On the number of Smarandache Zero-Divisors and Smarandache Weak Zero-Divisors in Loop Rings of the Loops $L_n(m)$:

W.B. Vasantha and Moon K. Chetry
Department of Mathematics
I.I.T. Madras, Chennai

Abstract

In this paper we find the number of smarandache zero divisors (S-zero divisors) and smarandache weak zero divisors (S-weak zero divisors) for the loop rings $Z_2L_n(m)$ of the loops $L_n(m)$ over Z_2 . We obtain the exact number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors when $n=p^2$ or p^3 or pq where p,q are odd primes. We also prove $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors, where Z is the ring of integers. For any loop L we give conditions on L so that the loop ring Z_2L has S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors.

§ Introduction:

This paper has four sections. In the first section, we just recall the definitions of S-zero divisors and S-weak divisors and some of the properties of the new class of loops $L_n(m)$. In section two, we obtain the number of S-zero divisors of the loop rings $Z_2L_n(m)$ and show when $n=p^2$, p an odd prime, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $p(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} even^{p+1}C_r)$ S-zero divisors. Also when $n=p^3$, p an odd prime, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $p(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} even^{p+1}C_r)+p^2(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} even^{p+1}C_r)$ S-zero divisors. Again when n=pq, p, q are odd primes, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $p+q+p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{q-1} even^{q+1}C_r)+q(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} even^{p+1}C_r)$ S-zero divisors. Further we prove $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors.

In section three, we find the number of S-weak zero divisors for the loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ and prove that when $n=p^2$, p an odd prime, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $2p(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1}{}_{even}{}^{p+1}C_r)$ S-weak zero divisors. Also when $n=p^3$, p an odd prime, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $2p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p^2-1}{}_{even}{}^{p^2+1}C_r)+2p^2(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1}{}_{even}{}^{p+1}C_r)$ S-weak zero divisors. Again when n=pq, p, q are odd primes, $Z_2L_n(m)$ has $2[p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{q-1}{}_{even}{}^{q+1}C_r)+q(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1}{}_{even}{}^{p+1}C_r)]$ S-weak zero divisors. We prove $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors. The final section gives some unsolved problems and some conclusions based on our study.

$\S 1:$ Basic Results

Here we just recollect some basic results to make this paper a self contained one.

Definition 1.1 [4]: Let R be a ring. An element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be a S-zero divisor if a.b = 0 for some $b \neq 0$ in R and there exists $x, y \in R \setminus \{0, a, b\}$ such that

$$i. \ a.x = 0$$
 or $x.a = 0$
 $ii. \ b.y = 0$ or $y.b = 0$
 $iii. \ x.y \neq 0$ or $y.x \neq 0$.

Definition 1.2 [4]: Let R be a ring. An element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is a S-weak zero divisor if there exists $b \in R \setminus \{0, a\}$ such that a.b = 0 satisfying the following conditions: There exists $x, y \in R \setminus \{0, a, b\}$ such that

$$i. \ a.x = 0$$
 or $x.a = 0$
 $ii. \ b.y = 0$ or $y.b = 0$
 $iii. \ x.y = 0$ or $y.x = 0$.

Definition 1.3 [3]: Let $L_n(m) = \{e, 1, 2, 3..., n\}$ be a set where n > 3, n is odd and m is a positive integer such that (m, n) = 1 and (m - 1, n) = 1 with m < n. Define on $L_n(m)$, a binary operation '.' as follows:

$$i. \ e.i = i.e = i$$
 for all $i \in L_n(m) \setminus \{e\}$
 $ii. \ i^2. = e$ for all $i \in L_n(m)$
 $iii. \ i.j = t,$ where $t \equiv (mj - (m-1)i) \pmod{n}$ for all $i, j \in L_n(m)$, $i \neq e$ and $j \neq e$.

Then $L_n(m)$ is a loop. This loop is always of even order; further for varying m, we get a class of loops of order n+1 which we denote by L_n .

Example 1.1 [3]: Consider $L_5(2) = \{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The composition table for $L_5(2)$ is given below:

	$egin{array}{c} e \\ e \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ \end{array}$	1	2	3	4	5
\overline{e}	e	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	e	3	5	2	4
2	2	5	e	4	1	3
3	3	4	1	e	5	2
4	4	3	5	2	e	1
5	5	2	4	1	3	e

This loop is non-commutative and non-associative and of order 6.

Theorem 1.1 [3]: Let $L_n(m) \in L_n$. For every t|n there exists t subloops of order k+1, where k=n/t.

Theorem 1.2 [3]: Let $L_n(m) \in L_n$. If H is a subloop of $L_n(m)$ of order t+1 then t|n.

Remark 1.2 [3]: Lagrange's theorem is not satisfied by all subloops of the loop $L_n(m)$, i.e there always exists a subloop H of $L_n(m)$ which does not satisfie the Lagrange's theorem, i.e $o(H) \nmid o(L_n(m))$.

 $\S~2$: Determination of the number of S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ and $ZL_n(m)$.

In this section, we give the number of S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$. We prove $ZL_n(m)$ (where $n=p^2$ or pq, p and q are odd primes), has infinitely many S-zero divisors. Further we show any loop L of odd (or even) order if it has a proper subloop of even (or odd) order then the loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ over the field Z_2 has S-zero divisors. We first show if L is a loop of odd order and L has a proper subloop of even order, then $Z_2L_n(m)$ has S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.1: Let L be a finite loop of odd order. $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, the prime field of characteristic 2. Suppose H is a subloop of L of even order, then Z_2L has S-zero divisors.

Proof: Let |L| = n; n odd. Z_2L be the loop ring of L over Z_2 . H be the subloop of L of order m, where m is even. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$ and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$, then

$$X.Y = 0.$$

Now

$$(1+g_t)X=0, \quad g_t \in L \backslash H$$

also

$$(1 + h_i + h_j + h_k)Y = 0, \quad h_i, h_j, h_k \in H$$

so that

$$(1+g_t)(1+h_i+h_j+h_k) \neq 0.$$

Hence the claim.

Corollary 2.1: If L is a finite loop of even order n and H is a subloop of odd order m, then the loop ring Z_2L has S-zero divisors.

It is important here to mention that Z_2L may have other types of S-zero divisors. This theorem only gives one of the basic conditions for Z_2L to have S-zero divisors.

Example 2.1 Let $Z_2L_{25}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{25}(m)$ over Z_2 , where (m,25)=1 and (m-1,25)=1. As 5|25, so $L_{25}(m)$ has 5 proper subloops each of order 6. Let H be one of the proper subloops of $L_{25}(m)$. Now take

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{26} g_i, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_i \quad g_i \in L_{25}(m), h_i \in H,$$

then

$$(1+g_i)X = 0, \quad g_i \in L_{25}(m)\backslash H$$
$$(1+h_i)Y = 0, \quad h_i \in H$$

but

$$(1+g_i)(1+h_i) \neq 0.$$

So X and Y are S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_{25}(m)$.

Theorem 2.2: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with $n=p^2$, p an odd prime. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$p(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \ even}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors.

Proof: Given $L_n(m)$ is a loop of order n+1, where $n=p^2$ (p an odd prime). Let $Z_2L_n(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_n(m)$ over Z_2 . Now clearly the loop $L_n(m)$ has exactly p subloops of order p+1. The number of S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ for $n=p^2$ can be enumerated in the following way:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$$
 and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i$

where $g_i \in L_n(m)$ and $h_i \in H_j$ for this

$$X.Y = 0$$

choose

$$a = (1+g), \quad g \in L_n(m) \backslash H_j$$

 $b = (h_i + h_j), \quad h_i, h_j \in H_j$

then

$$a.X = 0$$
 and $b.Y = 0$

but

$$a.b \neq 0$$
.

So X and Y are S-zero divisors. There are p such S-zero divisors , as we have p subloops H_j (j=1,2,...,p) of $L_n(m)$.

Next consider, S-zero divisors of the form

$$(h_1 + h_2) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0$$
, where $h_1, h_2 \in H_j$, $g_i \in L_n(m)$

put

$$X = (h_1 + h_2), \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$$

we have $^{p+1}C_2$ such S-zero divisors. This is true for each of the subloops. Hence there exists $^{p+1}C_2 \times p$ such S-zero divisors. Taking four elements h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 from H_j at a time, we get

$$(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0$$

so we get ${}^{p+1}C_4 imes p$ such S-zero divisors.

Continuing in this way, we get

$$(h_1 + h_2 + ... + h_{p-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0$$
 where $h_1, h_2, ..., h_{p-1} \in H_j$.

So we get $^{p+1}C_{p-1} \times p$ such S-zero divisors. Adding all these S-zero divisors we get

$$p(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r)$$

number of S-zero divisors in the loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$. Hence the claim.

Example 2.2: Let $Z_2L_{49}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{49}(m)$ over Z_2 , where (m, 49) = 1 and (m - 1, 49 = 1). Here p = 7, so from Theorem 2.2, $Z_2L_{49}(m)$ has

$$7(1+\sum_{r=2}^{6}\sum_{r \ even}^{7+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors i.e $7(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{6} {}^{8}C_{r}) = 889$ S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.3: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with $n=p^3$, p an odd prime. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$p(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \text{ even}}^{p^2 - 1} {}^{p^2 + 1}C_r) + p^2(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \text{ even}}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors.

Proof: We enumerate all the S-zero divisors of $Z_2L_n(m)$ in the following way:

Case I: As $p|p^3$, $L_n(m)$ has p proper subloops H_j each of order $p^2 + 1$. In this case I, we have $p^2 - 1$ types of S-zero diviosrs. We just index them by type I_1 , type I_2 ,...type I_{p^2-1}

Type I_1 : Here

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i \sum_{i=1}^{p^2+1} h_i = 0, \quad g_i \in L_n(m), \quad h_i \in H_j, \ (j = 1, 2..., p).$$

So we will get p S-zero divisors of this type.

Type I_2 :

$$(h_1 + h_2) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0, \quad h_1, h_2 \in H_j \ (j = 1, 2, ..., p).$$

As in Theorem 2.2, we will get $p^{2}+1$ $C_2 \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type.

Type I_3 :

$$(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0$$
 $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 \in H_j$ $(j = 1, 2, ..., p).$

We will get $p^{2+1}C_4 \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type. Continuing this way,

Type I_{p^2-1} :

$$(h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p^2-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j.$$

We will get $p^{2}+1$ $C_{p^{2}-1} \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type. Hence adding all this types of S-zero divisors we will get

$$p(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \text{ even}}^{p^2 - 1} {}^{p^2 + 1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors for case *I*.

Case II: Again $p^2|p^3$, so there are p^2 subloops H_j each of order p+1. Now we can enumerate all the S-zero divisors in this case exactly as in case I above. So there are

$$p^2(1+\sum_{r=2.r\ even}^{p-1}{}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors. Hence the total number of S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ is

$$p(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p^2-1} {}^{p^2+1}C_r) + p^2(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r).$$

Hence the claim.

Example 2.3: Let $Z_2L_{27}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{27}(m)$ over Z_2 , where (m, 27) = 1 and (m - 1, 27) = 1. Here p = 3, so from Theorem 2.3, $Z_2L_{27}(m)$ has

$$3(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \text{ even}}^{8} {3^2 + 1 \choose r} + 3^2(1 + \sum_{r=2, r \text{ even}}^{2} {4 \choose r})$$

S-zero divisors i.e $3(1 + \sum_{r=2,r}^{8} even^{-10}C_r) + 9(1 + \sum_{r=2,r}^{4} even^{-4}C_r) = 1533$ S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.4: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with n=pq, p, q are odd primes. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$p + q + p(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{q-1} {}^{q+1}C_r) + q(1 + \sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors.

Proof: We will enumerate all the S-zero divisors in the following way:

Case I: as p|pq, $L_n(m)$ has p subloops H_j each of order q+1. Proceeding exactly in the same way as in Theorem 2.3, we will get $p+p(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{q-1} {}_{even} {}^{q+1}C_r)$ S-zero divisors for case I.

Case II: Again q|pq, so $L_n(m)$ has q subloops H_j each of order p+1. Now as above we will get $q+q(1+\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} {_{even}}^{p+1}C_r)$ S-zero divisors for case II. Hence adding all the S-zero divisors in case I and case II, we get

$$p+q+p(1+\sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{q-1}{}^{q+1}C_r)+q(1+\sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p-1}{}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$.

Hence the claim.

Now we prove for the loop ring $ZL_n(m)$ where $n=p^2$ or p^3 or pq where p,q are odd primes, $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.5: Let $ZL_n(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_n(m)$ over Z, where $n = p^2$ or p^3 or pq, (p, q are odd primes), then $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors.

Proof: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop such that $n = p^2$. $L_n(m)$ has p subloops (say H_i) each of order p + 1.

Now the loop ring $ZL_n(m)$ has the following types of S-zero divisors:

$$X = a - bh_1 + bh_2 - ah_3$$
 and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$

where $a, b \in Z$ and $h_i \in H_i$, $g_i \in L_n(m)$ such that

$$(a - bh_1 + bh_2 - ah_3) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0.$$

Again

$$(1 - g_k)Y = 0, \quad g_k \in L_n(m) \backslash H_j$$

also

$$(a - bh_1 + bh_2 - ah_3) \sum h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j$$

clearly

$$(1-g_k)(\sum_{h_i\in H_j}h_i)\neq 0.$$

So X, Y are S-zero divisors in $ZL_n(m)$. Now we see there are infinitely many S-zero divisors of this type for a and b can take infinite number of values in Z. For $n = p^2$ or p^3 or pq we can prove the results in a similar way. Hence the claim.

 \S 3: Determination of the number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ and $ZL_n(m)$:

In this section, we give the number of S-weak zero divisors in the loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ when n is of the form p^2, p^3 or pq where p and q are odd primes. Before that we prove the existence of S-weak zero divisors in the loop ring Z_2L whenever L has a proper subloop.

Theorem 3.1: Let be a finite loop of odd order. Suppose H is a subloop of of L of even order, then Z_2L has S-weak zero divisors.

Proof: Let |L| = n; n odd. Z_2L be the loop ring. H be the subloop of L of order m, where m is even. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$ and $Y = 1 + h_t$, $g_i \in L$ and $h_t \in H$, then

$$X.Y = 0.$$

Now

$$Y.\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H$$

also

$$X(1+g_t) = 0, \quad g_t(\neq h_t) \in H$$

so that

$$(1+g_t).\sum_{i=1}^m h_i = 0.$$

Hence the claim.

Example 3.1 Let $Z_2L_{25}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{25}(m)$ over Z_2 , where (m, 25) = 1 and (m-1, 25) = 1. As 5|25, so $L_{25}(m)$ has 5 proper subloops each of order 6.

Take

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{26} g_i, \quad Y = 1 + h_t, \ g_i \in L_{25}(m), \ h_t \in H$$

then

$$X.Y = 0$$

again

$$X(1+g_t) = 0, \quad g_t(\neq h_t) \in H$$
$$Y \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H$$

also

$$(1+g_t)\sum_{i=1}^6 h_i = 0.$$

So X and Y are S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_{25}(m)$.

Example 3.2 Let $Z_2L_{21}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{21}(m)$ over Z_2 , where (m,21)=1 and (m-1,21)=1. As 3|21, so $L_{21}(m)$ has 3 proper subloops each of order 8.

Take

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{8} h_i, \quad Y = 1 + h_t, \quad h_i, \ h_t \in H$$

then

$$X.Y = 0$$

again

$$X(1+g_t) = 0, \quad g_t(\neq h_t) \in H$$

 $Y \sum_{i=1}^{22} g_i = 0, \quad g_i \in L_{21}(m)$

also

$$(1+g_t)\sum_{i=1}^{22}g_i=0.$$

So X and Y are S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_{21}(m)$.

Theorem 3.2: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with $n=p^2$, p an odd prime. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$2p(\sum_{r=2.r\ even}^{p-1}{}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-weak zero divisors.

Proof: Clearly the loop $L_n(m)$ has p subloops H_j each of order p+1. As in case of Theorem 2.3, we index the p-1 types of S-weak zero divisors by $I_1, I_2, ... I_{p-1}$. Now the number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ for $n=p^2$ can be enumerated in the following way:

Type I_1 . Let

$$X = h_1 + h_2, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in H_j$ and $g_i \in L_n(m)$ then

$$XY = 0$$

take

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i$$
, and $b = h_3 + h_4$ where $h_i \in H_j$, $(j = 1, 2, ..., p)$

then

$$aX = 0$$
, $bY = 0$

also

$$ab = 0$$
.

So for each proper subloop we will get ${}^{p+1}C_2$ S-weak zero divisors and as there are p proper subloops we will get ${}^{p+1}C_2 \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.

Type I_2 . Again let

$$X = h_1 + h_2, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i, \quad h_i \in H_j$$

then

$$XY = 0$$

take

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i, \quad g_i \in L_n(m), \quad b = h_1 + h_2, \quad h_1, h_2 \in H_j$$

then

$$aX = 0$$
, $bY = 0$

also

$$ab = 0$$
.

Here also we will get p+1 $C_2 \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of this type.

Type I_3 .

$$(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j \text{ and } g_i \in L_n(m).$$

As above we can say there are p+1 $C_4 \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.

Type I_4 .

$$(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4) \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j.$$

There are p+1 $C_4 \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors. Continuing this way,

Type I_{p-2} .

$$(h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j, \quad g_i \in L_n(m).$$

There are $^{p+1}C_{p-1} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.

Type I_{P-1} .

$$(h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i = 0, \quad h_j \in H_i.$$

Again there are $^{p+1}C_{p-1} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of this type. Adding all these S-weak zero divisors we will get the total number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ as

$$2p(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{p-1}{}^{p+1}C_r).$$

Hence the claim.

Theorem 3.3: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with $n=p^3$, p an odd prime. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$2p(\sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p^2-1} {}^{p^2+1}C_r) + 2p^2(\sum_{r=2,r \text{ even}}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-weak zero divisors.

Proof: We enumerate all the S-zero divisors of $Z_2L_n(m)$ in the following way:

Case I: As $p|p^3$, $L_n(m)$ has p proper subloops H_j each of order p^2+1 . Now as in Theorem 3.2

Type I_1 :

$$(h_1 + h_2) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0, \quad g_i \in L_n(m), h_i \in H_j.$$

So we will get $p^{2}+1C_{2} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type I_{1} . Type I_{2} :

$$(h_1 + h_2) \sum_{i=1}^{p^2+1} h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j.$$

So we will get p^2+1 $C_2 \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type I_2 . Continuing in this way

Type I_{p^2-2} :

$$(h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p^2 - 1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i = 0.$$

So we will get $p^{2}+1$ $C_{p^{2}-1} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of this type .

Type I_{p^2-1} :

$$(h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p^2 - 1}) \sum_{i=1}^{p^2 + 1} h_i = 0.$$

So we will get $p^{2+1}C_{p^2-1} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type I_{p^2-1} . Adding all this S-weak zero divisors, we will get the total number of S-weak zero divisors (in case I) in $Z_2L_n(m)$ as $2p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p^2-1} even^{p^2+1}C_r)$. Case II: Again $p^2|p^3$, so there are p^2 proper subloops H_j each of order p+1. Now we can enumerate all the S-weak zero divisors in this case exactly as in case I above. So there are

$$2p^2(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{p-1}{}^{p+1}C_r)$$

S-weak zero divisors in case II.

Hence the total number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$ is

$$2p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p^2-1} {}^{p^2+1}C_r) + 2p^2(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} {}^{p+1}C_r).$$

Hence the claim.

Theorem 3.4: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop of order n+1 (n an odd number, n>3) with n=pq, p, q are odd primes. Z_2 be the prime field of characteristic 2. The loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ has exactly

$$2\left[p\left(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{q-1}q^{+1}C_r\right) + q\left(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{p-1}p^{+1}C_r\right)\right]$$

S-weak zero divisors.

Proof: We will enumerate all the S-weak zero divisors in the following way:

Case I: As p|pq, $L_n(m)$ has p subloops H_j each of order q+1. Proceeding exactly same way as in Theorem 3.3, we will get $2p(\sum_{r=2,r}^{q-1} even^{q+1}C_r)$ S weak zero divisors in case I.

Case II: Again as q|pq, $L_n(m)$ has q proper subloops H_j each of order p+1. So as above we will get $2q(\sum_{r=2,r}^{p-1} even^{p+1}C_r)$ S-weak zero divisors in case II.

Hence adding all the S-zero divisors in case I and case II, we get

$$2\left[p\left(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{q-1}q+1}C_r\right)+q\left(\sum_{r=2,r\ even}^{p-1}p+1}C_r\right)\right]$$

S-weak zero divisors in $Z_2L_n(m)$. Hence the claim. Now we prove for the loop ring $ZL_n(m)$ where $n=p^2$ or p^3 or pq, (p,q) are odd primes), $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors.

Theorem 3.5: Let $ZL_n(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_n(m)$ over Z, where $n = p^2$ or p^3 or pq (p,q are odd primes). Then $ZL_n(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors.

Proof: Let $L_n(m)$ be a loop such that $n = p^2$. $L_n(m)$ has p subloops (say H_j) each of order p+1. Now the loop ring $ZL_n(m)$ has the following types of S-weak zero divisors:

$$X = a - bh_1 + bh_2 - ah_3$$
, and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$

where $a, b \in Z, g_i \in L_n(m)$ and $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H_j$ are such that

$$XY = 0$$
.

Again

$$X\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i = 0, \quad h_i \in H_j$$

also

$$(1 - g_t)Y = 0, \quad g_t(\neq h_t) \in H_j$$

clearly

$$(1 - g_t)(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i) = 0.$$

So X, Y are S-weak zero divisors in $ZL_n(m)$. Now we see there are infinitely many S-weak zero divisors of this type for a and b can take infinite number of values in Z.

For $n = p^2$ or p^3 or pq, we can prove the results in a similar way.

Hence the claim.

§ 4 Conclusions:

In this paper we find the exact number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors for the loop rings $Z_2L_n(m)$ in case of the special type of loops $L_n(m) \in L_n$ over Z_2 , when $n = p^2$ or p^3 or pq (p,q) are odd primes). We also

prove for the loop ring $ZL_n(m)$ has infinite number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors. We obtain conditions for any loop L to have S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors. We suggest it would be possible to enumerate in the similar way the number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors for the loop ring $Z_2L_n(m)$ when $n=p^s$, s>3; p a prime or when $n=p_1p_2...p_t$ where $p_1,p_2,...p_t$ are odd primes. However we find it difficult when we take Z_p instead of Z_2 , where p can be an odd prime or a composite number such that (p,n+1)=1 or (p,n+1)=p and n is of the form $n=p_1^{t_1}p_2^{t_2}...p_r^{t_r}$, $t_i>1$, n is odd and $p_1,p_2,...,p_r$ are odd primes.

References

- [1] Bruck R.H. A survey of binary system, Springer Verlag (1958).
- [2] Passman D.S. The algebraic structure of group rings, Wiley interscience (1977).
- [3] Singh S.V. On a new class of loops and loop rings, PhD thesis, IIT Madras, (1994).
- [4] VASANTHA KANDASAMY, W.B, Smarandache Zero divisors, (2001) http://www.gallup.unm.edu/smarandache/Zero-divisor.pdf.