FLORENTIN SMARANDACHE On Solving General Linear Equations in The Set of Natural Numbers

*In* Florentin Smarandache: "Collected Papers", vol. I (second edition). Ann Arbor (USA): InfoLearnQuest, 2007.

## ON SOLVING GENERAL LINEAR EQUATIONS IN THE SET OF NATURAL NUMBERS

The utility of this article is that it establishes if the number of the natural solutions of a general linear equation is limited or not. We will show also a method of solving, using integer numbers, the equation ax - by = c (which represents a generalization of lemmas 1 and 2 of [4]), an example of solving a linear equation with 3 unknowns in N, and some considerations on solving, using natural numbers, equations with *n* unknowns.

Let's consider the equation:

(1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b$$
 with all  $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_i \neq 0$ , and the greatest common

factor  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathbf{d}$ .

**Lemma 1**: The equation (1) admits at least a solution in the set of integers, if d divides b.

This result is classic.

In (1), one does not diminish the generality by considering  $(a_1,...,a_n) = 1$ , because in the case when  $d \neq 1$ , one divides the equation by this number; if the division is not an integer, then the equation does not admit natural solutions.

It is obvious that each homogeneous linear equation admits solutions in  $\mathbb{N}$ : at least the banal solution!

## PROPERTIES ON THE NUMBER OF NATURAL SOLUTIONS OF A GENERAL LINEAR EQUATION

We will introduce the following definition:

Definition 1: The equation (1) has variations of sign if there are at least two coefficients  $a_i, a_j$  with  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , such that  $sign(a_i \cdot a_j) = -1$ 

Lemma 2: An equation (1) which has sign variations admits an infinity of natural solutions (generalization of lemma 1 of [4]).

*Proof*: From the hypothesis of the lemma it results that the equation has h no null positive terms,  $1 \le h \le n$ , and k = n - h non null negative terms. We have  $1 \le k \le n$ ; it is supposed that the first h terms are positive and the following k terms are negative (if not, we rearrange the terms).

We can then write:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{h} a_t x_t - \sum_{j=h+1}^{n} a_j x_j = b \text{ where } a_j = -a_j > 0.$$

Let's consider  $0 < M = [a_1, ..., a_n]$  the least common multiple, and  $c_i = |M / a_i|$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Let's also consider 0 < P = [h, k] the least common multiple, and  $h_1 = P / h$  and  $k_1 = P / k$ .

Taking 
$$\begin{cases} x_t = h_1 c_t \cdot z + x_t^0, & 1 \le t \le h \\ x_j = k_1 c_j \cdot z + x_j^0, & h+1 \le j \le n \end{cases}$$
  
where  $z \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z \ge \max\left\{ \left[ \frac{-x_t^0}{h_1 c_t} \right], \left[ \frac{x_j^0}{k_1 c_j} \right] \right\} + 1$  with  $[\gamma]$  meaning integer part of  $\gamma$ , i.e.

the greatest integer less than or equal to  $\gamma$ , and  $x_i^0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , a particular integer solution (which exists according to lemma 1), we obtain an infinity of solutions in the set of natural numbers for the equation (1).

Lemma 3:

- a) An equation (1) which does not have variations of sign has at maximum a limited number of natural solutions.
- b) In this case, for  $b \neq 0$ , constant, the equation has the maximum number of solutions if and only if all  $a_i = 1$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

Proof: (see also [6]).

a) One considers all  $a_i > 0$  (otherwise, multiply the equation by -1).

If b < 0, it is obvious that the equation does not have any solution (in  $\mathbb{N}$ ).

If b = 0, the equation admits only the trivial solution.

If b > 0, then each unknown  $x_i$  takes positive integer values between 0 and  $b / a_i = d_i$  (finite), and not necessarily all these values. Thus the maximum number of solutions is lower or equal to:  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + d_i)$ , which is finite.

b) For  $b \neq 0$ , constant,  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + d_i)$  is maximum if and only if  $d_i$  are

maximum, i.e. iff  $a_i = 1$  for all i, where  $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Theorem 1. The equation (1) admits an infinity of natural solutions if and only if it has variations of sign.

This naturally follows from the previous results.

## Method of solving.

Theorem 2. Let's consider the equation with integer coefficients ax - by = c, where a and b > 0 and (a,b)=1. Then the general solution in natural numbers of this equation is:

$$\begin{cases} x = bk + x_0 \\ y = ak + y_0 \end{cases}$$
 where  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular integer solution of the equation,

and  $k \ge \max\{[-x_0/b], [-y_0/a]\}$  is an integer parameter (generalization of lemma 2 of [4]).

*Proof:* It results from [1] that the general integer solution of the equation is  $\begin{cases} x = bk + x_0 \\ y = ak + y_0 \end{cases}$ where  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a particular integer solution of the equation and

 $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since x and y are natural integers, it is necessary for us to impose conditions for k such that  $x \ge 0$  and  $y \ge 0$ , from which it results the theorem.

WE CONCLUDE!

To solve in the set of natural numbers a linear equation with n unknowns we will use the previous results in the following way:

a) If the equation does not have variations of sign, because it has a limited number of natural solutions, the solving is made by tests (see also [6])

b) If it has variations of sign and if b is divisible by d, then it admits an infinity of natural solutions. One finds its general integer solution (see [2], [5]);

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{ij} k_j + \beta_i$$
,  $1 \le i \le n$  where all the  $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the  $k_j$  are integer

parameters.

By applying the restriction  $x_i \ge 0$  for *i* from  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , one finds the conditions which must be satisfied by the integer parameters  $k_j$  for all *j* of  $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . (c)

The case n = 2 and n = 3 can be done by this method, but when n is bigger, the condition (c) become more and more difficult to find.

Example: Solve in  $\mathbb{N}$  the equation 3x - 7y + 2z = -18.

Solution: In  $\mathbb{Z}$  one obtains the general integer solution:

$$\begin{cases} x = k_1 \\ y = k_1 + 2k_2 \\ z = 2k_1 + 7k_2 - 9 \end{cases}$$
 with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

From the conditions (c) result the inequalities  $x \ge 0$ ,  $y \ge 0$ ,  $z \ge 0$ . It results that  $k_1 \ge 0$  and also:

$$k_2 \ge [-k_1/2] + 1$$
 if  $-k_1/2 \notin Z$ , or  $k_2 \ge -k_1/2$  if  $-k_1/2 \in Z$ ;  
and  $k_2 \ge [(9-2k_1)/7] + 1$  if  $(9-2k_1)/7 \notin Z$ , or  $k_2 \ge (9-2k_1)/7$  if  $(9-2k_1)/7 \in Z$ ;  
that is  $k_2 \ge [(2-2k_1)/7] + 2$  if  $(2-2k_1)/7 \notin Z$ , or  $k_2 \ge (2-2k_1)/7 + 1$  if  $(2-2k_1)/7 \in Z$ ;

 $\in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

With these conditions on  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  we have the general solution in natural numbers of the equation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Creangă I, Cazacu C., Mihuț P., Opaiț Gh., Reisher, Corina "Introducere în teoria numerelor" - Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, Bucharest, 1965.
- [2] Ion D. Ion, Niță C. "Elemente de aritmetică cu aplicații în tehnici de calcul" Editura Tehnică, Bucharest, 1978.

- [3] Popovici C. P. "Logica și teoria numerelor" Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, Bucharest, 1970.
- [4] Andrica Dorin, Andreescu Titu "Existența unei soluții de bază pentru ecuația  $ax^2 by^2 = 1$ " Gazeta Matematică, Nr. 2/1981.
- [5] Smarandache, Florentin Gh. "Un algorithme de résolution dans l'ensemble des nombres entiers des équations linéaires", 1981; a more general English version of this French article is: "Integer Algorithms to Solver Linear Equations and Systems" in arXiv at <u>http://xxx.lanl.gov/pdf/math/0010134</u>;
- [6] Smarandache, Florentin Gh. Problema E: 6919, Gazeta Matematică, Nr. 7/1980.