

# K-Divisibility and K-Strong Divisibility Sequences

Florentin Smarandache, Ph D  
 Associate Professor  
 Chair of Department of Math & Sciences  
 University of New Mexico  
 200 College Road  
 Gallup, NM 87301, USA  
 E-mail: smarand@unm.edu

A sequence of rational integers  $g$  is called a **divisibility sequence** if and only if

$$n \mid m \Rightarrow g(n) \mid g(m)$$

for all positive integers  $n, m$ . [See [3] and [4]].

Also,  $g$  is called a **strong divisibility sequence** if and only if

$$(g(n), g(m)) = g((n, m))$$

for all positive integers  $n, m$ . [See [1], [2], [3], [4], and [5]].

Of course, it is easy to show that the results of the Smarandache function  $S(n)$  is neither a divisibility nor a strong divisibility sequence, because  $4 \mid 20$  but  $S(4) = 4$  does not divide  $5 = S(20)$ , and  $(S(4), S(20)) = (4, 5) = 1 \neq 4 = S(4) = S((4, 20))$ .

a) However, is there an infinite subsequence of integers  $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$  such that  $S$  is a divisibility sequence on  $M$  ?

b) If  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  is the set of prime numbers, the  $S$  is not a strong divisibility sequence on  $P$ , because for  $i \neq j$  we have

$$(S(p_i), S(p_j)) = (p_i, p_j) = 1 \neq 0 = S(1) = S((p_i, p_j)).$$

And the same question can be asked about  $P$  as it was asked in part a).

We introduce the following two notions, which are generalizations of a “divisibility sequence” and “strong divisibility sequence” respectively.

1) A  $k$ -divisibility sequence, where  $k \geq 1$  is an integer, is defined in the following way:

If

$$n \mid m \Rightarrow g(n) \mid g(m) \Rightarrow g(g(n)) \mid g(g(m)) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \underbrace{g(\dots(g(n))\dots)}_{k \text{ times}} \mid \underbrace{g(\dots(g(m))\dots)}_{k \text{ times}}$$

for all positive integers  $n, m$ .

For example,  $g(n) = n!$  is a  $k$ -divisibility sequence.

Also, any constant sequence is a  $k$ -divisibility sequence.

2) A  $k$ -strong divisibility sequence, where  $k \geq 1$  is an integer, is defined in the following way:

If  $(g(n_1), g(n_2), \dots, g(n_k)) = g((n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k))$  for all positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ .

For example,  $g(n) = 2n$  is a  $k$ -strong divisibility sequence, because

$$(2n_1, 2n_2, \dots, 2n_k) = 2 * (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = g((n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)).$$

**Remarks:** If  $g$  is a divisibility sequence and we apply its definition  $k$ -times, we obtain that  $g$  is a  $k$ -divisibility sequence for any  $k \geq 1$ . The converse is also true. If  $g$  is  $k$ -strong divisibility sequence,  $k \geq 2$ , then  $g$  is a strong divisibility sequence. This can be seen by taking the definition of a  $k$ -strong divisibility sequence and replacing  $n$  by  $n_1$  and all  $n_2, \dots, n_k$  by  $m$  to obtain

$$(g(n), g(m), \dots, g(m)) = g((n, m, \dots, m)) \text{ or } (g(n), g(m)) = g((n, m)).$$

The converse is also true, as

$$(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = ((\dots((n_1, n_2), n_3), \dots), n_k).$$

Therefore, we found that:

a) The divisibility sequence notion is equivalent to a  $k$ -divisibility sequence, or a generalization of a notion is equivalent to itself.

Is there any paradox or dilemma?

b) The strong divisibility sequence is equivalent to the  $k$ -strong divisibility sequence notion

As before, a generalization of a notion is equivalent to itself.

Again, is there any paradox or dilemma?

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kimberling C. – Strong Divisibility Sequences With Nonzero Initial Term – The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 16, 1978, pp. 541-544.
- [2] Kimberling C. – Strong Divisibility Sequence and Some Conjectures – The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 17, 1979, pp. 13-17.
- [3] Ward M. – Note on Divisibility Sequences – Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 38, 1937, pp. 725-732.
- [4] Ward M. – A Note on Divisibility Sequences – Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 45, 1939, pp. 334-336.