Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Line Graph

P. Chitra Devi [1] and P. Anitha [2]

[1] *PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Mannar Thirumali Naicker College, Madurai-625 004, Tamil Nadu, India.*

[2] *PG & Research Department of Mathematics, H.K.R.H. College, Uthamapalayam, Theni-625 533, Tamil Nadu, India.*

Abstract: Neutrosophic vague graphs are employed as a mathematical key to hold an imprecise and unspecified data. Vague sets gives more intuitive graphical notation of vague information, that delicates crucially better analysis in data relationships, incompleteness and similarity measures. In this paper, the neutrosophic bipolar vague line graphs are introduced. The necessary and sufficient condition for a line graph to be neutrosophic bipolar vague line graph is provided. Further, homomorphism, weak vertex and weak line isomorphism are discussed. The given results are illustrated with suitable example.

Keywords: Neutrosophic vague line graph, Weak isomorphism of neutrosophic vague line graph, Homomorphism.

1 Introduction

The line graph, $L(G)$, of a graph G is the intersection graph of the set of lines of G. Hence the vertices of $L(G)$ are the lines of G with two vertices of $L(G)$ adjacent whenever the corresponding lines of G are adjacent [17]. Vague sets are denoted as a higher-order fuzzy sets which develops the solution process are more complex to obtain the results more accurate than fuzzy but not affecting the complexity on computation time/volume and memory space. The neutrosophic set is introduced by the author Smarandache in order to use the inconsistent and indeterminate information, and has been studied extensively (see [26]-[30]). In the definition of neutrosophic set, the indeterminacy value is quantified explicitly and truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership are defined completely independent with the sum of these values lies between 0 and 3. Neutrosophic set and related notions paid attention by the researchers in many domains. The combination of neutrosophic set and vague set are introduced by Alkhazaleh in 2015 [3]. Single valued neutrosophic graph are established in [8, 9]. Some types of neutrosophic graphs and co-neutrosophic graphs are discussed in [13]. neutrosophic vague bipolar set and its application to graphs are established in [21]. Al-Quran and Hassan in [2] introduced a combination of neutrosophic vague set and soft expert set to improving the reason-ability of decision making in real life application. Neutrosophic vague graphs are investigated in [20]. Comparative study of regular and (highly) irregular vague graphs with applications are obtained in [10]. Furthermore, some properties of degree of vague graphs, domination number and regularity properties of vague graphs are established by the author Borzooei in [4, 5, 6]. Motivated by papers [3, 18, 20], we introduce the concept of neutrosophic bipolar vague line graphs. The main contributions of this paper as follows:

- Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Line Graphs (NBVLGs) are introduced and explained with an example. The obtained neutrosophic bipolar vague line graph $L(\mathbb{G})$ is a strong neutrosophic bipolar vague graph.
- The necessary and sufficient condition for a line graph to be NBVLG is formulated with supporting proofs.
- Furthermore, the results of homomorphism, weak vertex and weak line isomorphism are developed.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, basic definitions and example are given.

Definition 2.1 *[31] A vague set $\mathbb{A}$ on a non empty set $\mathbb{X}$ is a pair $(T_{\mathbb{A}}, F_{\mathbb{A}})$, where $T_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{X} \to [0,1]$ and $F_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{X} \to [0,1]$ are true membership and false membership functions, respectively, such that*

$$0 \le T_{\mathbb{A}}(x) + F_{\mathbb{A}}(y) \le 1 \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be two non-empty sets. A vague relation $\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathbb{Y}$ is a vague set $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$ that is $\mathbb{R} = (T_{\mathbb{R}}, F_{\mathbb{R}})$, where $T_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \to [0,1], F_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \to [0,1]$ and satisfy the condition:

$$0 \le T_{\mathbb{R}}(x,y) + F_{\mathbb{R}}(x,y) \le 1 \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Definition 2.2 *[4] Let $\mathbb{G}^* = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{E})$ be a graph. A pair $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ is called a vague graph on $\mathbb{G}^*$, where $\mathbb{J} = (T_{\mathbb{J}}, F_{\mathbb{J}})$ is a vague set on $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{K} = (T_{\mathbb{K}}, F_{\mathbb{K}})$ is a vague set on $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ such that for each $xy \in \mathbb{E}$,*

$$T_{\mathbb{K}}(xy) \le \min(T_{\mathbb{J}}(x), T_{\mathbb{J}}(y)) \text{ and } F_{\mathbb{K}}(xy) \ge \max(F_{\mathbb{J}}(x), F_{\mathbb{J}}(y)).$$

Definition 2.3 *[26] A Neutrosophic set $\mathbb{A}$ is contained in another neutrosophic set $\mathbb{B}$, (i.e) $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ if $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, T_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \le T_{\mathbb{B}}(x), I_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \ge I_{\mathbb{B}}(x)$ and $F_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \ge F_{\mathbb{B}}(x)$.*

Definition 2.4 *[11, 26] Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a space of points (objects), with generic elements in $\mathbb{X}$ denoted by x. A single valued neutrosophic set $\mathbb{A}$ in $\mathbb{X}$ is characterised by truth-membership function $T_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$, indeterminacy-membership function $I_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$ and falsity-membership-function $F_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$,*

For each point x in $\mathbb{X}$, $T_{\mathbb{A}}(x), F_{\mathbb{A}}(x), I_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \in [0,1]$. Also

$$A = \{x, T_{\mathbb{A}}(x), F_{\mathbb{A}}(x), I_{\mathbb{A}}(x)\} \text{ and } 0 \le T_{\mathbb{A}}(x) + I_{\mathbb{A}}(x) + F_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \le 3.$$

Definition 2.5 *[1, 9] A neutrosophic graph is defined as a pair $\mathbb{G}^* = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{E})$ where*

(i) $\mathbb{V} = \{v_1, v_2, .., v_n\}$ such that $T_1: \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$, $I_1: \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$ and $F_1: \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of truth-membership function, indeterminacy-function and falsity-membership function, respectively, and

$$0 \le T_1(v) + I_1(v) + F_1(v) \le 3,$$

(ii) $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ where $T_2: \mathbb{E} \to [0,1]$, $I_2: \mathbb{E} \to [0,1]$ and $F_2: \mathbb{E} \to [0,1]$ are such that

$$T_2(uv) \le \min\{T_1(u), T_1(v)\},$$
$$I_2(uv) \le \min\{I_1(u), I_1(v)\},$$
$$F_2(uv) \le \max\{F_1(u), F_1(v)\},$$
$$\text{and } 0 \le T_2(uv) + I_2(uv) + F_2(uv) \le 3, \ \forall uv \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Definition 2.6 *[3] A neutrosophic vague set $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ (NVS in short) on the universe of discourse $\mathbb{X}$ be written as*

$$\mathbb{A}_{NV} = \{\langle x, \hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), \hat{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), \hat{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x)\rangle, x \in \mathbb{X}\},$$

whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership function is defined as

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) = [T^-(x), T^+(x)], \hat{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) = [I^-(x), I^+(x)] \text{and} \hat{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) = [F^-(x), F^+(x)],$$

where $T^+(x) = 1 - F^-(x), F^+(x) = 1 - T^-(x)$, and $0 \le T^-(x) + I^-(x) + F^-(x) \le 2$.

Definition 2.7 *[3] The complement of NVS $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{NV}^c$ and it is given by*

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^c(x) = [1 - T^+(x), 1 - T^-(x)],$$
$$\hat{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^c(x) = [1 - I^+(x), 1 - I^-(x)],$$
$$\hat{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^c(x) = [1 - F^+(x), 1 - F^-(x)].$$

Definition 2.8 *[3] Let $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{NV}$ be two NVSs of the universe $\mathbb{U}$. If for all $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$,*

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \le \hat{T}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i), \hat{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \ge \hat{I}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i), \hat{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \ge \hat{F}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i),$$

then the NVS, $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ are included in $\mathbb{B}_{NV}$, denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{NV} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{NV}$ where $1 \le i \le n$.

Definition 2.9 *[3] The union of two NVSs* $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ *and* $\mathbb{B}_{NV}$ *is a NVSs,* $\mathbb{C}_{NV}$, *written as* $\mathbb{C}_{NV} = \mathbb{A}_{NV} \cup \mathbb{B}_{NV}$, *whose truth-membership function, indeterminacy-membership function and false-membership function are related to those of* $\mathbb{A}_{NV}$ *and* $\mathbb{B}_{NV}$ *by*

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\max(T^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), T^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \max(T^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), T^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))]$$
$$\hat{I}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\min(I^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), I^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \min(I^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), I^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))]$$
$$\hat{F}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\min(F^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), F^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \min(F^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), F^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))].$$

Definition 2.10 *[3] The intersection of two NVSs,* A_{NV} *and* B_{NV} *is a NVSs* C_{NV}, *written as* $C_{NV} = A_{NV} \cap B_{NV}$, *whose truth-membership function, indeterminacy-membership function and false-membership function are related to those of* A_{NV} *and* B_{NV} *by*

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\min(T^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), T^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \min(T^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), T^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))]$$
$$\hat{I}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\max(I^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), I^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \max(I^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), I^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))]$$
$$\hat{F}_{\mathbb{C}_{NV}}(x) = [\max(F^-_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), F^-_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x)), \max(F^+_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x), F^+_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(x))].$$

Definition 2.11 *[20] Let* $\mathbb{G}^* = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S})$ *be a graph. A pair* $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ *is called a neutrosophic vague graph (NVG) on* $\mathbb{G}^*$ *or a neutrosophic vague graph where* $\mathbb{A} = (\hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}, \hat{I}_{\mathbb{A}}, \hat{F}_{\mathbb{A}})$ *is a neutrosophic vague set on* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{B} = (\hat{T}_{\mathbb{B}}, \hat{I}_{\mathbb{B}}, \hat{F}_{\mathbb{B}})$ *is a neutrosophic vague set* $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ *where*

(1) $\mathbb{R} = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ such that $T^-_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], I^-_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], F^-_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ which satisfies the condition $F^-_{\mathbb{A}} = [1 - T^+_{\mathbb{A}}]$

$T^+_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], I^+_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], F^+_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ which satisfying the condition $F^+_{\mathbb{A}} = [1 - T^-_{\mathbb{A}}]$

denotes the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$0 \le T^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) + I^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) + F^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) \le 2$$
$$0 \le T^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) + I^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) + F^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i) \le 2.$$

(2) $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ where

$$T^-_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], I^-_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], F^-_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$
$$T^+_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], I^+_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], F^+_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

represents the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i, v_j \in \mathbb{S}$, respectively and such that,

$$0 \le T^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) + I^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) + F^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le 2$$
$$0 \le T^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) + I^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) + F^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le 2,$$

such that

$$T^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \min\{T^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), T^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\}$$
$$I^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \min\{I^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), I^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\}$$
$$F^-_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \max\{F^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), F^-_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\},$$

and similarly

$$T^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \min\{T^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), T^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\}$$
$$I^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \min\{I^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), I^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\}$$
$$F^+_{\mathbb{B}}(v_i v_j) \le \max\{F^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_i), F^+_{\mathbb{A}}(v_j)\}.$$

Definition 2.12 *Let* $G^* = (V, E)$ *be a crisp graph. A pair* $G = (J, K)$ *is called a neutrosophic bipolar vague graph (NBVG) on* G^* *or a neutrosophic bipolar vague graph where*

$J^P = ((\hat{T}_J)^P, (\hat{I}_J)^P, (\hat{F}_J)^P), J^N = ((\hat{T}_J)^N, (\hat{I}_J)^N, (\hat{F}_J)^N)$ *is a neutrosophic bipolar vague set on* V *and* $K^P = ((\hat{T}_K)^P, (\hat{I}_K)^P, (\hat{F}_K)^P), K^N = ((\hat{T})_K)^N, (\hat{I})_K)^N, (\hat{F})_K)^N)$ *is a neutrosophic Bipolar vague set* $E \subseteq V \times V$ *where*

(1)$V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ such that $(T_J^-)^P: V \to [0,1], (I_J^-)^P: V \to [0,1], (F_J^-)^P: V \to [0,1]$ which satisfies the condition $(F_J^-)^P = [1 - (T_J^+)^P]$

$(T_J^+)^P: V \to [0,1], (I_J^+)^P: V \to [0,1], (F_J^+)^P: V \to [0,1]$ which satisfies the condition $(F_J^+)^P = [1 - (T_J^-)^P]$

And

$(T_J^-)^N: V \to [-1,0], (I_J^-)^N: V \to [-1,0], (F_J^-)^N: V \to [-1,0]$ which satisfies the condition $(F_J^-)^N = [-1 - (T_J^+)^N]$

$(T_J^+)^N: V \to [-1,0], (I_J^+)^N: V \to [-1,0], (F_J^+)^N: V \to [-1,0]$ which satisfies the condition $(F_J^+)^N = [-1 - (T_J^-)^N]$

denotes the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i \in V$., and

$$0 \le (T_J^-)^P(v_i) + (I_J^-)^P(v_i) + (F_J^-)^P(v_i) \le 2.$$
$$0 \le (T_J^+)^P(v_i) + (I_J^+)^P(v_i) + (F_J^+)^P(v_i) \le 2.$$
$$0 \ge (T_J^-)^N(v_i) + (I_J^-)^N(v_i) + (F_J^-)^N(v_i) \ge -2.$$
$$0 \le (T_J^+)^N(v_i) + (I_J^+)^N(v_i) + (F_J^+)^N(v_i) \ge -2.$$

(2) $E \subseteq V \times V$ where

$$(T_K^-)^P: V \times V \to [0,1], (I_K^-)^P: V \times V \to [0,1], (F_K^-)^P: V \times V \to [0,1]$$
$$(T_K^+)^P: V \times V \to [0,1], (I_K^+)^P: V \times V \to [0,1], (F_K^+)P: V \times V \to [0,1]$$

And

$$(T_K^-)^N: V \times V \to [-1,0], (I_K^-)^N: V \times V \to [-1,0], (F_K^-)^N: V \times V \to [-1,0]$$
$$(T_K^+)^N: V \times V \to [-1,0], (I_K^+)^N: V \times V \to [-1,0], (F_K^+)N: V \times V \to [-1,0]$$

denotes the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i, v_j \in E$. respectively and such that

$$0 \le (T_K^-)^P(v_i, v_j) + (I_K^-)^P(v_i, v_j) + (F_K^-)^P(v_i, v_j) \le 2.$$
$$0 \le (T_K^+)^P(v_i, v_j) + (I_K^+)^P(v_i, v_j) + (F_K^+)^P(v_i, v_j) \le 2.$$
$$0 \ge (T_K^-)^N(v_i, v_j) + (I_K^-)^N(v_i, v_j) + (F_K^-)^N(v_i, v_j) \ge -2.$$
$$0 \ge (T_K^+)^N(v_i, v_j) + (I_K^+)^N(v_i, v_j) + (F_K^+)^N(v_i, v_j) \ge -2.$$

such that

$$(T_K^-)^P(xy) \le \min\{(T_J^-)^P(x), (T_J^-)^P(y)\}$$
$$(I_K^-)^P(xy) \le \max\{(I_J^-)^P(x), (I_J^-)^P(y)\}$$
$$(F_K^-)^P(xy) \le \max\{(F_J^-)^P(x), (F_J^-)^P(y)\}$$
$$(T_K^+)^P(xy) \le \min\{(T_J^+)^P(x), (T_J^+)^P(y)\}$$
$$(I_K^+)^P(xy) \le \max\{(I_J^+)^P(x), (I_J^+)^P(y)\}$$
$$(F_K^+)^P(xy) \le \max\{(F_J^+)^P(x), (F_J^+)^P(y)\},$$

And

$$(T_K^-)^N(xy) \ge \max\{(T_J^-)^N(x), (T_J^-)^N(y)\}$$
$$(I_K^-)^N(xy) \ge \min\{(I_J^-)^N(x), (I_K^-)^N(y)\}$$
$$(F_K^-)^N(xy) \ge \min\{(F_J^-)^N(x), (F_J^-)^N(y)\},$$

$$(T_K^+)^N(xy) \ge \max\{(T_J^+)^N(x), (T_J^+)^N(y)\}$$

$(I_K^+)^N(xy) \geq \min\{(I_J^+)^N(x), (I_J^+)^N(y)\}$
$(F_K^+)^N(xy) \geq \min\{(F_J^+)^N(x), (F_J^+)^N(y)\},$

3 Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Line Graphs

In this section, the necessary and sufficient condition of NBVLG are provided. The definition of NBVLGs, homomorphism and weak isomorphism are given.

Definition 3.1 *Let* $\Lambda(D) = (D, S)$ *be an intersection graph* $G = (V, E)$ *and let* $\mathbb{G} = (H_1, K_1)$ *be a NBVG with underlying set* V. *A NBVG of* $\Lambda(D)$ *is a pair* (H_2, K_2), *where*

$(H_2)^P = ((T_{H_2}^+)^P, (I_{H_2}^+)^P, (F_{H_2}^+)^P, (T_{H_2}^-)^P, (I_{H_2}^-)^P, (F_{H_2}^-)^P),$
$(H_2)^N = ((T_{H_2}^+)^N, (I_{H_2}^+)^N, (F_{H_2}^+)^N, (T_{H_2}^-)^N, (I_{H_2}^-)^N, (F_{H_2}^-)^N) and$
$(K_2)^P = ((T_{K_2}^+)^P, (I_{K_2}^+)^P, (F_{K_2}^+)^P, (T_{K_2}^-)^P, (I_{K_2}^-)^P, (F_{K_2}^-)^P),$
$(K_2)^N = ((T_{K_2}^+)^N, (I_{K_2}^+)^N, (F_{K_2}^+)^N, (T_{K_2}^-)^N, (I_{K_2}^-)^N, (F_{K_2}^-)^N),$

are NBVSs of D and S, respectively, such that

$(T_{H_2}^+)^P(D_i) = (T_{H_1}^+)^P(v_i), (I_{H_2}^+)^P(D_i) = (I_{H_1}^+)^P(v_i), (F_{H_2}^+)^P(D_i) = (F_{H_1}^+)^P(v_i),$
$(T_{H_2}^-)^P(D_i) = (T_{H_1}^-)^P(v_i), (I_{H_2}^-)^P(D_i) = (I_{H_1}^-)^P(v_i), (F_{H_2}^-)^P(D_i) = (F_{H_1}^-)^P(v_i),$
$(T_{H_2}^+)^N(D_i) = (T_{H_1}^+)^N(v_i), (I_{H_2}^+)^N(D_i) = (I_{H_1}^+)^N(v_i), (F_{H_2}^+)^N(D_i) = (F_{H_1}^+)^N(v_i),$
$(T_{H_2}^-)^N(D_i) = (T_{H_1}^-)^N(v_i), (I_{H_2}^-)^N(D_i) = (I_{H_1}^-)^N(v_i), (F_{H_2}^-)^N(D_i) = (F_{H_1}^-)^N(v_i),$

for all $D_i, D_j \in D$.

$(T_{K_2}^+)^P(D_iD_j) = (T_{K_1}^+)^P(v_iv_j), (I_{K_2}^+)^P(D_iD_j) = (I_{K_1}^+)^P(v_iv_j), (F_{K_2}^+)^P(D_iD_j) = (F_{K_1}^+)^P(v_iv_j),$
$(T_{K_2}^-)^P(D_iD_j) = (T_{K_1}^-)^P(v_iv_j), (I_{K_2}^-)^P(D_iD_j) = (I_{K_1}^-)^P(v_iv_j), (F_{K_2}^-)^P(D_iD_j) = (F_{K_1}^-)^P(v_iv_j)$
$(T_{K_2}^+)^N(D_iD_j) = (T_{K_1}^+)^N(v_iv_j), (I_{K_2}^+)^N(D_iD_j) = (I_{K_1}^+)^N(v_iv_j), (F_{K_2}^+)^N(D_iD_j) = (F_{K_1}^+)^N(v_iv_j),$
$(T_{K_2}^-)^N(D_iD_j) = (T_{K_1}^-)^N(v_iv_j), (I_{K_2}^-)^N(D_iD_j) = (I_{K_1}^-)^N(v_iv_j), (F_{K_2}^-)^N(D_iD_j) = (F_{K_1}^-)^N(v_iv_j)$

for all $D_iD_j \in S$.

That is any NBVG of intersection graph $\Lambda(D)$ is a neutrosophic bipolar vague intersection graph of $\mathbb{G}$.

Definition 3.2 *Let* $L(G) = (M, N)$ *be a line graph of a graph* $G = (V, E)$. *A NBVLG of a NBVG* $\mathbb{G} = (H_1, K_1)$ *(with underlying set* V*) is a pair* $L(\mathbb{G}) = (H_2, K_2)$, *where*

$(H_2)^P = ((T_{H_2}^+)^P, (I_{H_2}^+)^P, (F_{H_2}^+)^P, (T_{H_2}^-)^P, (I_{H_2}^-)^P, (F_{H_2}^-)^P),$
$(H_2)^N = ((T_{H_2}^+)^N, (I_{H_2}^+)^N, (F_{H_2}^+)^N, (T_{H_2}^-)^N, (I_{H_2}^-)^N, (F_{H_2}^-)^N) and$
$(K_2)^P = ((T_{K_2}^+)^P, (I_{K_2}^+)^P, (F_{K_2}^+)^P, (T_{K_2}^-)^P, (I_{K_2}^-)^P, (F_{K_2}^-)^P),$
$(K_2)^N = ((T_{K_2}^+)^N, (I_{K_2}^+)^N, (F_{K_2}^+)^N, (T_{K_2}^-)^N, (I_{K_2}^-)^N, (F_{K_2}^-)^N),$

are NBVSs of M and N, respectively such that,

$(T_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^+)^P(x) = (T_{K_1}^+)^P(u_xv_x)$
$(I_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^+)^P(x) = (I_{K_1}^+)^P(u_xv_x)$
$(F_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^+)^P(x) = (F_{K_1}^+)^P(u_xv_x)$
$(T_{H_2}^-)^P(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^-)^P(x) = (T_{K_1}^-)^P(u_xv_x)$
$(I_{H_2}^-)^P(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^-)^P(x) = (I_{K_1}^-)^P(u_xv_x)$
$(F_{H_2}^-)^P(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^-)^P(x) = (F_{K_1}^-)^P(u_xv_x)$

$(T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(u_x v_x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(u_x v_x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{+})^N(x) = (F_{K_1}^{+})^N(u_x v_x)$
$(T_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(x) = (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(u_x v_x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(x) = (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(u_x v_x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(x) = (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(u_x v_x)$.

for all $D_x \in M, u_x v_x \in N$.

$(T_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (T_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (I_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_x D_y) = \max\{(F_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (F_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$
$(T_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(T_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (T_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(I_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (I_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_x D_y) = \max\{(F_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (F_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$
$(T_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_x D_y) = \max\{(T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_x D_y) = \max\{(I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_x D_y) = \min\{(F_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (F_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$
$(T_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_x D_y) = \max\{(T_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_x D_y) = \max\{(I_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_x D_y) = \min\{(F_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}$.

for all $D_x D_y \in N$.

Proposition 3.3 *A NBVLG is always a strong NBVG.*

Proof. It is obvious from the definition, therefore it is omitted.

Proposition 3.4 *If $L(\mathbb{G})$ is NBVLG of NBVG $\mathbb{G}$. Then $L(G)$ is the line graph of G.*

Proof. Given $\mathbb{G} = (H_1, K_1)$ is NBVLG of G and $L(\mathbb{G}) = (H_2, K_2)$ is a NBVG of $L(G)$

$(T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{+})^P(x)$
$(T_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{-})^P(x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{-})^P(x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{-})^P(x)$,
$(T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{+})^N(x)$
$(T_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(x)$
$(I_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(x)$
$(F_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(x)$.

$\forall \ x \in E$ and so $D_x \in M$ if and only if for $x \in E$,

$(T_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (T_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_x D_y) = \min\{(I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (I_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$

$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{K_1}^{+})^P(x), (F_{K_1}^{+})^P(y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(T_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (T_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(I_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (I_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{K_1}^{-})^P(x), (F_{K_1}^{-})^P(y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{K_1}^{+})^N(x), (F_{K_1}^{+})^N(y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{K_1}^{-})^N(x), (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(y)\}.$$

for all $D_xD_y \in N$,

and so $M = \{D_xD_y | D_x \cup D_y \neq \emptyset, x, y \in E, x \neq y\}$. Hence proved.

Proposition 3.5 *Let* $L(\mathbb{G}) = (H_2, K_2)$ *be a NBVG of* $L(\mathbb{G})$*. Then* $L(\mathbb{G})$ *is a NBVG of some NBVG of* G *if and only if*

$$(T_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{-})^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{-})^P(D_y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{-})^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{-})^N(D_y)\}.$$

for all $D_xD_y \in N$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$(T_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\},$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\},$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_y)\},$$
$$(T_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\},$$
$$(I_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\},$$
$$(F_{K_2}^{+})^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x), (F_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_y)\}.$$

for all $D_xD_y \in N$.

Define,

$$(T_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x),$$
$$(I_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x),$$
$$(F_{H_2}^{+})^P(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^{+})^P(x)$$
$$(T_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x),$$
$$(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(D_x) = (I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x),$$

$$(F_{H_2}^+)^N(D_x) = (F_{K_1}^+)^N(x).$$

for all $x \in E$, then

$$(T_{K_2}^+)^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x), (T_{H_2}^+)^P(D_y)\} = \min\{(T_{K_1}^+)^P(x), (T_{K_1}^+)^P(x)\},$$
$$(I_{K_2}^+)^P(D_xD_y) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x), (I_{H_2}^+)^P(D_y)\} = \min\{(I_{K_1}^+)^P(x), (I_{K_1}^+)^P(x)\},$$
$$(F_{K_2}^+)^P(D_xD_y) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^+)^P(D_x), (F_{H_2}^+)^P(D_y)\} = \max\{(F_{K_1}^+)^P(x), (F_{K_1}^+)^P(x)\},$$
$$(T_{K_2}^+)^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^+)^N(D_x), (T_{H_2}^+)^N(D_y)\} = \max\{(T_{K_1}^+)^N(x), (T_{K_1}^+)^N(x)\},$$
$$(I_{K_2}^+)^N(D_xD_y) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^+)^N(D_x), (I_{H_2}^+)^N(D_y)\} = \max\{(I_{K_1}^+)^N(x), (I_{K_1}^+)^N(x)\},$$
$$(F_{K_2}^+)^N(D_xD_y) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^+)^N(D_x), (F_{H_2}^+)^N(D_y)\} = \min\{(F_{K_1}^+)^N(x), (F_{K_1}^+)^N(x)\}.$$

for all $D_xD_y \in M$.

We know that NBVG H_1 yields the properties,

$$(T_{K_1}^+)^P(uv) \le \min\{(T_{H_1}^+)^P(u), (T_{H_1}^+)^P(v)\}$$

$$(I_{K_1}^+)^P(uv) \le \min\{(I_{H_1}^+)^P(u), (I_{H_1}^+)^P(v)\}$$

$$(F_{K_1}^+)^P(uv) \le \max\{(F_{H_1}^+)^P(u), (F_{H_1}^+)^P(v)\}.$$

$$(T_{K_1}^-)^P(uv) \le \min\{(T_{H_1}^-)^P(u), (T_{H_1}^-)^P(v)\}$$

$$(I_{K_1}^-)^P(uv) \le \min\{(I_{H_1}^-)^P(u), (I_{H_1}^-)^P(v)\}$$

$$(F_{K_1}^-)^P(uv) \le \max\{(F_{H_1}^-)^P(u), (F_{H_1}^-)^P(v)\}.$$

$$(T_{K_1}^+)^N(uv) \ge \max\{(T_{H_1}^+)^N(u), (T_{H_1}^+)^N(v)\}$$

$$(I_{K_1}^+)^N(uv) \ge \max\{(I_{H_1}^+)^N(u), (I_{H_1}^+)^N(v)\}$$

$$(F_{K_1}^+)^N(uv) \ge \min\{(F_{H_1}^+)^N(u), (F_{H_1}^+)^N(v)\}.$$

$$(T_{K_1}^-)^N(uv) \ge \max\{(T_{H_1}^-)^N(u), (T_{H_1}^-)^N(v)\}$$

$$(I_{K_1}^-)^N(uv) \ge \max\{(I_{H_1}^-)^N(u), (I_{H_1}^-)^N(v)\}$$

$$(F_{K_1}^-)^N(uv) \ge \min\{(F_{H_1}^-)^N(u), (F_{H_1}^-)^N(v)\}.$$

In the similar way, we prove for the similar part also, The converse part of this theorem is obvious by using the definition of $L(\mathbb{G})$.

Theorem 3.6 $L(\mathbb{G})$ *is a NBVLG if and only if* $L(G)$ *is a line graph and*

$$(T_{K_2}^+)^P(uv) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^+)^P(u), (T_{H_2}^+)^P(v)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^+)^P(uv) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^+)^P(u), (I_{H_2}^+)^P(v)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^+)^P(uv) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^+)^P(u), (F_{H_2}^+)^P(v)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^-)^P(uv) = \min\{(T_{H_2}^-)^P(u), (T_{H_2}^-)^P(v)\}$$
$$(I_{K_2}^-)^P(uv) = \min\{(I_{H_2}^-)^P(u), (I_{H_2}^-)^P(v)\}$$
$$(F_{K_2}^-)^P(uv) = \max\{(F_{H_2}^-)^P(u), (F_{H_2}^-)^P(v)\}$$
$$(T_{K_2}^+)^N(uv) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^+)^N(u), (T_{H_2}^+)^N(v)\}$$

$(I_{K_2}^{+})^N(uv) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^{+})^N(u), (I_{H_2}^{+})^N(v)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{+})^N(uv) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^{+})^N(u), (F_{H_2}^{+})^N(v)\}$
$(T_{K_2}^{-})^N(uv) = \max\{(T_{H_2}^{-})^N(u), (T_{H_2}^{-})^N(v)\}$
$(I_{K_2}^{-})^N(uv) = \max\{(I_{H_2}^{-})^N(u), (I_{H_2}^{-})^N(v)\}$
$(F_{K_2}^{-})^N(uv) = \min\{(F_{H_2}^{-})^N(u), (F_{H_2}^{-})^N(v)\} \quad \forall uv \in M.$

Proof. The proof follows from the above Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5.

Definition 3.7 *A homomorphism $\chi: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ of two NBVGs $\mathbb{G}_1 = (H_1, K_1)$ and $\mathbb{G}_2 = (H_2, K_2)$ is mapping $\chi: V_1 \to V_2$ such that*

(A) $(T_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) \leq (T_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)), (T_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) \leq (T_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) \leq (I_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)), (I_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) \leq (I_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) \leq (F_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)), (F_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) \leq (F_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(T_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) \leq (T_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)), (T_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) \geq (T_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) \geq (I_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)), (I_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) \geq (I_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) \geq (F_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)), (F_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) \geq (F_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)), \quad \forall x_1 \in V_1.$

(B) $(T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (T_{K_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (T_{K_1}^{-})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (T_{K_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (I_{K_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (I_{K_1}^{-})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (I_{K_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(F_{K_1}^{+})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (F_{K_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (F_{K_1}^{-})^P(x_1y_1) \leq (F_{K_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(T_{K_1}^{+})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (T_{K_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (T_{K_1}^{-})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (T_{K_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I_{K_1}^{+})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (I_{K_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (I_{K_1}^{-})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (I_{K_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(F_{K_1}^{+})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (F_{K_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), (F_{K_1}^{-})^N(x_1y_1) \geq (F_{K_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), \quad \forall x_1y_1 \in E_1.$

Definition 3.8 *A (weak) vertex-isomorphism is a bijective homomorphism $\chi: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ such that*

(A) $(T_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) = (T_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(T_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) = (T_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) = (I_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) = (I_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{+})^P(x_1) = (F_{H_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{-})^P(x_1) = (F_{H_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)),$
$(T_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) = (T_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(T_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) = (T_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) = (I_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(I_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) = (I_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{+})^N(x_1) = (F_{H_2}^{+})^N(\chi(x_1)),$
$(F_{H_1}^{-})^N(x_1) = (F_{H_2}^{-})^N(\chi(x_1)), \quad \forall x_1 \in V_1.$

A (weak) line-isomorphism is bijective homomorphism $\chi: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ such that

(B) $(T_{K_1}^{+})^P(x_1y_1) = (T_{K_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(T_{K_1}^{-})^P(x_1y_1) = (T_{K_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I_{K_1}^{+})^P(x_1y_1) = (I_{K_2}^{+})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I_{K_1}^{-})^P(x_1y_1) = (I_{K_2}^{-})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$

$(F^+_{K_1})^P(x_1y_1) = (F^+_{K_2})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(F^-_{K_1})^P(x_1y_1) = (F^-_{K_2})^P(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(T^+_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (T^+_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(T^-_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (T^-_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I^+_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (I^+_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(I^-_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (I^-_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(F^+_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (F^+_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)),$
$(F^-_{K_1})^N(x_1y_1) = (F^-_{K_2})^N(\chi(x_1)\chi(y_1)), \quad \forall x_1y_1 \in E_1.$

If $\chi: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ is a weak-vertex isomorphism and a (weak) line-isomorphism, then χ is called a (weak) isomorphism.

Proposition 3.9 *Let $\mathbb{G} = (H_1, K_1)$ be a NBVG with underlying set V. Then*
(H_2, K_2) is a NBVG of $\Lambda(D)$ and $(H_1, K_1) \cong (H_2, K_2)$

Proposition 3.10 *Let $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{G}'$ be NBVGs of G and G' respectively, if $\chi: \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ is a weak isomorphism then $\chi: \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\chi: \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ be a weak isomorphism, then $u \in V$ if and only if $\chi(u) \in V'$ and $uv \in E$ if and only if $\chi(u)\chi(v) \in E'$. Hence proved.

Conclusion

A neutrosophic vague graph is very useful to interpret the real-life situations and it is regarded as a generalisation of neutrosophic graph. Neutrosophic bipolar vague graphs are represented as a context-dependent generalized fuzzy graphs which holds the indeterminate and inconsistent information. This paper dealt with the necessary and sufficient condition for NBVLG to be a line graph are also derived. The properties of homomorphism, weak vertex and weak line isomorphism are established. Further we are able to extend by investigating the regular and isomorphic properties of the interval valued neutrosophic vague line graph.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Akram M and Shahzadi G, Operations on single-valued neutrosophic graphs, *Journal of Uncertain Systems,* 11(1) (2017), 1-26.
[2] Al-Quran A and Hassan N, Neutrosophic vague soft expert set theory, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems,* 30(6) (2016), 3691-3702.
[3] Alkhazaleh S, Neutrosophic vague set theory, *Critical Review*, 10 (2015), 29-39.
[4] Borzooei A R and Rashmanlou H, Degree of vertices in vague graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics*, 33(2015), 545-557.
[5] Borzooei A R and Rashmanlou H, Domination in vague graphs and its applications, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 29(2015), 1933-1940.
[6] Borzooei A R, Rashmanlou H, Samanta S and Pal M, Regularity of vague graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems,* 30(2016), 3681-3689.
[7] Broumi S and Smarandache F, Intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set, *Journal of Information and Computer Science,* 8(2) (2013), 130-140.
[8] Broumi S, Smarandache F, Talea M and Bakali, Single-valued neutrosophic graphs: Degree, Order and Size, 2016 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE).
[9] Broumi S, Mohamed T, Assia B and Smarandache F, Single-valued neutrosophic graphs, *The Journal*

of New Theory, 2016(10), 861-101.
[10] Darabian E, Borzooei R. A, Rashmanlou H and Azadi, M. New concepts of regular and (highly) irregular vague graphs with applications, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering,* 9(2) (2017), 161-179.
[11] Deli I and Broumi S, Neutrosophic soft relations and some properties, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 9(1) (2014), 169-182.
[12] Dhavaseelan R, Vikramaprasad R and Krishnaraj V, Certain types of neutrosophic graphs, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications,* 5(2)(2015), 333-339.
[13] Dhavaseelan R, Jafari S, Farahani M. R and Broumi S, On single-valued co-neutrosophic graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, An International Book Series in Information Science and Engineering,* 22, 2018.
[14] Molodtsov D, Soft set theory-first results, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications,* 37(2) (1999), 19-31.
[15] Muhiuddin G and Abdullah M. Al-roqi, Cubic soft sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 8(2), (2014) ,291?304.
[16] Muhiuddin G, Neutrosophic Sub semi-groups, *Annals of Communications in Mathematics*, 1(1), (2018).
[17] Mordeson J.N., Fuzzy line graphs, *Pattern Recognition Letters,* 14(1993), 381-384.
[18] S. Naz and M. A. Malik, Single-valued neutrosophic line graphs, TWMS J. App. Eng. Math., 8(2) 2018, 483-494.
[19] Hussain S. S, Hussain R. J, Jun Y. B and Smarandache F, Neutrosophic bipolar vague set and its application to neutrosophic bipolar vague graphs. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,* 28 (2019), 69-86.
[20] Hussain S. S, Hussain R. J and Smarandache F, On neutrosophic vague graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,* 28 (2019), 245-258.
[21] Hussain S. S, Broumi S, Jun Y. B and Durga N, Intuitionistic bipolar neutrosophic set and its application to intuitionistic bipolar neutrosophic graphs, *Annals of Communication in Mathematics*, 2 (2) (2019), 121-140.
[22] Hussain, S. S., Hussain, R. J., and Smarandache F, Domination Number in Neutrosophic Soft Graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28(1) (2019), 228-244.
[23] Hussain R. J, Hussain S. S, Sahoo S, Pal M, Pal A, Domination and Product Domination in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Graphs, *International Journal of Advanced Intelligence Paradigms*, 2020 (In Press), DOI:10.1504/IJAIP.2019.10022975.
[25] Hussain S S., Rosyida I., Rashmanlou H and Mofidnakhaei F, Interval intuitionistic neutrosophic sets with its applications to interval intuitionistic neutrosophic graphs and climatic analysis. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 40(4),(2021), 1-20.
[25] Hussain S. S., Hussain R. J and Muhiuddin G. Neutrosophic Vague Line Graphs. Infinite Study.(Vol. 36)(2020)
[26] Smarandache F, A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic. Rehoboth: *American Research Press*, 1999.
[27] Smarandache F, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, Amer../ Res. Press, Rehoboth, USA, 105 pages, (1998) http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBookneutrosophics4.pdf(4th edition).
[28] Smarandache F, Neutrosophic Graphs, in his book Symbolic Neutrosophic Theory, Europa, Nova.
[29] Smarandache F, Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,* 24, (2010), 289-297.
[30] Wang H, Smarandache F, Zhang Y and Sunderraman R, Single-valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace and Multistructure* 4 (2010), 410-413.
[31] Gau W. L, Buehrer D. J, Vague sets, *IEEE Transactions on Systems. Man and Cybernetics,* 23 (2) (1993), 610-614.