



Neutrosophic Vague Binary Sets

Remya.P.B.¹ and Francina Shalini.A.²

¹ Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Red Fields, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India ; krish3thulasi@g-mail.com

² Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Affiliated to Bharathiar University, Red Fields, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India ; franchshalu@g-mail.com

* Correspondence: krish3thulasi@g-mail.com; Tel.: (91-9751335441)

Abstract: Vague sets and neutrosophic sets play an inevitable role in the developing scenario of mathematical world. In this modern era of artificial intelligence most of the real life situations are found to be immersed with unclear data. Even the newly developed concepts are found to fail with such problems. So new sets like Plithogenic and new combinations like neutrosophic vague arose. Classical set theory dealt with single universe and can be studied by taking it's subsets. Situations demand two universes instead of a unique one in certain problems. In this paper two universes are introduced simultaneously and under consideration in a neutrosophic vague environment. It's basic operations, topology and continuity are also discussed with examples. A real life example is also discussed.

Keywords: binary set, fuzzy binary set, vague binary set, neutrosophic vague binary sets, neutrosophic vague binary topology, neutrosophic vague binary continuity

1. Introduction

Functions are tightly packed but relations are not. They are more general than functions. Decimal system deals with ten digits while binary with two - only with 0 and 1. For detecting electrical signal's on or off state binary system can be used more effectively. It is the prime reason of selecting binary language in computers. Binary operations in algebra will give another idea! After a binary operation, 'operands' produce an element which is also a member of the parent set - means 'domain and co-domain' are in the same set. But binary relations are quite different from the ideas mentioned above. They are subsets of the cartesian product of the sets under consideration, taken in a special way. It is clear that binary stands for two. In point-set topology information from elements of topology will give information about subsets of the universal set under consideration. But real life can't be confined into a single universal set. It may be two or more than two. Being an extension of classical sets [George Cantor, 1874-1897] [27], fuzzy sets (FS's) [Zadeh, 1965] [29] can deal with partial membership. In intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS's) [Atanassov, 1986] [12] two membership grades are there - truth and false. As an extension of fuzzy sets Gau and Buehrer [9] introduced vague sets in 1993. Neutrosophy means knowledge of neutral thought. It is a new branch of philosophy introduced by Florentin Smarandache [6] in 1995 - by giving an additional component - indeterminacy. Movement of paradoxism was set up by him in early 1980's. New concept dealt with the principle of using non-artistic elements to set artistic. Within no time so many hybrid structures developed by using the merits of the newly developed theory. In 2014, Alblowmi. S. A and Mohmed Eisa [1] gave some new concepts of neutrosophic sets. In 1996, Dontchev [5] developed Continuous functions and strongly s-closed spaces. In 2014, Salama A.A, Florentin Smarandache and Valeri Kromov [25] developed neutrosophic closed set and neutrosophic continuous functions.

Shawkat Alkhazaleh [26] introduced the concept of neutrosophic vague in 2015. To loosen the hard structure of classical sets, Molodtsov [15] introduced soft set theory in 1999. In 2017, Gulfam Shahzadi, Muhammad Akram and Arsham Borumand Saeid [10] gave an application via 3 different methods of single-valued neutrosophic sets in medical field. Mai Mohamed et al., [19] developed a critical path problem in network diagrams under uncertain activity time. Later in 2018, Mohamed Abdel Basset et al., [23] developed a project selection method using TOPSIS and trapezoidal neutrosophic number. Mai Mohamed et al., [21] made a medical application to aid cancer patients based on neutrosophic set theory. As an extension to crisp, fuzzy, intuitionistic and neutrosophic sets, Florentin Smarandache [7] introduced plithogenic sets in 2018. In 2018, Mary Margaret A, Trinita Pricilla M [14] developed neutrosophic vague generalized Pre-continuous and irresolute mappings. In 2018, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Asmaa Atef, Florentin Smarandache [18] introduced a hybrid neutrosophic multiple criteria group decision making approach for project selection. In 2018, Vildan Cetkin and Halis Aygün [28] developed an approach to neutrosophic ideals. Later in 2019, Mohamed Abdel-Basset et al., [22] applied plithogenic aggregation operators to a decision making method for projects viz., 'supply chain sustainability'. In 2019, Mohamed Abdel-Basset and Mai-Mohamed [20] introduced linear fractional programming based on triangular neutrosophic numbers. In 2019, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Gunasekaran Manogaran et al., [17] developed a neutrosophic multi criteria decision making method for type 2 diabetic patients. In 2019, Hazwani Hashim, Lazim Abdullah and Asharaf Al-Quran [11] developed interval neutrosophic vague sets. In 2019, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, El-hosney, M., Gamal., & Smarandache.F [16] gave a new model for evaluation hospital medical care systems based on plithogenic sets. In 2019 Banu Priya et al., [2] investigated neutrosophic *ags* continuity and neutrosophic *ags* irresolute maps. In 2019, Dhavaseelan et al., [3] introduced neutrosophic α^m -closed sets and discussed its continuity, strongly continuity and irresoluteness. In 2019 Dhavaseelan, Subash Moorthy and S. Jafari [4] introduced gN compact open topology and discussed on generalized neutrosophic exponential map. In 2019, Muhammad Akram et al., [24] proposed the notion of neutrosophic Soft topological K-Algebras and discussed its several terms like C_5 - connectedness, super connectedness, compactness etc. In 2019, Mary Margaret A, Trinita Pricilla M and Shawkat Alkhazaleh [13] developed neutrosophic vague topological spaces. Vague binary soft set theory was developed by Dr. Francina Shalini. A [8] and Remya.P.B in 2018. In this paper a new concept neutrosophic vague binary set is developed by using two universes. Its topology, continuity and various types of continuities are also under concern.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.2. [26] (Neutrosophic vague set)

A neutrosophic vague set A_{NV} (*NVS* in short) on the universe of discourse X can be written as $A_{NV} = \{x; \hat{T}_{AN}(X); \hat{I}_{AN}(X), \hat{F}_{AN}(X); x \in X\}$ whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership functions are defined as

$$\hat{T}_{A_{NV}}(x)=[T^-, T^+], \hat{I}_{A_{NV}}(x)=[I^-, I^+] \text{ and } \hat{F}_{A_{NV}}(x)=[F^-, F^+]$$

where (1) $T^+ = 1 - F^-; F^+ = 1 - T^-$ and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} -0 &\leq T^- + I^- + F^- \leq 2^+ \\ -0 &\leq T^+ + I^+ + F^+ \leq 2^+ \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. [26] (Unit Neutrosophic Vague Set)

Let Ψ_{NV} be a neutrosophic vague set (*NVS* in short) of the universe U where $\forall u_i \in U$, $\hat{T}_{\Psi_{NV}}(x) = [1, 1], \hat{I}_{\Psi_{NV}}(x) = [0, 0], \hat{F}_{\Psi_{NV}}(x) = [0, 0]$, then Ψ_{NV} is called a unit *NVS*, where $1 \leq i \leq n$

Definition 2.4. [26] (Zero Neutrosophic Vague Set)

Let Φ_{NV} be a neutrosophic vague set (*NVS* in short) of the universe U where $\forall u_i \in U$, $\hat{T}_{\Phi_{NV}}(x) = [0, 0], \hat{I}_{\Phi_{NV}}(x) = [1, 1], \hat{F}_{\Phi_{NV}}(x) = [1, 1]$, then Φ_{NV} is called a zero *NVS*, where $1 \leq i \leq n$

Definition 2.5. [26] (Neutrosophic vague subset)

Let A_{NV} and B_{NV} be two *NVS*'s of the universe U .

If $\forall u_i \in U; [1 \leq i \leq n]$

$$1. \hat{T}_{A_{NV}}(u_i) \leq \hat{T}_{B_{NV}}(u_i)$$

2. $\hat{I}_{A_{NV}}(u_i) \geq \hat{I}_{B_{NV}}(u_i)$ and

3. $\hat{F}_{A_{NV}}(u_i) \geq \hat{F}_{B_{NV}}(u_i)$

then the NVS A_{NV} are included by B_{NV} denoted by $A_{NV} \subseteq B_{NV}$

Definition 2.6. [26] (Complement of a Neutrosophic vague set)

The complement of a NVS A_{NV} is denoted by A_{NV}^c and is defined by

$$\hat{T}_{A_{NV}^c}(x) = [1-T^+, 1-T^-], \hat{I}_{A_{NV}^c}(x) = [1-I^+, 1-I^-] \text{ and } \hat{F}_{A_{NV}^c}(x) = [1-F^+, 1-F^-]$$

Definition 2.7. [26] (Union of Neutrosophic vague sets)

Union of two NVS's A_{NV} and B_{NV} is a NVS C_{NV} written as $C_{NV} = A_{NV} \cup B_{NV}$ whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership functions are related to those of A_{NV} and B_{NV} given by

$$\hat{T}_{C_{NV}}(x) = [\max(T^- A_{NV}(x), T^- B_{NV}(x)), \max(T^+ A_{NV}(x), T^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{C_{NV}}(x) = [\min(I^- A_{NV}(x), I^- B_{NV}(x)), \min(I^+ A_{NV}(x), I^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{C_{NV}}(x) = [\min(F^- A_{NV}(x), F^- B_{NV}(x)), \min(F^+ A_{NV}(x), F^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

Definition 2.8. [26] (Intersection of Neutrosophic vague sets)

Intersection of two NVS's A_{NV} and B_{NV} is a NVS D_{NV} written as $D_{NV} = A_{NV} \cap B_{NV}$ whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership functions are related to those of A_{NV} and B_{NV} given by

$$\hat{T}_{D_{NV}}(x) = [\min(T^- A_{NV}(x), T^- B_{NV}(x)), \min(T^+ A_{NV}(x), T^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{D_{NV}}(x) = [\max(I^- A_{NV}(x), I^- B_{NV}(x)), \max(I^+ A_{NV}(x), I^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{D_{NV}}(x) = [\max(F^- A_{NV}(x), F^- B_{NV}(x)), \max(F^+ A_{NV}(x), F^+ B_{NV}(x))]$$

Definition 2.9.[14]

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is called:

- (i) Semi-closed set if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$
- (ii) Pre-closed set if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$
- (iii) Semi-pre closed set if $int(cl(int(A))) \subseteq A$
- (iv) Regular-closed set if $A = cl(int(A))$
- (v) Generalized semi-closed set if $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X

Definition 2.10. [4] (Image and Pre-image of neutrosophic vague sets)

Let X_{NV} and Y_{NV} be two non-empty neutrosophic vague sets and $f : X_{NV} \rightarrow Y_{NV}$ be a function, then the following statements hold:

(1) If $B_{NV} = \{ \langle x, \hat{T}_B(x); \hat{I}_B(x); \hat{F}_B(x) \rangle; x \in X_{NV} \}$ is a NVS in Y_{NV} , then the preimage of B_{NV} under f , denoted

by $f^{-1}(B_{NV})$, is the NVS in X_{NV} defined by

$$f^{-1}(B_{NV}) = \{ \langle x, f^{-1}(\hat{T}_B(x)); f^{-1}(\hat{I}_B(x)); f^{-1}(\hat{F}_B(x)) \rangle; x \in X_{NV} \}$$

(2) If $A_{NV} = \{ \langle x, \hat{T}_A(x); \hat{I}_A(x); \hat{F}_A(x) \rangle; x \in X_{NV} \}$ is a NVS in X_{NV} , then the image of A_{NV} under f , denoted

by $f(A_{NV})$, is the NVS in Y_{NV} defined by

$$f(A_{NV}) = \{ \langle y, f_{sup}(\hat{T}_A(y)); f_{inf}(\hat{I}_A(y)); f_{inf}(\hat{F}_A(y)) \rangle; y \in Y_{NV} \}$$

where

$$f_{sup}(\hat{T}_A(y)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \hat{T}_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{inf}(\hat{I}_A(y)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \hat{I}_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{inf}(\hat{F}_A(y)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \hat{F}_A(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for each $y \in Y_{NV}$

Definition 2.11.[5] (Strongly continuous functions)

A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ to be strongly continuous if $f(\bar{A}) \subset f(A)$, \forall subset A of X or equivalently, if the inverse image of every set in Y is clopen in X .

Definition 2.12. [14] (Neutrosophic Vague Continuous Mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague continuous (NV continuous) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is neutrosophic vague closed set in (X, τ) for every neutrosophic vague closed set V of (Y, σ)

Definition 2.13 [14] (Neutrosophic Vague semi-continuous mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague semi-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is neutrosophic vague semi-closed set in (X, τ) for every neutrosophic vague closed set V of (Y, σ)

Definition 2.14 [14] (Neutrosophic Vague pre-continuous mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague pre-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is neutrosophic vague pre-closed set in (X, τ) for every neutrosophic vague closed set V of (Y, σ)

Definition 2.15 [14] (Neutrosophic Vague regular continuous mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague regular continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is neutrosophic vague regular-closed set in (X, τ) for every neutrosophic vague closed set V of (Y, σ)

Definition 2.16 [14] (Neutrosophic Vague semi pre-continuous mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague semi pre-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is neutrosophic vague semi pre-closed set in (X, τ) for every neutrosophic vague closed set V of (Y, σ)

3. Neutrosophic Vague Binary Sets

In this section neutrosophic vague binary sets are discussed with examples. For this as a preliminary tool fuzzy binary sets and vague binary sets are discussed as a general case by taking all members instead of taking a subset of cartesian product in a confined manner.

Definition 3.1. (Binary Set)

Binary set A over a common universe $\{U_1 = \{x_j / 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k / 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ is an object of the form $\check{A} = \{\langle x_j \rangle, \langle y_k \rangle\}$

Definition 3.2. (Fuzzy Binary Set)

Fuzzy binary set A over a common universe $\{U_1 = \{x_j / 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k / 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ is an object of the form

$\check{A}_F = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\mu_A(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{\mu_A(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$ where $\mu_A(x_j) : U_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ gives the truth membership value of the elements x_j in U_1 ; $\mu_A(y_k) : U_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ gives the truth membership values of the elements y_k in U_2

Example 3.3.

$\check{A}_F = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{0.2}{h_1^N}, \frac{0.4}{h_2^N}, \frac{0.1}{h_3^N} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{0.6}{h_1^S}, \frac{0.3}{h_2^S} \right\rangle \right\}$ represents the fuzzy binary set

Definition 3.4. (Vague Binary Set)

Vague binary set A over a common universe $\{U_1 = \{x_j / 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k / 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ is an object of the form

$\check{A}_V = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{V_A(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{V_A(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[t_A(x_j), 1 - f_A(x_j)]}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[t_A(y_k), 1 - f_A(y_k)]}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\};$
 $V_A(x_j) : U_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]; V_A(y_k) : U_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Example 3.5.

$\check{A}_V = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.6]}{h_1^N}, \frac{[0.4, 0.7]}{h_2^N}, \frac{[0.1, 0.9]}{h_3^N} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.9]}{h_1^S}, \frac{[0.3, 0.4]}{h_2^S} \right\rangle \right\}$ is a vague binary set where $U_1 = \{h_1^N, h_2^N, h_3^N\}$, $U_2 = \{h_1^S, h_2^S\}$

Definition 3.6. (Neutrosophic binary set)

Neutrosophic binary set A_N over a common universe $\{U_1 = \{x_j/ 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k/ 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ is an object of the form

$$\check{A}_N = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{(T_A(x_j), I_A(x_j), F_A(x_j))}{x_j} / \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{(T_A(y_k), I_A(y_k), F_A(y_k))}{y_k} / \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

$T_A(x_j), I_A(x_j), F_A(x_j) : U_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ gives the ‘truth, indeterminacy and false’ membership values of the elements x_j in U_1 and $T_A(y_k), I_A(y_k), F_A(y_k) : U_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ gives the ‘truth, indeterminacy and false’ membership values of the elements y_k in U_2

Example 3.7.

$\check{A}_N = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{(0.2, 0.3, 0.4)}{h_1^N}, \frac{(0.4, 0.1, 0.3)}{h_2^N}, \frac{(0.1, 0.3, 0.1)}{h_3^N} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{(0.6, 0.2, 0.1)}{h_1^S}, \frac{(0.3, 0.5, 0.6)}{h_2^S} \right\rangle \right\}$ is a neutrosophic binary set where $U_1 = \{h_1^N, h_2^N, h_3^N\}$, $U_2 = \{h_1^S, h_2^S\}$

Definition 3.8. (Neutrosophic vague binary set)

A neutrosophic vague binary set M_{NVB} (NVBS in short) over a common universe

$\{U_1 = \{x_j/ 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k/ 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ is an object of the form

$$M_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{(\hat{T}_{MNVB}(x_j), \hat{I}_{MNVB}(x_j), \hat{F}_{MNVB}(x_j))}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{(\hat{T}_{MNVB}(y_k), \hat{I}_{MNVB}(y_k), \hat{F}_{MNVB}(y_k))}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

is defined as

$\hat{T}_{MNVB}(x_j) = [T^-(x_j), T^+(x_j)]$, $\hat{I}_{MNVB}(x_j) = [I^-(x_j), I^+(x_j)]$ and $\hat{F}_{MNVB}(x_j) = [F^-(x_j), F^+(x_j)]$; $x_j \in U_1$ and $\hat{T}_{MNVB}(y_k) = [T^-(y_k), T^+(y_k)]$, $\hat{I}_{MNVB}(y_k) = [I^-(y_k), I^+(y_k)]$ and $\hat{F}_{MNVB}(y_k) = [F^-(y_k), F^+(y_k)]$; $y_k \in U_2$

where (1) $T^+(x_j) = 1 - F^-(x_j)$; $F^+(x_j) = 1 - T^-(x_j)$; $\forall x_j \in U_1$ and

$$T^+(y_k) = 1 - F^-(y_k); F^+(y_k) = 1 - T^-(y_k); \forall y_k \in U_2$$

$$(2) \quad -0 \leq T^-(x_j) + I^-(x_j) + F^-(x_j) \leq 2^+; \quad -0 \leq T^-(y_k) + I^-(y_k) + F^-(y_k) \leq 2^+$$

or

$$-0 \leq T^-(x_j) + I^-(x_j) + F^-(x_j) + T^-(y_k) + I^-(y_k) + F^-(y_k) \leq 4^+$$

and

$$-0 \leq T^+(x_j) + I^+(x_j) + F^+(x_j) \leq 2^+; \quad -0 \leq T^+(y_k) + I^+(y_k) + F^+(y_k) \leq 2^+$$

or

$$-0 \leq T^+(x_j) + I^+(x_j) + F^+(x_j) + T^+(y_k) + I^+(y_k) + F^+(y_k) \leq 4^+$$

(3) $T^-(x_j), I^-(x_j), F^-(x_j) : V(U_1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $T^-(y_k), I^-(y_k), F^-(y_k) : V(U_2) \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$T^+(x_j), I^+(x_j), F^+(x_j) : V(U_1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $T^+(y_k), I^+(y_k), F^+(y_k) : V(U_2) \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Here $V(U_1), V(U_2)$ denotes power set of vague sets on U_1, U_2 respectively.

Example 3.9.

Let $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $U_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$ be the common universe under consideration.

A NVBS is given below:

$$M_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.3], [0.6, 0.7], [0.7, 0.8]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.5, 0.6], [0.3, 0.7]}{x_2}; \frac{[0.1, 0.9], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.9]}{x_3} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4]}{y_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.6, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_2} \right\rangle \right\}$$

Definition 3.10. (Zero neutrosophic vague binary set and Unit Neutrosophic vague binary set)

Let $\{U_1 = \{x_j/ 1 \leq j \leq n\}; U_2 = \{y_k/ 1 \leq k \leq p\}\}$ be two universes under consideration.

(i) A zero NVBS denoted as Φ_{NVB} over this common universe is given by,

$$\Phi_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

(ii) A unit NVBS denoted as Ψ_{NVB} over this common universe is given by,

$$\Psi_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

4. Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Binary sets

In this section some usual set theoretical operations are developed for NVBS's

Definition 4.1. (Subset of Neutrosophic vague binary sets)

Let M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} be two *NVBS's* on a common universe U_1, U_2 . Then M_{NVB} is included by P_{NVB} denoted by $M_{NVB} \subseteq P_{NVB}$ if the following conditions found true :

If $\forall x_j \in U_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$(1) \hat{T}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) \leq \hat{T}_{P_{NVB}}(x_j) \quad (2) \hat{I}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) \geq \hat{I}_{P_{NVB}}(x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad (3) \hat{F}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) \geq \hat{F}_{P_{NVB}}(x_j)$$

and $\forall y_k \in U_2$ and $1 \leq k \leq p$

$$(1) \hat{T}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) \leq \hat{T}_{P_{NVB}}(y_k) \quad (2) \hat{I}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) \geq \hat{I}_{P_{NVB}}(y_k) \quad \text{and} \quad (3) \hat{F}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) \geq \hat{F}_{P_{NVB}}(y_k)$$

Example 4. 2.

Let $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $U_2 = \{y_1\}$ be a common universe. Let

$$M_{NVB} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.2], [0.6, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.6], [0.5, 0.6], [0.4, 0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.3], [0.6, 0.7], [0.7, 0.9]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$P_{NVB} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.7, 0.8]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.4], [0.5, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle.$$

Clearly, $M_{NVB} \subseteq P_{NVB}$

Definition 4.3. (Union of two neutrosophic vague binary sets)

Let M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} are two *NVBS's*

(i) Union of two *NVBS's*, M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} is a *NVBS*, given as

$$M_{NVB} \cup P_{NVB} = S_{NVB} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{I}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{F}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{I}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{F}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership functions are related to those of M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} is given by

$$\hat{T}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\max(T^- M_{NVB}(x_j), T^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \max(T^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), T^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\min(I^- M_{NVB}(x_j), I^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \min(I^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), I^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{S_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\min(F^- M_{NVB}(x_j), F^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \min(F^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), F^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

and

$$\hat{T}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\max(T^- M_{NVB}(y_k), T^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \max(T^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), T^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\min(I^- M_{NVB}(y_k), I^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \min(I^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), I^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{S_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\min(F^- M_{NVB}(y_k), F^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \min(F^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), F^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

Example 4. 4.

In example 4. 2.

$$S_{NVB} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.7, 0.8]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.4], [0.5, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

Definition 4. 5. (Intersection of two neutrosophic vague binary sets)

Let M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} are two *NVBS's*

(i) Intersection of two *NVBS's*, M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} is a *NVBS*, given as

$$M_{NVB} \cap P_{NVB} = R_{NVB} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{I}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{F}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{I}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{F}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and false-membership functions are related to those of M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} is given by

$$\hat{T}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\min(T^- M_{NVB}(x_j), T^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \min(T^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), T^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\max(I^- M_{NVB}(x_j), I^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \max(I^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), I^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{R_{NVB}}(x_j) = [\max(F^- M_{NVB}(x_j), F^- P_{NVB}(x_j)), \max(F^+ M_{NVB}(x_j), F^+ P_{NVB}(x_j))]$$

and

$$\hat{T}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\min(T^- M_{NVB}(y_k), T^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \min(T^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), T^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

$$\hat{I}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\max(I^- M_{NVB}(y_k), I^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \max(I^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), I^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

$$\hat{F}_{R_{NVB}}(y_k) = [\max(F^- M_{NVB}(y_k), F^- P_{NVB}(y_k)), \max(F^+ M_{NVB}(y_k), F^+ P_{NVB}(y_k))]$$

Example 4. 6.

In example 4. 2. $R_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.1,0.2], [0.6,0.7], [0.7,0.8]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2,0.6], [0.5,0.6], [0.4,0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.1,0.3], [0.6,0.7], [0.7,0.9]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\}$

Definition 4. 7. (Complement of a NVBS)

Let M_{NVB} is defined as in definition 3.1. It's complement is denoted by M_{NVB}^c and is given by

$$M_{NVB}^c = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{I}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{F}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{I}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{F}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

is defined as

$$\hat{T}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) = [1 - T^+(x_j), 1 - T^-(x_j)],$$

$$\hat{I}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) = [1 - I^+(x_j), 1 - I^-(x_j)] \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{F}^c_{M_{NVB}}(x_j) = [1 - F^+(x_j), 1 - F^-(x_j)]; \forall x_j \in U_1$$

and

$$\hat{T}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) = [1 - T^+(y_k), 1 - T^-(y_k)],$$

$$\hat{I}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) = [1 - I^+(y_k), 1 - I^-(y_k)] \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{F}^c_{M_{NVB}}(y_k) = [1 - F^+(y_k), 1 - F^-(y_k)]; \forall y_k \in U_2$$

Example 4. 8.

Let M_{NVB} is defined as in example 3.2. It's complement is given by,

$$M_{NVB}^c = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.7, 0.8], [0.3, 0.4], [0.2, 0.3]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7]}{x_2}; \frac{[0.1, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.9]}{x_3} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.6, 0.8]}{y_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.8], [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.7]}{y_2} \right\rangle \right\}$$

5. Neutrosophic vague binary topology

In this section neutrosophic vague binary topology (*NVBT* in short) is developed for *NVBS*'s. It's various concepts are also discussed.

Definition 5.1. (Neutrosophic vague binary topology)

A neutrosophic vague binary topology on a common universe U_1, U_2 is a family τ_{Δ}^{NVB} of neutrosophic vague binary sets in U_1, U_2 satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) $\Phi_{NVB}, \Psi_{NVB} \in \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}$
- (2) For any $M_{NVB}, P_{NVB} \in \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}$, $M_{NVB} \cap P_{NVB} \in \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}$
i.e., finite intersection of *NVBS*'s of τ_{Δ}^{NVB} is again a member of τ_{Δ}^{NVB}
- (3) Let $\{M_{NVB}^i; i \in I\} \subseteq \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}$ then $\cup_{i \in I} M_{NVB}^i \in \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}$
i.e., arbitrary union of neutrosophic vague binary sets in τ_{Δ}^{NVB} is again a member of τ_{Δ}^{NVB}

Example 5.2.

Let $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$; $U_2 = \{y_1\}$. Following is a neutrosophic vague binary topology ;

$$\tau_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.0],[1.1],[1.1]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.0],[1.1],[1.1]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.0],[1.1],[1.1]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right), \\ M_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.4],[0.6,0.8],[0.6,0.8]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.6],[0.7,0.8],[0.4,0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.7,9],[0.2,0.4]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right), \\ P_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7],[0.1,0.9],[0.3,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.7,0.8],[0.3,0.7],[0.2,0.3]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.4]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right), \\ K_{NVB} = M_{NVB} \cap P_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.4],[0.6,0.9],[0.6,0.8]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.6],[0.7,0.8],[0.4,0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7],[0.7,0.9],[0.3,0.4]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right), \\ H_{NVB} = M_{NVB} \cup P_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7],[0.1,0.8],[0.3,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.7,0.8],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.3]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.2,0.5],[0.2,0.4]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right), \\ \Psi_{NVB} = \left(\left\langle \frac{[1.1],[0.0],[0.0]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1.1],[0.0],[0.0]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1.1],[0.0],[0.0]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition 5.3. (Neutrosophic vague binary open set)

Every elements of a *NVBT* is known as a neutrosophic vague binary open set (*NVBOS* in short)

Example 5.4.

In example 5.2. $\Phi_{NVB}, M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}, K_{NVB}, H_{NVB}, \Psi_{NVB}$ are all *NVBOS*'s

Definition 5.5. (Neutrosophic vague binary closed set)

Complement of a *NVBOS* is known as a neutrosophic vague binary closed set (*NVBOS* in short)

Example 5.6.

In example 5.2. $\Phi_{NVB}^c, M_{NVB}^c, P_{NVB}^c, K_{NVB}^c, H_{NVB}^c, \Psi_{NVB}^c$ are all *NVBCS*'s, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) = \Psi_{NVB} \\ M_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.6]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.4],[0.1,0.3],[0.6,0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\ P_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.4],[0.1,0.9],[0.6,0.7]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.7],[0.7,0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.4],[0.5,0.8],[0.6,0.7]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\ K_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.1,0.4],[0.2,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.6]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.4],[0.1,0.3],[0.6,0.7]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\ H_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.9],[0.6,0.7]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.3],[0.2,0.3],[0.7,0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.4],[0.5,0.8],[0.6,0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\ \Psi_{NVB}^c &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) = \Phi_{NVB} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.7.

Φ_{NVB} and Ψ_{NVB} will both acts as *NVBOS* and *NVBCS*

Definition 5.8. (Neutrosophic vague binary topological space)

The triplet $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ is known as a neutrosophic vague binary topological space (*NVBTS* in short), where τ_{Δ}^{NVB} is a neutrosophic vague binary topology defined as in definition 5.1.

Example 5.9.

If $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$; $U_2 = \{y_1\}$; $\tau_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \{\Phi_{NVB}, M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}, K_{NVB}, H_{NVB}, \Psi_{NVB}\}$ defined as in example 5.2. then the triplet $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ is clearly a *NVBTS*.

Definition 5.10. (Neutrosophic vague binary discrete topology and Neutrosophic vague binary discrete topological Space)

A topology consisting of only empty and unit *NVBS*'s is known as a neutrosophic vague binary discrete topology (*NVBBDT* in short) and the corresponding neutrosophic vague binary topological space is known as a neutrosophic vague binary discrete topological space (*NVBBDTS* in short).

i.e., $\tau_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \{\Phi_{NVB}, \Psi_{NVB}\}$

Example 5.11.

In example 5.2.

$$\tau_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \left(\left\{ \begin{aligned} \Phi_{NVB} &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0,0],[1,1],[1,1]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \\ \Psi_{NVB} &= \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[1,1],[0,0],[0,0]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \right)$$

is clearly a *NVBBDT* and the corresponding neutrosophic vague topological space is the *NVBBDTS*.

Definition 5.12. (Neutrosophic vague binary indiscrete topology and Neutrosophic vague binary discrete topological Space)

A *NVBT* defined by it's power set is known as neutrosophic vague binary indiscrete topology (*NVBIDT* in short) and the corresponding neutrosophic vague binary topological space is known as a neutrosophic vague binary indiscrete topological space (*NVBIDTS* in short).

Definition 5.13. (Neutrosophic vague binary interior and Neutrosophic vague binary closure)

Let $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ be a *NVBTS* and also

$$\text{let } M_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{I}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j), \hat{F}_{M_{NVB}}(x_j)}{x_j}; \forall x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{I}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k), \hat{F}_{M_{NVB}}(y_k)}{y_k}; \forall y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$$

is a *NVBS* over a common universe U_1, U_2 defined as in definition 3.1. Then it's neutrosophic vague binary interior (denoted as M_{NVB}^0) and neutrosophic vague binary closure (denoted as \bar{M}_{NVB})

are defined as follows:

$$M_{NVB}^0 = \cup \{M_{NVB}^i; i \in I | M_{NVB}^i \text{ is a NVBOS over } U_1, U_2 \text{ with } M_{NVB}^i \subseteq M_{NVB}; \forall i\}$$

$$\bar{M}_{NVB} = \cap \{M_{NVB}^i; i \in I | M_{NVB}^i \text{ is a NVBCS over } U_1, U_2 \text{ with } M_{NVB} \subseteq M_{NVB}^i; \forall i\}$$

Example 5.14.

In example 5.2.

$$H_{NVB}^0 = \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7],[0.1,0.8],[0.3,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.7,0.8],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.3]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.2,0.5],[0.2,0.4]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) = H_{NVB}$$

From example 5.6.

$$\bar{M}_{NVB}^c = \left(\left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8],[0.2,0.4],[0.2,0.4]}{x_1} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.6]}{x_2} \right\rangle \right\}, \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.4],[0.1,0.3],[0.6,0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \right) = M_{NVB}^c$$

Proposition 5.15.

- (i) M_{NVB} is a NVBOS $\Leftrightarrow M_{NVB}^0 = M_{NVB}$
- (ii) M_{NVB} is a NVBCS $\Leftrightarrow \bar{M}_{NVB} = M_{NVB}$

Proof

Proof is clear

Proposition 5.16.

- (i) $M_{NVB}^1 \subseteq M_{NVB}^2$ and $P_{NVB}^1 \subseteq P_{NVB}^2 \Rightarrow (M_{NVB}^1 \cup P_{NVB}^1) \subseteq (M_{NVB}^2 \cup P_{NVB}^2)$ and $(M_{NVB}^1 \cap P_{NVB}^1) \subseteq (M_{NVB}^2 \cap P_{NVB}^2)$
- (ii) $M_{NVB} \subseteq M_{NVB}^1$ and $M_{NVB} \subseteq M_{NVB}^2 \Rightarrow M_{NVB} \subseteq (M_{NVB}^1 \cap M_{NVB}^2)$
 $M_{NVB}^1 \subseteq M_{NVB}$ and $M_{NVB}^2 \subseteq M_{NVB} \Rightarrow (M_{NVB}^1 \cup M_{NVB}^2) \subseteq M_{NVB}$
- (iii) $\bar{M}_{NVB} = M_{NVB}$
- (iv) $M_{NVB} \subseteq P_{NVB} \Rightarrow \bar{P}_{NVB} \subseteq \bar{M}_{NVB}$
- (v) $\bar{\theta}_{NVB} = \psi_{NVB}$
- (vi) $\bar{\Psi}_{NVB} = \Phi_{NVB}$

Proof

Proof is clear

6. Continuous mapping for NVBS's

Continuity plays vital role in any topology. In this section image, pre-image and continuity are developed for NVBS's.

Definition 6.1. (Image and Pre-image of neutrosophic vague binary sets)

Let M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} be two non-empty NVBS's defined on two common universes U_1, U_2 and V_1, V_2 respectively. Define a function $f : M_{NVB} \rightarrow P_{NVB}$, then the following statements hold:

(1) If $D_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i); \hat{I}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i); \hat{F}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i)}{s_i}; s_i \in V_1 \right\rangle; \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r); \hat{I}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r); \hat{F}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r)}{t_r}; t_r \in V_2 \right\rangle \right\}$ is a NVBS in P_{NVB} , then the preimage of D_{NVB} under f , denoted by $f^{-1}(D_{NVB})$, is a NVBS in M_{NVB} defined by $f^{-1}(D_{NVB}) = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{f^{-1}(\hat{T}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i)); f^{-1}(\hat{I}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i)); f^{-1}(\hat{F}_{D_{NVB}}(s_i))}{s_i}; s_i \in V_1 \right\rangle; \left\langle \frac{f^{-1}(\hat{T}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r)); f^{-1}(\hat{I}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r)); f^{-1}(\hat{F}_{D_{NVB}}(t_r))}{t_r}; t_r \in V_2 \right\rangle \right\}$

(2) If $A_{NVB} = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j); \hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j); \hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j)}{x_j}; x_j \in U_1 \right\rangle; \left\langle \frac{\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k); \hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k); \hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k)}{y_k}; y_k \in U_2 \right\rangle \right\}$ is a NVBS in M_{NVB} , then the image of A_{NVB} under f , denoted by $f(A_{NVB})$, is a NVBS in P_{NVB} defined by $f(A_{NVB}) = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{f_{sup}(\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i)); f_{inf}(\hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i)); f_{inf}(\hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i))}{s_i}; s_i \in V_1 \right\rangle; \left\langle \frac{f_{sup}(\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r)); f_{inf}(\hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r)); f_{inf}(\hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r))}{t_r}; t_r \in V_2 \right\rangle \right\}$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_{sup}(\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x_j \in f^{-1}(s_i)} \hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j), & \text{if } f^{-1}(s_i) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{sup}(\hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{y_k \in f^{-1}(t_r)} \hat{T}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k), & \text{if } f^{-1}(t_r) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{inf}(\hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x_j \in f^{-1}(s_i)} \hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j), & \text{if } f^{-1}(s_i) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{inf}(\hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{y_k \in f^{-1}(t_r)} \hat{I}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k), & \text{if } f^{-1}(t_r) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{inf}(\hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(s_i)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x_j \in f^{-1}(s_i)} \hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(x_j), & \text{if } f^{-1}(s_i) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{inf}(\hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(t_r)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{y_k \in f^{-1}(t_r)} \hat{F}_{A_{NVB}}(y_k), & \text{if } f^{-1}(t_r) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

for each $s_i \in V_1$ and for each $t_r \in V_2$

Definition 6.2. (Neutrosophic Vague strongly continuous mapping)

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two neutrosophic vague topological spaces. A map $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be neutrosophic vague strongly continuous if inverse image of every neutrosophic vague set in (Y, σ) is neutrosophic vague clopen set [a set which acts simultaneously as neutrosophic vague open set and neutrosophic vague closed set] in (X, τ)

Definition 6.3.

(i) Neutrosophic Vague Binary Continuity:

Let $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ and $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ be any two NVBTS's.

A map $f : (U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}) \rightarrow (V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ is said to be neutrosophic vague binary **continuous** (NVB continuous) if forevery NVBOS (or NVBCS) M_{NVB} of $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$, $f^{-1}(M_{NVB})$ is a NVBOS (or NVBCS) in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

(ii) Various kinds of Continuities for NVBS's

Let $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ and $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ be any two NVBTS's. A map $f : (U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB}) \rightarrow (V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ is said to be

(1) Neutrosophic vague binary **semi-continuous** (NVBSC):

if forevery neutrosophic vague binary open set (NVBOS in short) [or neutrosophic vague binary closed set (NVBCS in short)] M_{NVB} of $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$, $f^{-1}(M_{NVB})$ is a neutrosophic vague binary semi-open set (NVBSOS in short) [or neutrosophic vague binary semi - closed set (NVBSCS in short)] in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

(2) Neutrosophic vague binary **pre-continuous** (NVBPC continuous):

if forevery NVBOS [or NVBCS] M_{NVB} of $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ $f^{-1}(M_{NVB})$ is a neutrosophic vague binary pre-open set (NVBPOS in short) [or neutrosophic vague binary pre-closed set (NVBPCS in short)] in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

(3) Neutrosophic vague binary **strongly-continuous** (NVBSC continuous):

if inverse image of every neutrosophic vague binary set in $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ is neutrosophic vague binary clopen set [a set which acts simultaneously as neutrosophic vague binary open set and neutrosophic vague binary closed set] in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

(4) Neutrosophic vague binary **regular-continuous** (NVBRC continuous):

if forevery NVBOS [or NVBCS] M_{NVB} of $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ $f^{-1}(M_{NVB})$ is a neutrosophic vague binary regular- open set (NVBROS in short) [or neutrosophic vague binary regular-closed set (NVBRCS in short)] in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

(5) Neutrosophic vague binary **semi-pre-continuous** (NVBRC continuous):

if forevery NVBOS [or NVBCS] M_{NVB} of $(V_1, V_2, \sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB})$ $f^{-1}(M_{NVB})$ is a neutrosophic vague binary generalized semi- open set (NVBGSOS in short) [or neutrosophic vague binary generalized semi-closed set (NVBGSCS in short)] in $(U_1, U_2, \tau_{\Delta}^{NVB})$

Example 6.4.

Let $f = (g, h) : M_{NVB} \rightarrow P_{NVB}$ be a function defined as , $f(\Phi_{NVB}^1) = \Phi_{NVB}^2$, $f(M_{NVB}^1) = P_{NVB}^1$, $f(M_{NVB}^2) = P_{NVB}^1$, $f(\Psi_{NVB}^1) = \Psi_{NVB}^2$ where $g : U_1 \rightarrow V_1$ and $h : U_2 \rightarrow V_2$ be two functions with $g(x_1) = s_2$, $g(x_2) = s_1$ and $h(y_1) = t_1$, where $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $U_2 = \{y_1\}$ and $V_1 = \{s_1, s_2\}$, $V_2 = \{t_1\}$.

Let $\tau_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \{\Phi_{NVB}^1, M_{NVB}^1, M_{NVB}^2, M_{NVB}^3, M_{NVB}^4, M_{NVB}^5, M_{NVB}^6, M_{NVB}^7, M_{NVB}^8, M_{NVB}^9, M_{NVB}^{10}, M_{NVB}^{11}, \Psi_{NVB}^1\}$ and $\sigma_{\Delta}^{NVB} = \{\Phi_{NVB}^2, P_{NVB}^1, \Psi_{NVB}^2\}$ be their respective NVBT's.

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{NVB}^1 &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0,0], [1,1], [1,1]}{x_1}; \frac{[0,0], [1,1], [1,1]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0,0], [1,1], [1,1]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \\ M_{NVB}^1 &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.3, 0.4], [0.7, 0.8], [0.6, 0.7]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \\ M_{NVB}^2 &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.6], [0.6, 0.9], [0.4, 0.9]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \\ M_{NVB}^3 &= \left\{ \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M_{NVB}^4 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.4], [0.7, 0.9], [0.6, 0.9]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^5 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^6 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.4], [0.7, 0.9], [0.6, 0.9]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.7], [0.3, 0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^7 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.3, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.7]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^8 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.1, 0.6], [0.6, 0.9], [0.4, 0.9]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^9 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^{10} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.3, 0.4], [0.7, 0.8], [0.6, 0.7]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.2, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.8]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.7], [0.2, 0.9], [0.3, 0.8]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &M_{NVB}^{11} = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.6, 0.8], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]}{x_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.9], [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\
 &\Psi_{NVB}^1 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{x_1}; \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{x_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{y_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

and $V_1 = \{s_1, s_2\}$, $V_2 = \{t_1\}$ be a common universe with

$$\Phi_{NVB}^2 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]}{s_1}; \frac{[0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]}{s_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]}{t_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$P_{NVB}^1 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.3], [0.5, 0.6], [0.7, 0.8]}{s_1}; \frac{[0.3, 0.7], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7]}{s_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2, 0.4], [0.5, 0.6], [0.6, 0.8]}{t_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$\Psi_{NVB}^2 = \left\langle \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{s_1}; \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{s_2} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[1, 1], [0, 0], [0, 0]}{t_1} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

It is got that, $f^{-1}(\Phi_{NVB}^2) = \Phi_{NVB}^1$, $f^{-1}(P_{NVB}^1) = M_{NVB}^3$, $f^{-1}(\Psi_{NVB}^2) = \Psi_{NVB}^1$. Then clearly f is a neutrosophic vague binary continuous mapping.

7. Distance Measures for NVBS's

Let $U_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$; $U_2 = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p\}$ be the common universe. Also let

M_{NVB} and P_{NVB} be two NVBS's.

(i) Hamming distance between them is defined as

$$d_{NVB}^H(M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{6} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^-(x_j) - T_{PNB}^-(x_j)| + |I_{MNB}^-(x_j) - I_{PNB}^-(x_j)| + |F_{MNB}^-(x_j) - F_{PNB}^-(x_j)|) + |T_{MNB}^+(x_j) - T_{PNB}^+(x_j)| + |I_{MNB}^+(x_j) - I_{PNB}^+(x_j)| + |F_{MNB}^+(x_j) - F_{PNB}^+(x_j)| \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \left[\sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}(y_k) - T_{PNB}(y_k)| + |I_{MNB}(y_k) - I_{PNB}(y_k)| + |F_{MNB}(y_k) - F_{PNB}(y_k)|) + |T_{MNB}(y_k) - T_{PNB}(y_k)| + |I_{MNB}(y_k) - I_{PNB}(y_k)| + |F_{MNB}(y_k) - F_{PNB}(y_k)| \right]$$

(ii) Normalized Hamming distance between them is defined as

$$d_{NVB}^{nH}(M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}) = \frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^-(x_j) - T_{PNB}^-(x_j)| + |I_{MNB}^-(x_j) - I_{PNB}^-(x_j)| + |F_{MNB}^-(x_j) - F_{PNB}^-(x_j)|) + \frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^+(x_j) - T_{PNB}^+(x_j)| + |I_{MNB}^+(x_j) - I_{PNB}^+(x_j)| + |F_{MNB}^+(x_j) - F_{PNB}^+(x_j)|) + \frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^-(y_k) - T_{PNB}^-(y_k)| + |I_{MNB}^-(y_k) - I_{PNB}^-(y_k)| + |F_{MNB}^-(y_k) - F_{PNB}^-(y_k)|) + \frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^+(y_k) - T_{PNB}^+(y_k)| + |I_{MNB}^+(y_k) - I_{PNB}^+(y_k)| + |F_{MNB}^+(y_k) - F_{PNB}^+(y_k)|)$$

(iii) Euclidean distance between them is defined as

$$d_{NVB}^E(M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^-(x_j) - T_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^-(x_j) - I_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^-(x_j) - F_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2) + \frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^+(x_j) - T_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^+(x_j) - I_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^+(x_j) - F_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^-(y_k) - T_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^-(y_k) - I_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^-(y_k) - F_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2) + \frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^+(y_k) - T_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^+(y_k) - I_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^+(y_k) - F_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2)}$$

(iv) Normalized Euclidean distance between them is defined as

$$d_{NVB}^{nE}(M_{NVB}, P_{NVB}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^-(x_j) - T_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^-(x_j) - I_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^-(x_j) - F_{PNB}^-(x_j)|^2) + \frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^n (|T_{MNB}^+(x_j) - T_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^+(x_j) - I_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^+(x_j) - F_{PNB}^+(x_j)|^2)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^-(y_k) - T_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^-(y_k) - I_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^-(y_k) - F_{PNB}^-(y_k)|^2) + \frac{1}{6p} \sum_{k=1}^p (|T_{MNB}^+(y_k) - T_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2 + |I_{MNB}^+(y_k) - I_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2 + |F_{MNB}^+(y_k) - F_{PNB}^+(y_k)|^2)}$$

8. NVBS's in Medical Diagnosis

This section deals with an application of NVBS's in medical diagnosis.

Following table describes data collected from three patients after conducting liver function test. First set of sample is collected before treatment which describes the first universe. Second set of sample is collected after treatment which describes the second universe. $P_{NVB}^1, P_{NVB}^2, P_{NVB}^3$ are three NVBS's formed, based on the data of the three patients under consideration

Before Treatment (BT)	P_1	P_2	P_3
Albumin	[0.042, 0.052]	[0.025, 0.052]	[0.052, 0.064]
Globulin Serum	[0.035, 0.045]	[0.033, 0.035]	[0.011, 0.035]
Bilirubin Total	[0.045, 0.100]	[0.070, 0.100]	[0.093, 0.100]

After Treatment (AT)	P_1	P_2	P_3
Albumin	[0.031, 0.052]	[0.036, 0.052]	[0.052, 0.064]
Globulin Serum	[0.021, 0.035]	[0.035, 0.042]	[0.019, 0.035]
Bilirubin Total	[0.025, 0.100]	[0.017, 0.100]	[0.099, 0.100]

Data collected from 3 persons are converted to NVBS's as given below:

$$P_{NVB}^1 = \left\{ \left(\frac{p_{BT}^{Albumin}}{p_{AT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{p_{BT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}{p_{AT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}, \frac{p_{BT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}}{p_{AT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right), \left(\frac{[0.042, 0.052], [0.948, 0.958], [0.948, 0.958], [0.035, 0.045], [0.955, 0.965], [0.955, 0.965], [0.045, 0.100], [0.900, 0.955], [0.900, 0.955]}{[0.031, 0.052], [0.948, 0.969], [0.948, 0.969], [0.021, 0.035], [0.965, 0.979], [0.965, 0.979], [0.025, 0.100], [0.900, 0.975], [0.900, 0.975]} \right) \right\}$$

$$P_{NVB}^2 = \left(\left(\frac{[0.025, 0.052], [0.948, 0.975], [0.948, 0.975]}{p_{BT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.033, 0.035], [0.965, 0.967], [0.965, 0.967]}{p_{BT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}, \frac{[0.070, 0.100], [0.900, 0.930], [0.900, 0.930]}{p_{BT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right), \left(\frac{[0.036, 0.052], [0.948, 0.964], [0.948, 0.964]}{p_{AT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.035, 0.042], [0.958, 0.965], [0.958, 0.965]}{p_{AT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}, \frac{[0.017, 0.100], [0.900, 0.983], [0.900, 0.983]}{p_{AT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right) \right)$$

$$P_{NVB}^3 = \left(\left(\frac{[0.052, 0.064], [0.936, 0.948], [0.936, 0.948]}{p_{BT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.011, 0.035], [0.965, 0.989], [0.965, 0.989]}{p_{BT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}, \frac{[0.011, 0.035], [0.965, 0.989], [0.965, 0.989]}{p_{BT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right), \left(\frac{[0.052, 0.064], [0.936, 0.948], [0.936, 0.948]}{p_{AT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.019, 0.035], [0.965, 0.981], [0.965, 0.981]}{p_{AT}^{Globulin\ Serum}}, \frac{[0.099, 0.100], [0.900, 0.901], [0.900, 0.901]}{p_{AT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right) \right)$$

D_{NVB}^{LFT} is a NVBS formed, based on the actual range fixed for a liver function test. Ranges for D_{NVB}^{LFT} under a liver function test for albumin, Globulin serum and Bilirubin Total is given as follows:

Before Treatment (BT)	D_{NVB}^{LFT}
Albumin	[0.034, 0.052], [0.948, 0.966], [0.948, 0.966]
Globulin Serum	[0.015, 0.035], [0.965, 0.985], [0.965, 0.985]
Bilirubin Total	[0.000, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100]

After Treatment (AT)	D_{NVB}^{LFT}
Albumin	[0.034, 0.052], [0.948, 0.966], [0.948, 0.966]
Globulin Serum	[0.015, 0.035], [0.965, 0.985], [0.965, 0.985]
Bilirubin Total	[0.000, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100]

Above datas are converted to NVBS as below.

$$D_{NVB}^{LFT} = \left(\left(\frac{[0.034, 0.052], [0.948, 0.966], [0.948, 0.966]}{D_{BT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.015, 0.035], [0.965, 0.985], [0.965, 0.985]}{D_{BT}^{Globulin-Serum}}, \frac{[0.000, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100]}{D_{BT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right), \left(\frac{[0.034, 0.052], [0.948, 0.966], [0.948, 0.966]}{D_{AT}^{Albumin}}, \frac{[0.015, 0.035], [0.965, 0.985], [0.965, 0.985]}{D_{AT}^{Globulin-Serum}}, \frac{[0.000, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100], [0.900, 0.100]}{D_{AT}^{Bilirubin\ Total}} \right) \right)$$

Neutrosophic vague binary euclidean distance measure can be used to diagonise which patient is more suffering with liver problems even after treatment. Following table gives the neutrosophic vague binary euclidean difference between each of the patients from D_{NVB}^{LFT}

$d_{NVBS}^{ED}(P_{NVB}^1, D_{NVB}^{LFT})$	$d_{NVBS}^{ED}(P_{NVB}^2, D_{NVB}^{LFT})$	$d_{NVBS}^{ED}(P_{NVB}^3, D_{NVB}^{LFT})$
0.014856	0.277330	0.745502

Lowest neutrosophic vague binary euclidean difference is for patient I. So patient I suffers more with liver problems even after treatment

9. Conclusions

Neutrosophic vague binary sets are developed in this paper with some examples and basic concepts. Real life situations demand binary and higher dimensional universes than a unique one. Being the vital concept to homeomorphism - 'which is the underlying principle to any topology' - continuity has an important role in topology. It is also developed for this new concept. Practical

applications are tremendous for binary concept in day today life. One real life example in medical diagnosis is discussed above. Several situations demand combined result than 'a unique separate one' - to compare and deal situations in a more fast manner. Neutrosophic vague binary sets is a good tool for comparison in such cases. It could be made use in surveys, case studies and in some other sort of similar situations. Topology are special type of subsets to a universal set- based on which study of all other subsets of the universal set is possible. New study will produce a combined result or net effect than taking a single result. This work could be extended by taking subsets of the common universe.

Acknowledgement

Authors are very grateful to the anonymous reviewers and would like to thank for their valuable and critical suggestions to raise the quality of the paper and to remove the fatal errors.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest."

References

1. Alblowi.S.A, Salama.A.A and Mohmed Eisa, New Concepts of Neutrosophic Sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research (IJMCAR), ISSN(P):2249-6955; ISSN(E): 2249-8060, Vol.4, Issue1, Feb2014, 59-66@TJPRCPvt.Ltd
2. Banu priya.V, Chandrasekar.S, Neutrosophic α gs Continuity and Neutrosophic α gs Irresolute Maps, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol 27, 2019, University of New Mexico , pp 163-170
3. Dhavaseelan.R, Narmada Devi.R, Jafari.S and Qays Hatem Imran, Neutrosophic α^m -continuity, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol 27, 2019, pp 171-200
4. Dhavaseelan.R, Subash Moorthy.R and Jafari.S, Generalized Neutrosophic Exponential map, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.27, 2019, University of New Mexico, pp 37-43
5. Dontchev.J, Contra-continous functions and strongly s-closed spaces, Internat.J.Math & Math.Sci, Vol 19, No.2 (1996), 303-310
6. Florentin Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability (fifth edition) Book: January 2005, DOI:10.5281/zenodo.49174, ISBN 978-1-59973-080-6, AmericanResearchPress, Rchoboth, 1998, 2000, 2003, 2005
7. Florentin Smarandache, Plithogenic Set, an Extension of Crisp, Fuzzy, Intuitionistic Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Sets-Revisited, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.21, 2018, University of New Mexico, pp 153-166
8. Francina Shalini.A and Remya.P.B, Vague binary soft sets, International journal of engineering, Science and Mathematics, Vol . 7, Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN : 2320-0294, pp no 56-73
9. Gau.W.L and Buehrer, Vague Sets, IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics, vol. 23, no. 2, march/april 1993, ppno610-614
10. Gulfam Shahzadi, Muhammad Akram and Arsham Borumand Saeid, An Application of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets in Medical Diagnosis, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 18/2017, pp 80-88
11. Hazwani Hashim, Lazim Abdullah and Ashraf Al-Quran, Interval Neutrosophic Vague Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.25, 2019 , University of New Mexico, pp 66-75
12. Krassimir T. Atanassov , Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets , Fuzzy sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87-96
13. Mary Margaret A, Trinita Pricilla M and Shawkat Alkhazaleh, Neutrosophic Vague Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.28, 2019, University of New Mexico
14. Mary Margaret A, Trinita Pricilla M, Neutrosophic Vague Generalized Pre-Continuous and Irresolute Mappings, International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics, Vol.7, Issue 2, February 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294, pp228-244
15. Molodtsov.D, Soft set theory-first results, An international journal computers & Mathematics with applications 34(1999)19-31[J]
16. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, El-hoseny, M., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2019). A Novel Model for Evaluation Hospital Medical Care Systems Based on Plithogenic Sets. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 101710
17. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Gunasekaran Manogaran, Abdullah Gamal and Victor Chang, A Novel Intelligent Medical Decision Support Model Based on Soft Computing and IoT, IEEE Internet of Things, 2327-4662©2019, IEEE, pp1-11.
18. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Asmaa Atef, Florentine Smarandache, A hybrid neutrosophic multiple criteria group decision making approach for project selection, Cognitive Systems Research, 57, 216-227, <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsys.2018.10.023>, pp1-12.

19. Mai Mohamed, Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Florentin Smarandache, A Critical Path Problem in Neutrosophic Environment, Neutrosophic Operational Research Volume I, pp no 167-174
20. Mohamed Abdel-Basset and Mai Mohamed, Linear fractional programming based on triangular neutrosophic numbers, Int.J.Applied Management Science, Vol.11, No.1, 2019, pp 1-20
21. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Mai-Mohamed, A novel and powerful framework based on neutrosophic sets to aid patients with cancer, Future Generation Computer Systems 98 (2019) 144-153, [https:// doi.org/ 10.1016/ j. future.2018.12.0190167-739X](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.12.0190167-739X)
22. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Rehab Mohamed, Abd El-Nasser H.Zaied and Florentin Smarandache, A Hybrid Plithogenic Decision-Making Approach with Quality Function Deployment for selecting Supply Chain Sustainability Metrics, Symmetry, 2019, 11(7), 903; doi:10.3390/sym11070903, [www.mdpi.com /journal/ symmetry](http://www.mdpi.com/journal/symmetry),pp1-21
23. Mohamed Abdel-Basset, M., Atef, A., & Smarandache, F. (2019). A hybrid Neutrosophic multiple criteria group decision making approach for project selection. Cognitive Systems Research, 57, 216-227.
24. Muhammad Akram, Hina Gulzar and Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophic Soft Topological K - Algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.25, 2019, pp 104-124
25. Salama.A.A, Florentin Smarandache and Valeri Kromov, Neutrosophic closed set and Neutrosophic continuous functions, Neutrosophic sets and systems Vol 4, 2014
26. Shawkat Alkhazaleh, Neutrosophic Vague Set Theory, Critical Review, Volume X, 2015, page no 29-39
27. Ugochukwu Odunukwe, History of Georg Cantor, Undergraduate Mini-Seminar 'The life, Times, and discoveries of Georg Cantor to Mathematics', An Original Research Compiled By A Great Mathematician In View, March 2015, PP1-22
28. Vildan Cetkin and Halis Aygün, An approach to neutrosophic ideals, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 1 (2) (2018) 132-136, Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/ujma, ISSN: 2619-9653
29. Zadeh.L.A, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control 8, 338--353 (1965)

Received: June 03, 2019, Accepted: October 12, 2019