

# Smarandache Fuzzy Strong Ideal and Smarandache Fuzzy n-Fold Strong Ideal of a BH-Algebra

Shahrezad Jasim Mohammed

**Abstract:** In this paper, we define the concepts of a Q-Smarandache n-fold strong ideal and a Q-Smarandache fuzzy (strong, n-fold strong) ideal of a BH-algebra. Also, we study some properties of these fuzzy ideals

**Keywords:** BCK-algebra, BCI/BCH-algebras, BH-algebra, Smarandache BH-algebra, Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.

## 1. Introduction

In 1965, L. A. Zadeh introduced the notion of a fuzzy subset of a set as a method for representing uncertainty in real physical world [7]. In 1991, O. G. Xi applied the concept of fuzzy sets to the BCK-algebras [8]. In 1993, Y. B. Jun introduced the notion of closed fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras [11]. In 1999, Y. B. Jun introduced the notion of fuzzy closed ideal in BCH-algebras [13]. In 2001, Q. Zhang, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun studied the fuzzy theory in a BH-algebra [10]. In 2006, C. H. Park introduced the notion of an interval valued fuzzy BH-algebra in a BH-algebra [2]. In 2009, A. B. Saeid and A. Namdar, introduced the notion of a Smarandache BCH-algebra and Q-Smarandache ideal of a Smarandache BCH-algebra [1]. In 2012, H. H. Abbass introduced the notion of a Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed ideal with respect to an element of a Smarandache BCH-algebra [5]. In the same year, E. M. Kim and S. S. Ahn defined the notion of a fuzzy (n-fold strong) ideal of a BH-algebra [3]. In 2013, E. M. Kim and S. S. Ahn defined the notion of a fuzzy (strong) ideal of a BH-algebra [4]. In the same year, H. H. Abbass and S. J. Mohammed introduced the Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal with respect to an element of a BH-algebra [6]. In this paper, we define the concepts of Q-Smarandache n-fold strong ideal and a Q-Smarandache fuzzy (strong, n-fold strong) ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra. Also, we study some properties of these fuzzy ideals

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic concept about a BCK-algebra, a BCI-algebra, a BH-algebra, a BH\*-algebra, a normal BH-algebra, fuzzy strong ideal, fuzzy n-fold strong ideal, a Smarandache BH-algebra, (Q-Smarandache ideal, Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed ideal, Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of BH-algebra

**Definition 1 (see [11]).** A BCI-algebra is an algebra  $(X, *, 0)$ , where  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $*$  is a binary operation and  $0$  is a constant, satisfying the following axioms: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- i.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ , ii.  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- iii.  $x * x = 0$ ,
- iv.  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ .

**Definition 2 (see [8]).** A BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom

v.  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3 (see [9]).** A BH-algebra is a nonempty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation  $*$  satisfying the following conditions: i.  $x * x = 0, \forall x \in X$

- ii.  $x * 0 = x, \forall x \in X$ .
- iii.  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 4 (see [4]).** A BH-algebra  $X$  is called a BH\*-algebra if  $(x * y) * x = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$

**Definition 5 (see [9]).** A BH-algebra  $X$  is said to be a normal BH-algebra if it satisfies the following condition:

- i.  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y), \forall x, y \in X$ .
- ii.  $(x * y) * x = 0 * y, \forall x, y \in X$ .
- iii.  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0, \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 6 (see [5]).** Let  $X$  be a BH-algebra. Then the set  $X_+ = \{x \in X : 0 * x = 0\}$  is called the BCA-part of  $X$ .

**Remark 1 (see [6]).** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be BH-algebras. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a homomorphism if  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . A homomorphism  $f$  is called a monomorphism (resp., epimorphism) if it is injective (resp., surjective). For any homomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  is called the kernel of  $f$ , denoted by  $\text{Ker}(f)$ , and the set  $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$  is called the image of  $f$ , denoted by  $\text{Im}(f)$ . Notice that  $f(0) = 0$  for all homomorphism  $f$ .

**Definition 7 (see [3]).** Let  $X$  be a BH-algebra and  $n$  be a positive integer. A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called a n-fold strong ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies: i.  $0 \in I$ , ii.  $\forall y \in I$  and  $(x * y) * z^n \in I \Rightarrow x * z^n \in I, \forall x, z \in X$ .

**Definition 8 (see [6]).** A Smarandache BH-algebra is defined to be a BH-algebra  $X$  in which there exists a proper subset  $Q$  of  $X$  such that

- i.  $0 \in Q$  and  $|Q| \geq 2$ .
- ii.  $Q$  is a BCK-algebra under the operation of  $X$ .

**Definition 9 (see [6]).** Let  $X$  be a Smarandache BH-algebra. A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called a Smarandache strong ideal of  $X$  related to  $Q$  (or briefly, Q-Smarandache strong ideal of  $X$ ) if it satisfies: i.  $0 \in I$ , ii.  $\forall y \in I$  and  $(x * y) * z \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

**Definition 10**(see[7]). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$ , for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . The set  $\mu_t = \{x \in X, \mu(x) \geq t\}$  is called a **level subset of  $\mu$** .

**Definition 11**(see [4]). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two sets,  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set in  $A$  and  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be any function. Set  $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in A | f(x) = y\}$  for  $y \in B$ . The fuzzy set  $v$  in  $B$  defined by  $v(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\mu(x) | x \in f^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  for all  $y \in B$ , is called the **image** of  $\mu$  under  $f$  and is denoted by  $f(\mu)$ .

**Definition 12**(see [4]). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two sets,  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be any function and  $v$  be any fuzzy set in  $f(A)$ . The fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $A$  defined by:  $\mu(x) = v(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$  is called the **preimage** of  $v$  under  $f$  and is denoted by  $f^{-1}(v)$ .

**Definition 13**(see[4]). A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a BH-algebra  $X$  is called a fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$  if i. For all  $x \in X, \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ .  
 ii.  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 14**(see[5]). A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a BH-algebra  $X$  is called a fuzzy  $n$ -fold strong ideal of  $X$  if i. For all  $x \in X, (0) \geq \mu(x)$ .  
 ii.  $\mu(x * z^n) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z^n); \mu(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 15**(see [6]). A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a Smarandache BH-algebra  $X$  is said to be a **Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal** if and only if:  
 i. For all  $x \in X, \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ .  
 ii. For all  $x \in Q, y \in X, \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$ .  
 Is said to be **closed** if  $(0 * x) \geq \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 16**(see[6]). Let  $X$  be a Smarandache BH-algebra and  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is called a **Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal** if  $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 1**(see[6]). Let  $X$  be a BH\*-algebra, and  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal. Then  
 i.  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed ideal of  $X$ .  
 ii.  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of  $X$ , if  $X * X / \{0\} \subseteq Q$

### 3. The Main Results

In this paper, we give the concepts a Q-Smarandache  $n$ -fold strong ideal and a Q-Smarandache fuzzy (strong,  $n$ -fold strong) ideal of a BH- algebra. Also, we give some properties of these fuzzy ideals

**Definition 1**. A fuzzy subset of a BH-algebra  $X$  is called a **Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal**, iff i.  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$   
 ii.  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

**Example 1:** The set  $X = \{0,1,2,3\}$  with the following operation table

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	2
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

is a BH-algebra  $Q = \{0,1\}$  is a BCK-algebra. Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a Smarandache BH-algebra. The fuzzy set  $\mu$  which is defined by:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & x = 0,3 \\ 0.4 & x = 1,2 \end{cases}$$

is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal, since: i.  $\mu(0) = 0.5 \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ , ii.  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

But the fuzzy set  $\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & x = 0,2,3 \\ 0.4 & x = 1 \end{cases}$

is not a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal since  $\mu(1 * 0) = \mu(1) = 0.4 < \min\{\mu(1 * 3) * 0, \mu(3)\} = 0.5$

**Proposition 1.** Every Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra  $X$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .

i. Let  $x \in X \Rightarrow (0) \geq \mu(x)$ .

[By definition 1(i)]

ii. let  $x, z \in X$  and  $y \in X \Rightarrow x, z \in Q \Rightarrow \mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}$

[By definition 1(ii)]

When  $z = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(x * 0) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * 0), \mu(y)\} \Rightarrow \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$

$\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . ■

**Proposition 2.** Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be a BCK-algebras contained in a Smarandache BH- algebra  $X$  and  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ . Let  $\mu$  be  $Q_2$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$  then  $\mu$  is a  $Q_1$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a  $Q_2$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .

i. Let  $x \in X \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ .

[Since  $\mu$  is a  $Q_2$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. By definition 1(i)]

ii. Let  $x, z \in Q_1, y \in X \Rightarrow x, z \in Q_2$

$\Rightarrow \mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}$

[Since  $\mu$  is a  $Q_2$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. By definition 1(ii)]

$\Rightarrow \mu$  is a  $Q_1$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ . ■

**Proposition 3.** Every fuzzy strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra  $X$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .

i. Let  $x \in X \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ .

[By definition 13(i)]

ii. let  $x, z \in X$  and  $y \in X \Rightarrow x, z \in Q$

$\Rightarrow \mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}$

[By definition 13(ii)]

$\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ . ■

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be Smarandache BH-algebra and let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set. Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal if and only if  $v(x) = \mu(x) / \mu(0)$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal,

1)  $v(0) = \mu(0) / \mu(0) \Rightarrow v(0) = 1$

$\Rightarrow v(0) \geq v(x) \forall x \in X$

2)  $v(x*z) = \mu(x*z) / \mu(0)$   
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} / \mu(0)$   
 [Since  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. By definition1(ii)]  
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z) / \mu(0), \mu(y) / \mu(0)\}$   
 $\geq \min\{v((x*y)*z), v(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow v(x*z) \geq \min\{v((x*y)*z), v(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow v$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. **Conversely.** Let  $v$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.  
 i.  $\mu(0) = v(0) \cdot \mu(0) \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq v(x) \cdot \mu(0)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$   
 ii.  $\mu(x*z) = v(x*z) \cdot \mu(0) \geq \min\{v(x*(y*z)), v(y)\} \cdot \mu(0)$   
 [Since  $\mu'$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal. By definition1(i)]  
 $\geq \min\{v((x*y)*z) \cdot \mu(0), v(y) \cdot \mu(0)\}$   
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. ■

**Proposition 4.** Let  $X$  be a BH\*-algebra, and  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. Then  
 i.  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed ideal of  $X$ .  
 ii.  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of  $X$ , if  $X*X/\{0\} \subseteq Q$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .  
 [By Proposition 1]  
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .  
 i.  $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy closed ideal of  $X$ . [By proposition 1]  
 ii. Let  $x, y \in X \Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of  $X$ .  
 [By proposition 1]. ■

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra  $X$  and let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  defined by:  

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 & x \in Q \\ \alpha_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 where  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal  $X$

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of  $X$ .  
 i.  $0 \in Q \Rightarrow \mu(0) = \alpha_1. \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  [Since  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ ]  
 ii. let  $x, z \in Q$  and  $y \in X \Rightarrow x*z \in Q$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*z) = \alpha_1$   
 Then we have for cases.

**Case1** If  $((x*y)*z) = \alpha_1$  and  $\mu(y) = \alpha_1$   
 $\Rightarrow \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} = \alpha_1$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*z) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$

**Case2** If  $((x*y)*z) = \alpha_2$  and  $\mu(y) = \alpha_1$   
 $\Rightarrow \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} = \alpha_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*y) = \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}$

**Case3** If  $((x*y)*z) = \alpha_1$  and  $\mu(y) = \alpha_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} = \alpha_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*y) = \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}$

**Case3** If  $((x*y)*z) = \alpha_2$  and  $\mu(y) = \alpha_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} = \alpha_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*y) = \min\{\mu(x*y), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ . ■

**Theorem 3.** Let  $X$  be BH-algebra and let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set. Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal if and only if  $\mu'(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0)$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal,  
 i.  $\mu(0) = \mu(0) + 1 - \mu(0) \Rightarrow \mu'(0) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu'(0) \geq \mu'(x) \quad \forall x \in X$   
 ii.  $\mu(x*z) = \mu(x*z) + 1 - \mu(0)$   
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} + 1 - \mu(0)$  [Since  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. By definition1]  
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z) + 1 - \mu(0), \mu(y) + 1 - \mu(0)\} \geq \min\{\mu'((x*y)*z), \mu'(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu'(x*z) \geq \min\{\mu'((x*y)*z), \mu'(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu'$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.

**Conversely** Let  $\mu'$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal.  
 1)  $\mu'(0) = \mu'(0) - 1 + \mu(0) \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu'(x) - 1 + \mu(0) \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$   
 2)  $\mu(x*z) = \mu'(x*z) - 1 + \mu(0)$   
 $\geq \min\{\mu'((x*y)*z), \mu'(y)\} - 1 + \mu(0)$  [Since  $\mu'$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. By definition1]  
 $\geq \min\{\mu'((x*y)*z) - 1 + \mu(0), \mu'(y) - 1 + \mu(0)\}$   
 $\geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal. ■

**Theorem4.** Let  $X$  be a Smarandache BH-algebra such that  $X = X_+$  and  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache closed ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache strong ideal  $\Rightarrow \mu I$  is a Q-Smarandache ideal of  $X$ . [By proposition 1]  
 Now, let  $x \in I$   
 $\Rightarrow (0*x) = \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  [By definition 6]  
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache closed ideal of  $X$ . ■

**Proposition5.** Let  $X$  be a Smarandache normal BH-algebra such that  $X = X_+$  and let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal such that  $x*y \in Q, \forall x, y \in X$  and  $y \neq 0$ . Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . [By remark3]  
 Now, let  $x, y \in X \Rightarrow x*y \in Q$   
 [Since  $x*y \in Q, \forall x, y \in X$ ]  
 We have  
 $\mu(x*y) = \mu((x*y)*0) \geq \min\{\mu(((x*y)*x)*0), \mu(x)\}$  [By definition 3(ii)]  
 $= \min\{\mu((x*y)*x), \mu(x)\}$  [By definition 3(ii)] =  $\min\{\mu(0*x), \mu(x)\}$  [By definition 5(ii)]  
 $= \min\{\mu(0), \mu(x)\} = \mu(x)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*y) \geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(x)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal. ■

**Proposition 6.** Let  $X$  be a normal BH-algebra such that  $X*X/\{0\} \subseteq Q$ . Then every Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal and closed of  $X$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of  $X$ .



$\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of X. [By proposition1]  
 Now, let  $x, y \in X. \Rightarrow x*y \in Q$  [Since  $X*X \setminus \{0\} \subseteq Q$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*y) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*x)*0, \mu(x)\}$  [By definition1 ]  
 $= \min\{\mu(0*y), \mu(x)\}$  [By definition 5(ii)]  
 $\geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(x)\}$  [By definition14]  
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy completely closed ideal of X.

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a Smarandache BH-algebra X and  $w \in X$ . The set  $\{x \in X: (w) \leq \mu(x)\}$  is denoted by  $\uparrow \mu(w)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra,  $w \in X$  and  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X. Then  $\uparrow(w)$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X.

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X. To prove that  $\uparrow(w)$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X.

1) Let  $x \in \uparrow(w) \Rightarrow \mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  [By definition 1(i)]

$\Rightarrow (0) \geq \mu(w) \Rightarrow 0 \in \uparrow \mu(w)$

2) Let  $x, z \in Q, y \in \uparrow \mu(w)$  and  $(x*y)*z \in \uparrow \mu(w)$ .

$\Rightarrow (w) \leq \mu(y)$  and  $\mu(w) \leq \mu((x*y)*z)$

$\Rightarrow (w) \leq \min\{\mu(y), \mu((x*y)*z)\}$

But  $\mu(x*z) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$  [By definition 1(ii)]

$\Rightarrow (w) \leq \mu(x*z) \Rightarrow x*z \in \uparrow \mu(w)$

$\Rightarrow \uparrow(w)$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X. ■

**Corollary 1.** Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra. Then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X if and only if  $\mu_t$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X, for all  $t \in [0, \sup_{x \in X} \mu(x)]$

**Proof :** Let  $t \in [0, \sup_{x \in X} \mu(x)]$ . To prove that  $\mu_t$  is a Q-

Smarandache strong ideal of X. Since  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X.

Now, let  $y \in \mu_t$  and  $x*(y*z) \in \mu_t \Rightarrow \mu(y) \geq t$  and  $\mu((x*y)*z) \geq t$ .

To prove that  $x*z \in \mu_t$

We have  $\mu(x*z) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$  [By definition 1]

Since  $((x*y)*z) \geq t$  and  $\mu(y) \geq t \Rightarrow \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\} \geq t$

$\Rightarrow \mu(x*z) \geq t \Rightarrow x*z \in \mu_t$

$\Rightarrow \mu_t$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X.

**Conversely,**

To prove that  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X.

Since  $\mu_t$  is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X.

Let  $t = \sup_{x \in X} \mu(x), x, z \in Q$  and  $(x*y)*z, y \in \mu_t$

$\Rightarrow x*z \in \mu_t$  [By definition9]

$\Rightarrow \mu(x*z) \geq t \Rightarrow \mu(x*z) = t$  [Since  $t = \sup_{x \in X} \mu(x)$ ]

Similarly,  $((x*y)*z) = t$  and  $\mu(y) = t$

$\Rightarrow t = \min\{((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$

$\Rightarrow \mu(x*z) \geq \min\{((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$

$\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X. ■

**Proposition 7.** Let  $f : (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, *', 0')$  be a Smarandache BH-epimorphism. If  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X, then  $f(\mu)$  is a  $f(Q)$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of Y.

**Proof :** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X.

i. Let  $y \in f(\mu)$  such that  $y = f(x)$ .

$(f(\mu))(0') = \sup\{\mu(x) \mid x \in f^{-1}(0')\}$

$= (0) \geq \mu(x)$  [By definition 1(i)]

$= (f(\mu))(f(x))$

$= (f(\mu))(y) \Rightarrow (f(\mu))(0') \geq (f(\mu))(y)$

ii. Let  $y_1, y_3 \in f(Q), y_2 \in Y$ , there exists  $x_1, x_3 \in Q$  and  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $y_1 = f(x_1), y_3 = f(x_3)$  and  $y_2 = f(x_2) \Rightarrow (f(\mu))(y_1 * y_3) = \sup\{\mu(x_1 * x_3) \mid x \in f^{-1}(y_1 * y_3)\}$

$(f(\mu))(y_1 * y_3) \geq (x_1 * x_3) \geq \min\{\mu((x_1 * x_2) * x_3), \mu(x_2)\}$  [By definition 1(ii)]

$= \min\{(f(\mu))(f((x_1 * x_2) * x_3)), (f(\mu))(x_2)\} = \min\{(f(\mu))((x_1) *' f(x_2)) *' f(x_3), (f(\mu))(f(x_2))\} = \min\{(f(\mu))(y_1 *' y_2) *' y_3, (f(\mu))(y_2)\}$

$\Rightarrow (f(\mu))(y_1) \geq \min\{(f(\mu))((y_1 *' y_2) *' y_3), (f(\mu))(y_2)\}$

$\Rightarrow f(\mu)$  is a  $f(Q)$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of Y. ■

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f : (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, *', 0')$  be a Smarandache BH-epimorphism. If  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X, then  $f^{-1}(v)$  is a  $f^{-1}(Q)$ -Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of Y

**Proof :** i. Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $f(x) \in Y$  and  $v$  is a Q-Smarandache strong fuzzy ideal of Y.

$(f^{-1}(v))(0) = v(f(0)) = v(0') \geq v(f(x)) = (f^{-1}(v))(x)$

ii. Let  $x \in f^{-1}(Q), y \in X$ .

$f^{-1}(v)(x * z) = (f(x * z))$  [By definition 12]

$\geq \min\{v(f(x) *' f(y)) *' f(z), v(f(y))\}$  [By remark 1]

$= \min\{v(f((x * y) * z)), v(f(y))\}$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(v)(x) \geq \min\{f^{-1}(v)((x * y) * z), f^{-1}(v)(y)\}$  [By definition 1]

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(v)$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X. ■

**Definition 2.** Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra and n be a positive integer. A nonempty subset I of X is called a **Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of X related to Q** (or briefly, **Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of X**) if it satisfies: i.  $0 \in I$ , ii.  $\forall y \in I$  and  $(x * y) * z^n \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

**Example 2.** Consider the set  $I = \{0, 3\}$  in example 1 is a Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of X. But the set  $I = \{0, 2, 3\}$  is not a Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal since  $(1 * 3) * 0^2 = 2 \in I$ , but  $1 * 0^2 = 1 \notin I$ .

**Remark 2.** Every n-fold strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra X is a Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of X.

**Remark 3.** Every Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra X is a Q-Smarandache strong ideal of X.

**Remark 4.** Every Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra X is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X.

**Proposition 8.** Let X be a Smarandache BH-algebra. Then every Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal which is contained in Q is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X.

**Proof :** Let I be a Q-Smarandachen-fold strong ideal of X  $\Rightarrow I$  is a Q-Smarandache ideal of X. [By remark 4]

Now, let  $x, y \in I \Rightarrow x, y \in Q \Rightarrow x * y \in Q$  [Since  $I \subseteq Q$ ]

Where  $((x*y)*x)*0 = ((x*x)*y)*0$  [Since  $(x*y)*z = (x*z)*y$ .  
 By definition 1(iii)]  
 $= (0*y)*0$  [By definition 3(i)]  
 $= 0*y$  [By definition 3(ii)]  
 $= 0 \in I$  [Since  $0*x=0$ .By definition 2(v)]  
 $\Rightarrow ((x*y)*x)*0^n \in I$  and  $x \in I \Rightarrow (x*y)*0^n \in I \Rightarrow x*y \in I$  [By definition 3(ii)]  
 $\Rightarrow I$  is a Q-Smarandache completely closed ideal of X. ■

**Definition 3.** A fuzzy subset of a Smarandache BH-algebra X and n be a positive integer is called a **Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal**, iff i.  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ . ii.  $\mu(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z^n), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

**Example 3.** Consider the fuzzy set  $\mu$  which is defined by:  

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & x = 0, 2 \\ 0.4 & x = 1, 3 \end{cases}$$
 is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal, since: i.  $\mu(0) = 0.5 \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ , ii.  $\mu(x*z^1) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z^1), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, z \in Q$ .

But the fuzzy set  $\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & x = 0, 2, 3 \\ 0.4 & x = 1 \end{cases}$  is not a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal since  $\mu(1*0^3) = \mu(1) = 0.4 < \min\{\mu(1*3)*0^3, \mu(3)\} = 0.5$

**Remark 5.** Every fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra X is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X.

**Proposition 9.** Every Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of a Smarandache BH-algebra X is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X. i. Let  $x \in X \Rightarrow (0) \geq \mu(x)$ . [By definition 3(i)]  
 ii. let  $x, z \in Q$  and  $y \in X \Rightarrow \mu(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z^n), \mu(y)\}$  [By definition 3(ii)]  
 When  $n=1 \Rightarrow \mu(x*z) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy strong ideal of X. ■

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f: (X, *, 0) \rightarrow (Y, *', 0')$  be a Smarandache BH-epimorphism. If  $\nu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of Y, then  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $f^{-1}(Q)$ -Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X.

**Proof:** i. Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $f(x) \in Y$  and  $\nu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of Y.  
 $(f^{-1}(\nu))(0) = \nu(f(0)) = \nu(0') \geq \nu(f(x)) = (f^{-1}(\nu))(x)$   
 ii. Let  $x, z \in f^{-1}(Q), y \in X$ .  
 $f^{-1}(\nu)(x*z^n) = (f(x*z^n))$  [By definition 11]  
 $\geq \min\{\nu(f(x) *' f(y)) *' f(z^n), \nu(f(y))\}$   
 [By remark 1]  
 $= \min\{\nu(f((x*y)*z^n)), \nu(f(y))\}$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\nu)(x*z^n) \geq \min\{f^{-1}(\nu)((x*y)*z^n), f^{-1}(\nu)(y)\}$   
 $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X. ■

**Theorem 8.** Let X be Smarandache BH-algebra. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set such that Q=

$X_\mu = \{x \in X: \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$  and  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ , then  $\mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of X, such that  $Q = X_\mu$  and  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ .  
 i.  $(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ .  
 ii. Let  $x, z \in Q$  and  $y \in X$ .  
 $(0) \geq \mu(y)$  and  $\mu(0) \geq \mu((x*y)*z^n)$  [Since  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \forall x \in X$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow (0) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z^n), \mu(y)\}$   
 But  $\mu(x*z^n) = \mu(0)$  [Since  $Q = X_\mu$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow \mu(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z^n), \mu(y)\} \Rightarrow \mu$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X. ■

**Proposition 10.** Let  $\{\mu_\alpha: \alpha \in \lambda\}$  be a family of Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra X.

Then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha$  is a fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $\{\mu_\alpha: \alpha \in \lambda\}$  be a family of Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideals of X.

i. Let  $x \in X$ .  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(0) = \inf\{\mu_\alpha(0), \alpha \in \lambda\} \geq \inf\{\mu_\alpha(x), \alpha \in \lambda\}$

[Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold ideal,  $\forall \alpha \in \lambda$ . By definition 3(i)]

$$= \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(x) \Rightarrow \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(0) \geq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(x)$$

ii. Let  $x, z \in Q$  and  $y \in X$

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(x*z^n) = \inf\{\mu_\alpha(x*z^n), \alpha \in \lambda\} \geq \inf\{\min\{\mu_\alpha((x*y)*z^n), \mu_\alpha(y)\}, \alpha \in \lambda\}$$

[Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal,  $\forall \alpha \in \lambda$ . By definition 3(ii)] =  $\min\{\inf\{\mu_\alpha((x*y)*z^n), \alpha \in \lambda\}, \inf\{\mu_\alpha(y), \alpha \in \lambda\}\}$

$$= \min\{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha((x*y)*z^n), \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(y)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(x*z^n) \geq \min\{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha((x*y)*z^n), \bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(y)\} \Rightarrow$$

$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X. ■

**Proposition 11.** Let  $\{\mu_\alpha: \alpha \in \lambda\}$  be a chain of Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideals of a Smarandache BH-algebra X.

Then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of X.

**Proof:** Let  $\{\mu_\alpha: \alpha \in \lambda\}$  be a chain of Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideals of X.

i. Let  $x \in X$ .  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_\alpha(0) = \sup\{\mu_\alpha(0), \alpha \in \lambda\} \geq \sup\{\mu_\alpha(x), \alpha \in \lambda\}$

[Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal,  $\forall \alpha \in \lambda$ . By definition 3(i)]

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(x) \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(0) \geq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(x) \quad \forall x \in X .$$

ii. Let  $x, z \in Q, y \in X$ .  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(x * z^n) = \sup\{ \mu_{\alpha}(x), \alpha \in \lambda \} \geq$

$$\sup\{ \min\{ \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \mu_{\alpha}(y) \}, \alpha \in \lambda \}$$

[Since  $\mu_{\alpha}$  is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal,  $\forall \alpha \in \lambda$ . By definition 3(ii)]

But  $\{ \alpha, \alpha \in \lambda \}$  is a chain  $\Rightarrow$  there exist,  $j \in \lambda$  such that  $\sup\{ \min\{ \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \mu_{\alpha}(y) \}, \alpha \in \lambda \} = \min\{ \mu_j((x * y) * z^n), \mu_j(y) \}$

$$= \min\{ \sup\{ \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \alpha \in \lambda \}, \sup\{ \mu_{\alpha}(y), \alpha \in \lambda \} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(x * z^n) \geq \min\{ \mu_j((x * y) * z^n), \mu_j(y) \}$$

$$\geq \min\{ \sup\{ \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \alpha \in \lambda \}, \sup\{ \mu_{\alpha}(y), \alpha \in \lambda \} \} = \min\{$$

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(y) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(x * z^n) \geq \min\{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}((x * y) * z^n), \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha}(y) \} \Rightarrow$$

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mu_{\alpha} \text{ is a Q-Smarandache fuzzy n-fold strong ideal of } X.$$

■

## References

- [1] A.B.Saeid and A. Namdar, "Smarandache BCH-algebras", World Applied Sciences Journal 7 (11), 1818-4952, pp.77-83, 2009.
- [2] C. H. Park, "Interval-valued Fuzzy Ideal in BH-algebras", Advance in fuzzy set and systems 1(3), pp.231-240, 2006.
- [3] E. M.kim and S.S. Ahn, " On fuzzy n-fold strong ideals of BH-algebras" , J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. 30, No.3 - 4, pp. 665 - 676, 2012 .
- [4] E. M. kim and S. S. Ahn, " Fuzzy strong ideals of BH-algebras with degrees in the interval (0; 1]", J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. 31, No. 1- 2, pp. 211 - 220, 2013.
- [5] H. H. Abbass , "The Q-Smarandache closed ideal and Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Closed Ideal with Respect to an Element of a Q-Smarandache BCH-Algebra", Journal kerbala university, vol. 10, No.3 scientific, pp. 302-312, 2012 .
- [6] H. H. Abbass and S. J. Mohammed "On a Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Completely Closed Ideal with Respect to an Element of a BH-algebra", Kufa University, M.Sc. thesis, 2013.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", Information and control ,Vol. 8, PP. 338-353, 1965.
- [8] O. G. Xi, "Fuzzy BCK-algebra", Math. Japonica 36, No. 5, pp.935-942, 1991.
- [9] Q. Zhang, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, "On the branch of BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, Vol.4 , pp.917- 921, 2001.
- [10] Q. Zhang, E. H. Roh and Y. B. Jun, "On Fuzzy BH-algebras", J.Huanggang, Normal Univ. 21(3), pp.14-19, 2001.

- [11] Y. B. Jun, "Closed Fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras", Math. Japonica 38, No. 1, pp.199-202, 1993.
- [12] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, "On BH-algebras", Scientiae Mathematicae , 1(1) , pp.347-354, 1998.
- [13] Y.B.Jun, "Fuzzy Closed Ideals and Fuzzy filters in BCH-algebras", J. Fuzzy Math. 7 , No. 2, pp.435-444, 1999.