*Proof.* Since R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is bounded and Self-Distributive BE-algebras.

(i) Since (x \* y) \* (yN \* xN)= (x \* y) \* ((y \* 0) \* (x \* 0))= (y \* 0) \* ((x \* y) \* (x \* 0)) (by BE4) = (y \* 0) \* (x \* (y \* 0)) (by distributivity) = x \* ((y \* 0) \* (y \* 0)) (by BE4) = x \* 1 (by BE1) = 1 (by BE2),We have  $x * y \le yN * xN$ . (ii) It is trivial by  $x \le y$ , We have  $z * x \le z * y$ then  $y * z \le x * z$  for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold. In addition to that satisfy x \* (y \* z) = (x \* y) \* (x \* z), then the following conditions are satisfied

(i)  $(y \lor x) * y \le x * y$ . (ii) x \* (x \* y) = x \* y.

*Proof.* Since R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is a Self-Distributive BE-algebras.

(i) Since

$$x * (y \lor x) = x * ((x * y) * y)$$
$$= (x * y) * (x * y)$$
$$= 1.$$
We have  $x \le y \lor x$ . By  $z * x \le z * y$ We have  $(y \lor x) * y \le x * y$  for all  $x, y, z \in A$ 

(ii) By using self distributive definition, (BE1) and (BE3), we have

$$x * (x * y) = (x * x) * (x * y)$$
  
= 1 \* (x \* y)  
= x \* y.

**Theorem 3.5:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold. In addition to that satisfy  $0 \le x$  (or 0 \* x = 1), then the following conditions are satisfied for all  $x, y \in A$ 

(i) xNN = x(ii)  $xN \land yN = (x \lor y)$  Asia Pacific Journal of Research ISSN: 2320-5504, E-ISSN-2347-4793

- (iii)  $xN \lor yN = (x \land y)$
- (iv) xN \* yN = y \* x.

*Proof.* Since R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is a bounded and Commutative BE-algebras.

(i) It is obtained that

xNN = (x \* 0) \* 0 (from BE3)= (0 \* x) \* x (by commutativity)= 1\*x= x.

$$xN \wedge yN = (xNN \vee yNN)N = (x \vee y)N.$$

(iii)By the definition of  $\Lambda$  and (i) we have that

$$(x \land y)N = (xN \lor yN)NN = xN \lor yN.$$
  
(iv)We have  $xN \ast yN = (x \ast 0) \ast (y \ast 0)$ 
$$= y \ast ((x \ast 0) \ast 0)$$
$$= y \ast (xNN) = y \ast x.$$

**Theorem 3.6:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold, In addition to that, there exists a complement of any element of A and then it is unique.

**Proof.** Since R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is a bounded and Commutative BE-algebras. Let  $x \in A$  and a, b be two complements of x. Then we know that  $x \land a = x \land b = 0$  and  $x \lor a = x \lor b = 1$ . Also since  $x \lor a = (x * a) * a = 1$  and a \* (x \* a) = x \* (a \* a) = x \* 1 = 1,

We have  $x * a \le a$  and  $a \le x * a$ . So we get x \* a = a.

Similarly

$$x * b = b.$$
  
Hence  $a * b = (x * a) * (x * b) = (aN * xN) * (bN * xN)$  by Theorem 2.5 (iv)  
$$= bN * ((aN * xN) * xN)$$
 by BE-4  
$$= bN * (xN \vee aN)$$
  
$$= bN * (x \wedge a) N$$
 by Theorem 2.5 (iii)  
$$= (x \wedge a) * b$$
 by Theorem 2.5 (iii)  
$$= 0 * b$$
  
$$= 1.$$

With similar operations, we have b \* a = 1.

Hence we obtain a = b which gives that the complement of x is unique.

### ISSN: 2320-5504, E-ISSN-2347-4793

**Theorem 3.7:** Let R be a smarandache-R-module, if there exists a proper subset A of R in which (BE1) to (BE4) are hold, In addition to that satisfy  $0 \le x$  (or 0 \* x = 1), then the following conditions are equivalent for all  $x, y \in A$ 

(i)  $x \wedge xN = 0$ (ii)  $xN \lor x = 1$ (iii)  $xN \ast x = x$ (iv)  $x \ast xN = xN$ (v)  $x \ast (x \ast y) = x \ast y$ .

*Proof.* Since R be a smarandache-R-module. Then by definition there exists a proper subset A of R which is an algebra. By hypothesis A holds for (BE1) to (BE4) then A is a Commutative and bounded BE-algebras.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $x \land xN = 0$ . Then it follows that  $xN \lor x = (xN \lor x)$  by Theorem 2.5 (i)  $= (xNN \land xN)$  by Theorem 2.5 (ii)  $= (x \land xN)$  by Theorem 2.5 (i) = 0N= 1. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $xN \lor x = 1$ . Then, since  $(xN * x) * x = x \lor xN = 1$  and x \* (xN \* x) = xN \* (x \* x) = xN \* 1 = 1We get xN \* x = x by (dBCK1). (iii) $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let xN \* x = x. Substituting xN for x and using Theorem 2.5 (i) We obtain the result. (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Let x \* xN = xN. Then We get yN \* (x \* xN) = yN \* xN. Hence we have x \* (yN \* xN) = yN \* xN. Using Theorem 2.5 (iv) We obtain x \* (x \* y) = x \* y.  $(v) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Let x \* (x \* y) = x \* y. Then We have  $xN \lor x = (x * (xN)) * xN$ = (x \* (x \* 0)) \* xN= (x \* 0) \* (x \* 0)= Ì. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $xN \lor x = 1$ . Then We obtain  $N \land x = xN \land xNN$  $= (x \lor xN)$  by Theorem 2.5 (ii) = 1N= 0.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Ahn S. S. and So K. S, "On generalized upper sets in BE algebras," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 281–287, 2009.
- [2]. Florentin smarandache, "Special Algebric Stuctures," University of New Mexico.MSC:06A99, 1991.
- [3]. Jun Y. B., Roh E. H., and Kim H. S, "On BH-algebras," *Scientiae Mathematicae*, vol. 1, no.3, pp. 347–354, 1998.
- [4]. Kannappa N and Hirudayaraj P "On some characterisation of Smarandache R module " International conference on Applications of Mathematics and Statistics. ISSN:978-93-81361-71-9 pp -103-106, 2012.
- [5]. Kannappa N and Hirudayaraj P "Smarandache R module and BRK Algebras" International conference on Mathematical methods and computation. ISSN 0973-0303,pp 346-349, 2014.
- [6]. Kannappa N and Hirudayaraj P "Smarandache R module with BCI- Algebras and BCC Algebras", Jamal Academic Research Journal, ISSN 0973-0303, pp. 07–10, 2015.
- [7]. Kim C. B. and Kim H. S, "On *BM*-algebras," *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 421–427, 2006.
- [8]. Kim H. S. and Kim Y. H, "On BE-algebras," *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 113–116, 2007.
- [9]. Raul Padilla "Smarandache Algebraic structures", Universidade do Minho, Portugal 1999.
- [10]. Walendziak A., "On commutative BE-algebras," *ScientiaeMathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 281–284, 2009.