

On new classes of neutrosophic continuous and contra mappings in neutrosophic topological spaces

N. M. Ali Abbas^a, Shuker Mahmood Khalil^{b,*}

^aMinistry of Education, Directorate General of Education, Baghdad, Al-Kark, 3, Baghdad, Iraq

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, Basrah University, Basrah, Iraq

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to investigate some new types of neutrosophic continuous mappings like, neutrosophic α^* -continuous mapping ($N\alpha^* - CM$), neutrosophic irresolute α^* -continuous mapping ($NI\alpha^* - CM$), and neutrosophic strongly α^* -continuous mapping ($NS\alpha^* - CM$) are given and some of their properties are studied. Moreover, new kind of neutrosophic contra continuous mappings is investigated in this work, it is called neutrosophic contra α^* -continuous mapping ($NC\alpha^* - CM$).

Keywords: neutrosophic sets, neutrosophic topological space, neutrosophic α -open sets, neutrosophic α^* -open set.

1. Introduction

In 1998, the connotation of Contra continuity is investigated by Dontchev [6]. Also, the connotation of α^* -open set ($\alpha^* - OS$) is shown [7]. The idea of neutrosophic sets is presented by Smarandache [35], in 2014, the connotations of "neutrosophic closed set" and "neutrosophic continuous function" are given. the neutrosophic set is studied in topology, algebra and other fields. It is one of the non-classical sets, such as soft set, fuzzy sets, nano set, permutation sets and so on, see [1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 36]. In this research, we introduce a new types of neutrosophic mappings, they are said neutrosophic α^* -continuous and neutrosophic contra α^* -continuous mappings. Next, we studied and discussed their basic properties.

2. Preliminaries

Here basic definitions and notations, which are used in this section are referred from the references [2, 5, 9, 32, 34].

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: mali.nadia@yahoo.com (N. M. Ali Abbas), shuker.alsalem@gmail.com (Shuker Mahmood Khalil)

Definition 2.1. Assume that $\Psi \neq \emptyset$. A neutrosophic set (NS) θ is defined as

$$\theta = \langle \alpha, \partial_{\varpi}(\alpha), \omega_{\theta}(\alpha), \ell_{\theta}(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Psi \rangle,$$

where $\partial_{\varpi}(\alpha)$ is the degree of membership, $\omega_{\theta}(\alpha)$ is the degree of indeterminacy and $\ell_{\theta}(\alpha)$ is the degree of nonmembership, for all $\alpha \in \Psi$.

Definition 2.2. We say (Ψ, τ) is a neutrosophic topological space (NTS) if and only if τ is a collection of (NSs) in Ψ and it such that:

- (1) $1_N, 0_N \in \tau$, where $0_N = \{\langle \alpha, (0, 1, 1) \rangle : \alpha \in \Psi\}$ and $1_N = \{\langle \alpha, (1, 0, 0) \rangle : \alpha \in \Psi\}$,
- (2) $A \cap \beta \in \tau$ for any $\theta, \beta \in \tau$,
- (3) $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{A_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \tau$.

Moreover, any $A \in \tau$ is called neutrosophic open set (NOS) and we say neutrosophic closed set (NCS) for its complement.

Definition 2.3. Assume A is a neutrosophic set in (NTS) X .

- (i) The neutrosophic closure (resp., neutrosophic α -closure) of A is the intersection of all neutrosophic closed (resp., neutrosophic α -closed) sets containing A and is denoted by $Ncl(A)$ (resp., $Ncl_{\alpha}(A)$).
- (ii) The neutrosophic interior (resp., neutrosophic α -interior) of A is the union of all neutrosophic open (resp., neutrosophic α -open) sets are contained in A and is denoted by $Nint(A)$ (resp., $Nint_{\alpha}(A)$), where A is neutrosophic α -open set ($N\alpha - OS$) (resp., neutrosophic semi α -open set ($NSe \alpha - OS$), neutrosophic α^* -open set ($N\alpha^* - OS$) if $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$ (resp., $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(Ncl(Nint(A))))$) or equivalently $A \subseteq Ncl(Nint(A))$, $A \subseteq Nint_{\alpha}(Ncl(Nint_{\alpha}(A)))$. Also, their complement are called neutrosophic α -closed set ($N\alpha - CS$) (resp., neutrosophic semi α -closed set ($NSe\alpha - CS$), neutrosophic α^* - closed set ($N\alpha^* - CS$).

The symbols of the above neutrosophic sets and their complements are referred as $N\alpha - O(X)$ (resp., $NSe \alpha - O(X)$, $N\alpha^* - O(X)$), $N\alpha - C(X)$ (resp., $SNe \alpha - C(X)$, $N\alpha^* - C(X)$).

Proposition 2.4. (1) If A is ($N\alpha^* - OS$) and B is (NOS), then $A \cap B$ is ($N\alpha^* - OS$).

(2) If $\{G_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$ is a collection of ($N\alpha^* - OS$ s), then their union is also ($N\alpha^* - OS$ s).

Theorem 2.5. Assume that X_1 and X_2 are two neutrosophic topological spaces (NTSs), $A_1 \subseteq X_1$ and $A_2 \subseteq X_2$. Then A_1 and A_2 are ($N\alpha^* - OS$ s) (resp., ($N\alpha^* - CS$ s)) in X_1 and X_2 , respectively if and only if $A_1 \times A_2$ is ($N\alpha^* - OS$) (resp., ($N\alpha^* - CS$)) in $X_1 \times X_2$.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that W is a subspace of Z satisfies $G \subseteq W \subseteq Z$. The following assertions hold.

(i) If $G \in N\alpha^* - O(Z)$, then $G \in N\alpha^* - O(W)$.

(ii) If $G \in N\alpha^* - O(W)$, then $G \in N\alpha^* - O(Z)$, where W is a neutrosophic closed subspace of Z .

Proposition 2.7. (1) Every (NOS) (resp., $N\alpha$ -open, Ncl -open) set is ($N\alpha^* - OS$).

(2) Every $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ is $(NS\alpha - OS)$.

Definition 2.8. A (NTS) X is called a

- (i) neutrosophic ultra- T_2 (N -ultra- T_2) if for any $t \neq h \in Z$, there are two disjoint neutrosophic closed sets (NDCSs) T, H satisfy $t \in T, h \in H$.
- (ii) neutrosophic ultra normal, if for all neutrosophic closed sets (NCSs) T, F with $T \neq \emptyset \neq F$ and $T \cap F = \emptyset$, there are two (NCSs) D, H with $D \cap H = \emptyset$ and $T \subseteq D, F \subseteq H$.
- (ii) neutrosophic strongly closed if for any homely of (NCSs) that form a cover of X has a finite sub-homely that form a cover of X , too.

3. The new types of neutrosophic α^* -continuity

The new types of neutrosophic α^* -continuity like; neutrosophic irresolute α^* -continuous mapping $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$, neutrosophic stronger α^* -continuous mapping $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$ and neutrosophic contra α^* -continuous mapping $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ in this work are given. Furthermore, their relationships for these our notions are shown.

Definition 3.1. Assume that W_1 and W_2 are NTSs and $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is any map from W_1 into W_2 . We say h is a neutrosophic α^* -continuous mapping $(N\alpha^* - CM)$ (resp., neutrosophic irresolute α^* -continuous mapping $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$, neutrosophic stronger α^* -continuous mapping $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$ mapping if for each G (NOS) (resp. $N\alpha^* - OS$) in W_2 , then $h^{-1}(G)$ is $N\alpha^* - OS$ (resp., (NOS)) in W_1 .

Lemma 3.2. (1) Every $(N\alpha^* - CM)$ is $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$.

(2) Every $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$ is $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$.

Proof . It follows from Proposition 2.7. \square

Theorem 3.3. Assume that W_1 and W_2 are NTSs and $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$.

- (i) If h is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$, then $h|_G : G \rightarrow W_2$ is also, where G is (NOS) of W_1 .
- (ii) If h is $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$, then $h|_G : G \rightarrow W_2$ is also, where G is (NOS) of W_1 .
- (iii) If h is $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$, then $h|_G : G \rightarrow W_2$ is also, where G is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ of W_1 .

Proof . (i) Assume B is an (NOS) in W_2 , since h is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$, $h^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 , since G is (NOS) in W_1 . Hence, by Proposition 2.4, we have $h^{-1}(B) \cap G$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 , but $(h|_G)^{-1}(B) = h^{-1}(B) \cap G$, thus by Theorem 2.6, $(h|_G)^{-1}(B)$ is $N\alpha^* -$ open in G .

(ii) and (iii) are similar to (i). \square

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is any mapping and $W_1 = T \cup H$, where T, H are disjoint neutrosophic sets in W_1 . Then,

- (i) h is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$ if and only if $h|_T$ and $h|_H$ are also, where T and H are neutrosophic open sets.

(ii) h is $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$ if and only if $h|_T$ and $h|_H$ are also, where T and H are neutrosophic open sets.

(iii) h is $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$ if and only if $h|_T$ and $h|_H$ are also, where T, H are neutrosophic α^* -open sets.

Proof . (i) Suppose that G is (NOS) in W_2 , since $h|_T$ and $h|_H$ are $(N\alpha^* - CM)$, $(h|_T)^{-1}(G)$ and $(h|_H)^{-1}(G)$ are $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 . So, their union is also, see Proposition 2.4. However, $h^{-1}(G) = (h|_T)^{-1}(G) \cup (h|_H)^{-1}(G)$ and hence $h^{-1}(G)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 . Thus h is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$. Sufficiency, follows by using Theorem 3.3. The proofs of (i) and (iii) are the same way of proof (i). \square

Theorem 3.5. Suppose $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is any mapping and $h_T : h^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ is defined as $h_T(t) = h(t)$, for any neutrosophic set T in W_2 and $t \in h^{-1}(T)$.

(i) If h is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$, then h_T is also, where T is (NOS) in W_2 .

(ii) If h is $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$ (resp., $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$), then h_T is also, where T is neutrosophic closed set (NCS) in W_2 .

Proof . We shall prove the second case. The first case is similar to (ii). Suppose that B is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in T . Since T is (NCS) in W_2 , B is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_2 , see Theorem 2.6(ii). Also, since h is $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$ (resp., $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$), $h^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ (resp., (NOS)) in W_1 . Therefore, $h^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ (resp., (NOS)) in $h^{-1}(T)$, see Theorem 2.6(i). \square

Theorem 3.6. Suppose that X_1, X_2, X_3 are three (NTSs) $L : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $X_2 \subseteq X_3$. If $L : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$ (resp., $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$, $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$), then $L : X_1 \rightarrow X_3$ is also.

Proof . Assume that A is (NOS) (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$) in X_3 , then A is (NOS) (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$) in X_2 , see Theorem 2.6(i) and hence $L^{-1}(A)$ is a neutrosophic α^* -open set $(N\alpha^* - OS, \text{neutrosophic open})$ in X_1 . Now, we recall that the set $\{(x, L(x)), x \in X\} \subseteq X \times Y$ is called the neutrosophic graph of the mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ and is denoted by $NG(L)$. \square

Theorem 3.7. Suppose that W_1 and W_2 are two (NTSs), $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is any mapping and $L : W_1 \rightarrow W_1 \times W_2$ is a neutrosophic graph mapping of h defined by $L(t) = (t, h(t))$, for all $t \in W_1$. If L is $(N\alpha^* - CM)$ (resp., $(NI\alpha^* - CM)$, $(NS\alpha^* - CM)$), then h is also.

Proof . Assume that K is (NOS) (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$) in W_2 . Since W_1 is (NOS) (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$) in any NTS), $W_1 \times K$ is (NOS) (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$) in $W_1 \times W_2$, see Theorem 2.5. Therefore, $L^{-1}(W_1 \times K) = h^{-1}(K)$ is a neutrosophic α^* -open (resp., $(N\alpha^* - OS)$, (NOS)) in W_1 . Hence, the proof is complete. \square

4. Neutrosophic contra α^* -continuity:

In this section, we define a new type of neutrosophic α^* -continuity that we call it a neutrosophic contra α^* -continuous mapping $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ and several propositions related to this new notion are investigated.

Definition 4.1. Assume that W_1 and W_2 are two (NTSs) and $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is a mapping, then h is called a neutrosophic contra α^* -continuous mapping $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$. If $h^{-1}(K)$ is $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in W_1 , for any (NOS) K in W_2 .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ be a mapping. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) h is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$,
- (ii) for each $t \in W_1$ and each (NCS) K in W_2 containing $h(t)$, there exists $(N\alpha^* - OS) B$ in W_1 , such that $t \in B, h(B) \subseteq K$,
- (iii) for every (NCS) K of $W_2, h^{-1}(K)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ of W_1 .

Proof . (i) \rightarrow (ii) Assume that $t \in W_1$, and K is any (NCS) in W_2 , then K^c is (NOS) in W_2 . Thus $h^{-1}(K^c)$ is $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in W_1 , but $h^{-1}(K^c) = [h^{-1}(K)]^c$. Hence $h^{-1}(K)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 , and $t \in h^{-1}(K)$. Put $B = h^{-1}(K)$, thus $h(B) \subseteq K$.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) Assume that K is a neutrosophic closed set in W_2 and $t \in h^{-1}(K)$, then $h(t) \in K$ and hence there exists $(N\alpha^* - OS) B$ containing $t, h(B) \subseteq K$, thus $t \in B = h^{-1}(K)$. So $h^{-1}(K) = \cup \{B_t \mid t \in h^{-1}(K)\}$. Hence by Proposition 2.4(1), we get $h^{-1}(K)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in W_1 .

(iii) \rightarrow (i) Obviously holds. \square

Theorem 4.3. *The restriction L_A of $(NC\alpha^* - CM) L : X \rightarrow Y$ to $(N\alpha^* - CS) A \subseteq X$ is also $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$.*

Proof . Assume that B is (NOS) in Y , thus $L^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in X . Since A is $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in $X, L^{-1}(B) \cap A$ is also $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in X and hence it is also $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in A , see Theorem 2.6(i), but $(L|_A)^{-1}(B) = L^{-1}(B) \cap A$, hence the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.4. *If $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$, then $L_A : L^{-1}(A) \rightarrow A$ is also, where A is (NCS) in Y .*

Proof . Assume that B is (NCS) in A . Since A is (NCS) in Y, B is (NCS) in Y . Then $L^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in X . Since $L^{-1}(B) \subseteq L^{-1}(A) \subseteq X, L^{-1}(B)$ is $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in $L^{-1}(A)$, see Theorem 2.6(i). \square

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that X and Y are two (NTSs), $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping and $X = A \cup B$, where A, B are disjoint $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ s in X . Then $L|_A$ and $L|_B$ are $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ s if and only if L is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$.*

Proof . Necessity follows by using Theorem 4.3. Assume that G is (NCS) in Y . Since $L|_A$ and $L|_B$ are $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ s, $(L|_A)^{-1}(G)$ and $(L|_B)^{-1}(G)$ are $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ in X . So, their union is also, see Proposition 2.4. But $L^{-1}(G) = (L|_A)^{-1}(G) \cup (L|_B)^{-1}(G)$ and hence the proof is complete. \square

Definition 4.6. *An (NTS) W is called:*

- (i) an $N - \alpha^* T_2$ (resp., N -ultra- $\alpha^* T_2$) space if, for each $t \neq d \in W$, there exist two disjoint $(N\alpha^* - OS)$ s (resp., $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ s) T, D satisfy $t \in T, d \in D$.
- (ii) an $N - \alpha^*$ -ultra normal space if for each pair nonempty (NDCSs) can be separated by disjoint $N\alpha^*$ -clopen).
- (iii) a neutrosophic α^* -compact space ($N\alpha^*C$ -space) if for each $N\alpha^*$ -open cover of W has a finite subcover.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is injective $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ and W_2 is $N - T_2$ - space. Then W_1 is N -ultra- $\alpha \cdot T_2$ space.*

Proof . Assume that $t \neq d \in W_1$. Since h is injective, $h(t) \neq h(d)$ in W_2 and since W_2 is $N - T_2$ - space, there exist two (NDOSSs) T, D satisfy $h(t) \in T, h(d) \in D$. Since h is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$, $h^{-1}(T), h^{-1}(D)$ are $(N\alpha^* - CS)$ in W_1 containing t, d and $h^{-1}(T) \cap h^{-1}(D) = \varphi = h^{-1}(T \cap D)$. Hence W_1 is N -ultra- $\alpha \cdot T_2$ space. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is injective $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ and Y is an N -ultra T_2 -space. Then X is an $N - \alpha^* T_2$ space.*

Proof . Take $x \neq y$ in X . Since L is injective, $f(x) \neq f(y)$ in Y . Since Y is an N -ultra T_2 - space, there exist two (NDCSSs) A, B satisfy $L(x) \in A, L(y) \in B$. Moreover, from L is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$, we have $L^{-1}(A), L^{-1}(B)$ are $(N\alpha^* - OSS)$ in X containing x, y and $L^{-1}(A) \cap L^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$. Then X is an $N - \alpha^* T_2$ space. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is a neutrosophic closed injective $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ and W_2 is a neutrosophic ultra normal space. Then W_1 is $N - \alpha^*$ - is an ultra normal space.*

Proof . Assume that A_1, A_2 are two (NCSs) in W_1 with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \varphi$. Since h is a neutrosophic closed mapping, $h(A_1), h(A_2)$ are (NCSs) in W_2 . Since, W_2 is a neutrosophic ultra normal space, there exist two disjoint neutrosophic clopen sets B_1, B_2 in W_2 satisfy $h(A_1) \subseteq B_1, h(A_2) \subseteq B_2$. Hence $A_1 \subseteq h^{-1}(B_1), A_2 \subseteq h^{-1}(B_2)$. From injectivity of h , we get $h^{-1}(B_1), h^{-1}(B_2)$ are disjoint neutrosophic α^* -clopen sets. Thus W_1 is a neutrosophic α^* -ultra normal space. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose that $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ is a neutrosophic closed surjective $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$ and W_1 is $(N\alpha^*C - space)$. Then W_2 is a neutrosophic strongly closed space.*

Proof . Assume that $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ is any neutrosophic closed cover of W_2 . Since h is $(NC\alpha^* - CM)$, $\{h^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a neutrosophic α^* -open cover of W_1 , but W_1 is $(N\alpha^*C - space)$, thus W_1 has finite subcover. This means that $W_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} h^{-1}(V_i)$, where $I_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since h is neutrosophic surjective, we have

$$h(W_1) = h \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n h^{-1}(V_i) \right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n h h^{-1}(V_i).$$

Hence, $W_2 = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} V_i$. Thus W_2 is a neutrosophic strongly closed space. \square

References

- [1] S. A. Abdul-Ghani, S. M. Khalil, M. Abd Ulrazaq and A. F. Al-Musawi, *New branch of intuitionistic fuzzification in algebras with their applications*, Inte J. Math. Math. Sci. (2018), Article ID 5712676, 6 pages.
- [2] N. M. Ali Abbas, S. M. Khalil and M. Vigneshwaran, *The neutrosophic strongly open maps in neutrosophic bi-topological spaces*, J. Interdis. Math. to appear.
- [3] N. M. Ali Abbas and S. M. Khalil, *On α^* -open sets in topological spaces*, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 571 (2019), 012021.
- [4] A. M. Al Musawi, S. M. Khalil, M. A. Ulrazaq, *Soft (1,2)-strongly open maps in bi-topological spaces*, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 571 (2019), 012002.
- [5] K. Damodharan, M. Vigneshwaran and S. M. Khalil, *$N_{\delta * g \alpha}$ -continuous and irresolute functions in neutrosophic topological spaces*, Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 38(1) (2020), 439-452.
- [6] J. Dontchev, *Survey on preopen sets*, Proc. Yatsushiro Topological Conference, (1998), 1-8.
- [7] M. A. Hasan, N. M. Ali Abbas and S. M. Khalil, *On soft α^* -open sets and sSoft contra α^* -continuous mappings in soft topological spaces*, J. Interdis. Math., to appear.
- [8] M. A. Hasan, S. M. Khalil, and N. M. A. Abbas, *Characteristics of the soft-(1, 2)-gprw closed sets in soft bi-topological spaces*, Conference, IT-ELA 2020, 9253110, (2020), 103-108.

- [9] Q. H. Imran, F Smarandache, R. K. Al-Hamido and R. Dhavaseelan, *On neutrosophic semi alpha open sets*, Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 18 (2017), 37-42.
- [10] S. M. Khalil, *Decision making using algebraic operations on soft effect matrix as new category of similarity measures and study their application in medical diagnosis problems*, J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst. 37 (2019), 1865-1877.
- [11] S. M. Khalil, *Decision making using new category of similarity measures and study their applications in medical diagnosis problems*, Afr. Mat. (2021). DOI-10.1007/s13370-020-00866-2
- [12] S. M. Khalil, *Dissimilarity fuzzy soft points and their applications*, Fuzzy Info. Engin. 8(3) (2016), 281-294.
- [13] S. M. Khalil, *Enoch Suleiman and Modhar M. Torki, generated new classes of permutation I/B-algebras*, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Crypt. to appear, (2021).
- [14] S. M. Khalil, *New category of the fuzzy d-algebras*, J. Taibah Univer. Sci. 12(2) (2018), 143-149.
- [15] S. M. Khalil, *Soft regular generalized b-closed sets in soft topological spaces*, J. Linear Topo. Alg. 3(4) (2014), 195-204.
- [16] S. M. Khalil, *The permutation topological spaces and their bases*, Basrah J. Sci. (A) 32(1) (2014), 28-42.
- [17] S. M. Khalil and N. M. A. Abbas, *On nano with their applications in medical field*, AIP Conference Proceedings 2290, 040002 (2020).
- [18] S. M. Khalil and N. M. A. Abbas, *Characteristics of the number of conjugacy classes and P-regular classes in finite symmetric groups*, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 571 (2019) 012007.
- [19] S. M. Khalil and N. M. Abbas, *Applications on new category of the symmetric groups*, AIP Conference Proceedings 2290, 040004 (2020).
- [20] S. M. Khalil, S. A. Abdul-Ghani, *Soft M-ideals and soft S-ideals in soft S-algebras*, IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys. 1234 (2019) 012100.
- [21] [22] S. M. Khalil and M. Abud Alradha, *Soft edge ρ -algebras of the power sets*, Inter. J. Appl. Fuzzy Sets Artif. Intel. 7 (2017), 231-243.
- [22] S. M. Khalil and F. Hameed, *An algorithm for the generating permutation algebras using soft spaces*, J. Taibah Univer. Sci. 12(3) (2018), 299-308.
- [23] S. M. Khalil and F. Hameed, *Applications on cyclic soft symmetric groups*, IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys. 1530 (2020) 012046.
- [24] S. M. Khalil, F. Hameed, *Applications of fuzzy ρ -ideals in ρ -algebras*, Soft Comput. 24(18) (2020), 13997- 14004.
- [25] S. M. Khalil and F. Hameed, *An algorithm for generating permutations in symmetric groups using soft spaces with general study and basic properties of permutations spaces*, J. Theor. Appl. Inform. Technol. 96(9) (2018), 2445-2457.
- [26] S. M. Khalil and M. H. Hasab, *Decision making using new distances of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and study their application in the universities*, INFUS, Adv. Intel. Syst. Comput. 1197 (2020), 390-396.
- [27] S. M. Khalil, and A. N. Hassan, *New class of algebraic fuzzy systems using cubic soft sets with their applications*, IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 928 (2020) 042019.
- [28] S. M. Khalil and A. Hassan, *Applications of fuzzy soft ρ -ideals in ρ -algebras*, Fuzzy Inf. Engin., 10(4) (2018), 467-475.
- [29] S. M. Khalil and A. Rajah, *Solving the class equation $x^d = \beta$ in an alternating group for each $\beta \in H \cap C^\alpha$ and $n \notin \theta$* , J. Assoc. Arab Univer. Basic and Appl. Sci. 10 (2011), 42-50.
- [30] S. M. Khalil and A. Rajah, *Solving class equation $x^d = \beta$ in an alternating group for all $n \in \theta$ & $\beta \in H_n \cap C^\alpha$* , J. Assoc. Arab Univer. Basic and Appl. Sci. 16 (2014), 38-45.
- [31] S. M. Khalil, M. Ulrazaq, S. Abdul-Ghani and A. F. Al-Musawi, *σ -algebra and σ -Baire in fuzzy soft setting*, Adv. Fuzzy Syst. (2018), Article ID 5731682, 10 pages.
- [32] A. R. Nivetha, M. Vigneshwaran, N. M. Ali Abbas and S. M. Khalil, *On $N_{*g\alpha}$ -continuous in topological spaces of neutrosophy*, J. Interdis. Math. to appear (2021).
- [33] S. M. Saied and S. M. Khalil, *Gamma ideal extension in gamma systems*, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Crypt. to appear (2021).
- [34] A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache and K. Valeri, *Neutrosophic closed set and neutrosophic continuous functions*, Neutrosophic Sets Syst. 4 (2014), 4-8.
- [35] F. Smarandache, *A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logic. neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic probability*, American Research Press: Rehoboth, NM, USA, 1999.
- [36] M. M. Torki and S. M. Khalil, *New types of finite groups and generated algorithm to determine the integer factorization by excel*, AIP Conference Proceedings 2290, 040020 (2020).