

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Implicative Ideal of QSmarandache BH-Algebra

To cite this article: Husein Hadi Abbass and Qasim Mohsin Luhaib 2020 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **928** 042029

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

239th ECS Meeting

with the 18th International Meeting on Chemical Sensors (IMCS)

ABSTRACT DEADLINE: DECEMBER 4, 2020



May 30-June 3, 2021

SUBMIT NOW →

Q-Smarandache Fuzzy Implicative Ideal of Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra

Husein Hadi Abbass¹, Qasim Mohsin Luhaib²

¹Mathematics Department, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa Najaf, IRAQ,

²Thi-Qar General Directorate of Education, Ministry of Education, IRAQ

¹qasimmohsinluhaib@gmail.com,

²hussienh.abbas@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract

In this paper, The notions of Q-Smarandache fuzzy implicative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub implicative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra introduced, examples are given, and related properties investigated the relationships among these notions and other types of Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra are Studies.

Keywords: BCK-algebra, BH-algebra, BH-algebra, Q-Smarandache a filter of Smarandache BH-algebra.

1 Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by zadeh [1] in 1966, Y.Imai and K. Iseki introduced new notion called BCK-algebra [2] In 1991, applied it to the fundamental theory of groups. O.G. Xi [3] In 2005, Y.B.Jun introduced the notion of a Smarandache BCI-algebra, Smarandache ideal of a Smarandache BCI-algebra [4] in 2009, A.B. Saeid and A.Namdar, introduced the notion of a Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra and Q-Smarandache ideal of Q-Smarandache BCH-algebra [5] in 2013, H. H. Abbass and S. J. Mohammed introduced notions of the Smarandache BH-algebra, Q-Smarandache (ideal, closed ideal, fantastic ideal, completely closed ideal) of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra [6] In 2014, H. H. Abbass and S. A. Neamah introduced the notions the (im-



plicative, medial) BH-algebra and sub-implicative ideal of a BH-algebra[7]. in 2015, H.H.Abbass and H.K.Gatea introduced the notion Q-Smarandache implicative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra[8]. in this paper we introduce the notion of Q-Smarandache fuzzy implicative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub implicative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra. Note in this paper, X is Q-Smarandache BH-Algebra.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic concepts about a BCI-algebra, a BCK-algebra, a BCH-algebra, a BH-algebra, a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, and a Q-Smarandach ideal of a BH-algebra.

Definition 2.1. [9]. A BCI-algebra is an algebra $(X, *, 0)$, where X is a nonempty set, * is a binary operation and 0 is a constant, satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- i. $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- ii. $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
- iii. $x * x = 0$,
- iv. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

Definition 2.2. [3]. BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra satisfying the axiom: $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. [10]. A BH-algebra is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation * satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $x * x = 0, \forall x \in X$.
- ii. $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$.
- iii. $x * 0 = x, \forall x \in X$.

Remark 2.4. [10].

- i. Every BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra.
- ii. Every BCK-algebra is a BCH/ BH-algebra.

Remark 2.5. [11] Let X and Y be BH-algebras. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) \forall x, y \in X$. A homomorphism f is called a monomorphism (resp., epimorphism) if it is injective (resp., surjective). For any

homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the set $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ called the kernel of f , denoted by $\text{Ker}(f)$, and the set $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ is called the image of f , denoted by $\text{Im}(f)$. Notice that $f(0) = 0$.

Definition 2.6. [12]. BCK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be Bounded BCK-algebra satisfying the identity: $x * (y * x) = x \quad \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.7. [13] A BH-algebra X is called BH*-algebra if $(x * y) * x = 0, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.8. [6]. A Smarandache BH-algebra is defined to be a BH-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Q of X such that

i. $0 \in Q$ and $|Q| \geq 2$.

ii. Q is a BCK-algebra under the operation of X .

Definition 2.9. [8] A Q-Smarandache BH-algebra is said to be a Q-Smarandache implicative BH-algebra if it satisfies the condition, $(x * (x * y)) * (y * x) = y * (y * x) \quad \forall x, y \in Q$.

Definition 2.10. [8] A Q-Smarandache BH-algebra X is called a Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra if $x * (x * y) = y, \forall x, y \in Q$.

Definition 2.11. [6]. A nonempty subset I of X is called a Q-Smarandache ideal of X , denoted by a Q-S.I of X if it satisfies:

(J₁) $0 \in I$

(J₂) $\forall y \in I$ and $x * y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I, \forall x \in Q$.

Definition 2.12. [8]. A Q-Smarandache ideal I of X is called a Q-Smarandache implicative ideal of X , denoted by a Q-S.I.I of X if:

$(x * (y * x)) * z \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x \in I, \forall x, y \in Q$.

Definition 2.13. [8]. A nonempty subset I of X is called a Q-Smarandache P-ideal of X if satisfies (J₁) and :

(J₃) $(x * z) * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I, \forall x, z \in Q$.

Definition 2.14. [2]. A fuzzy set A in a BH-algebra X is said to be a fuzzy subalgebra of X if it satisfies: $A(x * y) \geq \min \{A(x), A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.15. [14] A fuzzy subset A of a BH-algebra X is said to be a fuzzy ideal if and only if:

(I₁) $A(0) \geq A(x), \forall x \in X$.

(I₂) $A(x) \geq \min \{A(x * y), A(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.16. [15]. A fuzzy subset A of a BH-algebra X is called a fuzzy implicative ideal of X , denoted by a F.I.I if it satisfies (I₁) and

$$(I_3) A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}, \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.17. [16]. A fuzzy subset A of a BH-algebra X is called a fuzzy sub implicative ideal of X , denoted by a F.S.I.I if it satisfies (I_1) and

$$(I_4) A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}, \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.18. [17]. Let A be a fuzzy set in, $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$, the set $A_\alpha = \{x \in X, A(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is called a level subset of A .

Note that, A_α is a subset of X in the ordinary sense.

Definition 2.19. [17].

Let X and Y be any two sets, A be any fuzzy set in X and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be any function. The set $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = y\}$, $\forall y \in Y$. The fuzzy set B in Y defined by $B(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{A(x) \mid x \in f^{-1}(y)\}; & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, $\forall y \in Y$, is called the image of A under f and is denoted by $f(A)$.

Definition 2.18. [12].

Let X and Y be any two sets $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be any function and B be any fuzzy set in $f(A)$. The fuzzy set A in X defined by: $A(x) = B(f(x)) \forall x \in X$ is called the primage of B under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$

Definition 2.21. [6]. A fuzzy subset A of X is said to be a Q-Smarandache fuzzy ideal of X , denoted by a Q-S.F.I of X :

$$(F_1) A(0) \geq A(x), \forall x \in X$$

$$(F_2) A(x) \geq \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\}, \forall x \in Q, y \in X$$

3. Main results

In this section, we introduce the concepts of a Q-Smarandache fuzzy implicative ideal and Q-Smarandache fuzzy sub implicative ideal of a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra, also we study some properties of it with examples.

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy subset A of X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy implicative ideal of X , denoted by a Q-S.F.I.I of X if it satisfies (F_1) and,

$$(F_3) A(x) \geq \min\{A(((x * (y * x)) * z)), A(z)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in Q, z \in X.$$

Example 3.2. .

Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with binary operation defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0

where $Q = \{0, 2\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by $A(0) = 0.7$, $A(1) = 0.5$ and $A(2) = 0.2$ by calculation we knew that A is Q-S.F.I.I.

Proposition 3.3. Every Q-S.F.I.I is Q-S.F.I. of X .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I.I, To prove that A is Q-S.F.I. by Definition (3.1) the condition (F_1) is satisfied. Now let $x, \in Q$ and $y \in X$. we have $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (x * x)) * y), A(y)\}$, (since A is a Q-S.F.I.I) it follows that $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (0)) * y), A(y)\}$, (since $x * x = 0, \forall x, \in Q$) implies that $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * y), A(y))\}$ (since $x * 0 = x, \forall x \in Q$). Hence A is Q-S.F.I of X .

Remark 3.4. A Q-S.F.I of X may not be a Q-S.F.I.I of X as in the following example.

Example 3.5. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	3
1	1	0	2	2
2	2	1	0	1
3	3	2	3	0

where $Q = \{0, 1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by $A(0) = A(2) = 0.5$ and $A(1) = A(3) = 0.2$ is Q-S.F.I of X but it is not a Q-S.F.I.I of X . Since if $x = 1, y = 0, z = 2$, then

$$A(1) < \min\{A((1 * (0 * 1)) * 2), A(2)\}.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X if and only if the level subset A_α is a Q-S.I.I of $X, \forall \alpha \in [0, A(0)]$, such that $A(0) = \sup_{x \in X} A(x)$.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I.I of X . To prove A_α is a Q-S.I.I of X . [it is clear that $A(0) \geq \alpha$]. So $0 \in A_\alpha$. Hence A_α satisfies I1. Now let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $((x * (y * x)) * z) \in A_\alpha$ and $z \in A_\alpha$ it follows that $A((x * (y * x)) * z) \geq \alpha$ and $A(z) \geq \alpha$ thus $\min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} \geq \alpha$. But $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X . By definition 3.1(F3)] So $A(x) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow x \in A_\alpha$. Therefore, A_α is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Conversely,

Let A_α be a Q-S.I.I. of $X, \forall \alpha \in [0, A(0)]$ and $\alpha = \sup_{x \in X} A(x)$. To prove that A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X . $0 \in A_\alpha$. [Since A_α is a Q-S.I.I. of X].

imply $A(0) \geq \alpha$ we get $A(0) \geq A(x)$. Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $\min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} = \alpha$ then $A((x * (y * x)) * z) \geq \alpha$ and $A(z) \geq \alpha$

it follows that $((x * (y * x)) * z) \in A_\alpha$ and $z \in A_\alpha$ thus $x \in A_\alpha$ [Since A_α be an Q-S.I.I of X] imply $A(x) \geq \alpha$ we get $A(x) \geq \min\{A(((x * (y * x)) * z)), A(z)\}$.

Therefore, A is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X .

Corollary 3.6.1. A fuzzy subset A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X if and only if the set X_A is an Q-S.I.I of X , where $X_A = \{x \in X \mid A(x) = A(0)\}$

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I.I of X . To prove X_A is a Q-S.I.I of X .

i .If $x = 0$ then $A(0) = A(0) \implies 0 \in X_A$

ii: Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $(x*(y*x)) * z \in X_A$ and $z \in X_A$.

follows that $A((x*(y*x))*z) = A(0)$ and $A(z) = A(0)$. we have $A(x) \geq \min \{A((x*(y*x)) * z), A(z)\} = \min \{A(0), A(0)\}$ [Since A is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X] it follows that

$A(x) \geq A(0)$ Hence $A(x) = A(0)$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I.I of $X, A(x) \geq A(0)$] we get $x \in X_A$. Therefore , X_A is a **Q-S.I.I** of X

Conversely,

Let X_A be a **Q-S.I.I** of X . To prove A is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X .

Since $X_A = A_{A(0)}$

Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X [By Theorem 3.6].

Proposition 3.7. Let A be a fuzzy subset of X defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 ; & x \in X_A \\ \alpha_2 ; & \text{otherwies,} \end{cases} \quad \text{where } \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 1] \text{ such that } \alpha_1 > \alpha_2$$

Then A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X if and only if X_A is an Q-S.I.I of X .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I.I of X . To prove X_A is an Q-S.I.I of X .

i. $A(0) = \alpha_1 \implies 0 \in X_A$ [Since $A(0) \geq A(x); \forall x \in X$. By definition 3.1(F1)].

ii Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X_A$ such that $(x * (y * x)) * z \in X_A$ and $z \in X_A$.

we obtain $A((x * (y * x)) * z) = A(0) = \alpha_1$ and $A(z) = A(0) = \alpha_1$ it follows that $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} = \alpha_1$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X ,

by definition 3.1(F_1)], Thus $A(x) = \alpha_1$ we get $x \in X_A$. Hence X_A is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Conversely, Let X_A be an Q-S.I.I of X . To prove A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X .

i . Since $0 \in X_A$, then $A(0) = \alpha_1 \Rightarrow A(0) = \alpha_1 \geq A(x)$. we get $A(0) \geq A(x)$, $\forall x \in X$.

ii . Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$. Then we have four cases:

Case 1: If $(x * (y * x)) * z \in X_A$ and $z \in X_A$. it follows that $x \in X_A$. [Since X_A is an Q-S.I.I of X]. we get $A((x * (y * x)) * z) = A(z) = A(x) = \alpha_1$. Hence $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$.

Case 2: If $(x * (y * x)) * z \in X_A$ and $z \notin X_A$ it follows that $A((x * (y * x)) * z) = \alpha_1$ and $A(z) = \alpha_2$. we get $\min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} = \alpha_2$. Hence $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$.

Case 3: If $(x * (y * x)) * z \notin X_A$ and $z \in X_A$ it follows that $\{A((x * (y * x)) * z) = \alpha_2$ and $A(z) = \alpha_1$. we get $\min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} = \alpha_2$. Hence $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$.

Case 4: If $(x * (y * x)) * z \notin X_A$ and $z \notin X_A$ it follows that $A((x * (y * x)) * z) = A(z) = \alpha_2$. we get $\min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} = \alpha_2$. Hence $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$. Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X .

Remark 3.8. Let A be a fuzzy subset of X and $w \in X$. The set $\{x \in X | A(w) \leq A(x)\}$ is denoted by $\uparrow A(w)$.

Proposition 3.9. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X and $w \in X$. If A satisfies the condition

$\forall x, y \in Q, A(x) \geq A(x * (y * x))$ (b_2). Then $\uparrow A(w)$ is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then $A(0) \geq A(x)$, $\forall x \in X$ [By Definition 2.21(F_1)].

it follows that $A(0) \geq A(w)$ [Since $w \in X$] we get $0 \in \uparrow A(w)$

Now, Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $((x * (y * x)) * z) \in \uparrow A(w)$ and $z \in \uparrow A(w)$

thus $A(w) \leq A((x * (y * x)) * z)$ and $A(w) \leq A(z)$ implies that

$A(w) \leq \min\{A(((x * (y * x)) * z)), A(z)\} \leq A(x * (y * x))$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I

of X] But $A(x * (y * x)) \leq A(x)$. [By (b_2)]. we get $A(w) \leq A(x)$. Hence $x \in \uparrow A(w)$

Therefore, $A(w)$ is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Proposition 3.10. Let $w \in X$. If A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X , then $\uparrow A(w)$ is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then $A(0) \geq A(x), \forall x \in X$ it follows that $A(0) \geq A(w)$ [Since $w \in X$]. Hence $0 \in \uparrow A(w)$. Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$ such that $(x^*(x^*y))^*$

$z \in \uparrow A(w)$ and $z \in \uparrow A(w)$ Then $A(w) \leq A((x^*(y^*x))^*z)$ and $A(w) \leq A(z)$ it follows

$A(w) \leq \min \{A((x^*(y^*x))^*z), A(z)\}$ But $\min A((x^*(y^*x))^*z), A(z) \leq A(x)$ [By Definition 2.21(F₂)] we get $A(w) \leq A(x)$. Hence $x \in \uparrow A(w)$. Therefore, $\uparrow A(w)$ is a Q-S.I.I of X .

Proposition 3.11.

Let $\{A_i / i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of Q-S.F.I.I of X . Then $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i$ is a Q-S.F.I.I of X .

Let $\{A_i / i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of Q-S.F.I.I of X

i. Let $x \in X$. Then

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(0) = \inf \{ A_i(0) | i \in \Gamma \} \geq \inf \{ A_i(x) | i \in \Gamma \} = \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$$

(ii). Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) &= \inf \{ A_i(x) | i \in \Gamma \} \geq \inf \{ \min \{ A_i((x^*(y^*x))^*z), A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \} \\ &= \min \{ \inf \{ A_i((x^*(y^*x))^*z), A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \} \\ &= \min \{ \inf \{ A_i((x^*(y^*x))^*z) | i \in \Gamma \}, \inf \{ A_i(z) \} \} \\ &= \min \{ \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) | ((x^*(y^*x))^*z) | i \in \Gamma \}, \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(z) | \alpha \in \Gamma \} \\ &\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) \geq \min \{ \{ \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i((x^*(y^*x))^*z) \}, \{ \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_i(z) \} \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$ is a Q-S.F.I.I of X .

Remark 3.12. The union of a Q-S.F.I.I of X may not be a Q-S.F.I.I of X as in The following example.

Example 3.13. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1

2	2	2	0	0	1	1
3	3	2	1	0	1	1
4	4	4	4	4	0	1
5	5	5	5	5	5	0

Where $Q=\{0,2\}$ is a BCK-algebra . The fuzzy subset A,B defined by

$$A(0) = A(1) = 0.9, A(2) = A(3) = A(4) = A(5) = 0.4 \text{ and}$$

$$B(0) = B(5) = 0.9, B(1) = B(2) = B(3) = B(4) = 0.4 \text{ are two Q-S.F.I.I, but}$$

$$A \cup B(0) = A \cup B(1) = A \cup B(5) = 0.9 \text{ and}$$

$$A \cup B(2) = A \cup B(3) = A \cup B(4) = 0.4$$

is not a Q-S.F.I.I of X, Since

$$(A \cup B)(2) = 0.4 < \min \{ (A \cup B)((2*(0*2))*5), (A \cup B)(5) \}$$

Proposition 3.14.

Let $\{A_i / i \in \Gamma\}$ be a chain of **Q-S.F.I.I** of X .Then $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$ is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X.

Proof .

Let $\{A_i | i \in \Gamma\}$ be a chain of Q-S.F.I.I of X

i: Let $x \in X$. Then

$$\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(0) = \sup \{ A_i(0) | i \in \Gamma \} \geq \sup \{ A_i(x) | i \in \Gamma \} = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$$

[Since A_i is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X , $i \in \Gamma$, by Definition 3.1(i)]

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(0) \geq \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$$

ii: Let $x, y \in Q, z \in X$. Then , we have

$$\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) = \sup \{ A_i(x) | i \in \Gamma \} \geq \sup \{ \min \{ A_i(x*(y*x)*z), A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \}$$

[Since A_i is a Q-S.F.I.I of X , $i \in \lambda$ by Definition 3.1(i)]

$$\Rightarrow = \min \{ \sup \{ A_i(x*(y*x)*z), A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \} [\text{since } A_i \text{ is a chain, } i \in \Gamma]$$

$$\Rightarrow = \min \{ \sup \{ A_i(x*(y*x)*z) | i \in \Gamma \}, \sup \{ A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow = \min \{ \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x*(y*x)*z) | i \in \Gamma \}, \{ \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(z) | i \in \Gamma \} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) \geq \min \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x^*(y^*x)^*z) \mid i \in \Gamma \right\}, \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(z) \mid i \in \Gamma \right\} \right\}$$

Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x)$ is a Q-S.F.I.I of X.

Theorem 3.15. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then A is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X if and only if A satisfies the following inequality : $\forall x, y \in Q \quad A(x) \geq A(x^*(y^*x))$ (b₂) .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I.I of X and $x, y \in Q$ then

$A(x) \geq \min\{A((x^*(y^*x))^*0), A(0)\}$ it follows that $\geq \min\{A(x^*(x^*y)), A(0)\}$ [since $x^*(y^*x)^*0 = x^*(y^*x)$] Therefore the condition (b₁) is satisfied.

Conversely,

Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then (F₁) satisfied.

Now, let $x, y \in Q$, then $A(x^*(y^*x)) \geq \min\{A((x^*(x^*y))^*z), A(z)\}$ [Since A is a Q-S.F.I of X. By (2.21)(F₂)] we have $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x^*(y^*x))^*z), A(z)\}$. Hence, A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X.

Definition 3.16. A fuzzy subset A of X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy P-ideal of X, denoted by a Q-S.F.P.I of X if satisfies (F₁) and :

$$(F_4) \quad A(x) \geq \min\{A((x^*z)^*(y^*z)), A(y)\}, \text{ for all } x, z \in Q, y \in X.$$

Example 3.17. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	0
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	2	0	1
3	3	2	2	0

where $Q = \{0, 1\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by

$A(0) = A(1) = A(2) = 0.8$ and $A(3) = 0.2$ is a Q-S.F.P.I of X.

Theorem 3.18. Every Q-S.F.P.I is a Q-S.F.I of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.P.I of X. Then(F₁) satisfied.

Now, let $x, z \in Q$ and $y \in X, z = 0$ in (F₄) we get:

$A(x) \geq \min\{A((x^*0)^*(y^*0)), A(y)\}$ [Since X is a Q-Smarandache BH-algebra $x^*0 = x$]. $A(x) \geq \min\{A(x^*y), A(y)\}$

Therefore, A is **Q-S.F.I** of X.

Theorem 3.19. Every Q-S.F.P.I is a Q-S.F.I.I of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.P.I of X. Then.(F₁) satisfied[By definition 3.16(F₁)]. And

Let $a, c, x, y \in Q$ and $d \in X$.Then

$A(a) \geq \min\{A((a * c) * (d * c)), A(d)\}$ [By (F₄)]. Put $a = x, d = 0, c = y * x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &\geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * (0 * (y * x))), A(0)\} \\ &= \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * 0), A(0)\} \text{ [Since } Q \text{ is BCK } 0 * x = 0] \\ &= \min\{A(x * (y * x)), A(0)\} \text{ [Since } Q \text{ is BCK ; } x * 0 = x] \\ &= A(x * (y * x)) \text{ [Since } A(0) \geq A(x), \forall x \in X] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a **Q-S.F.I.I** of X [by Theorem 3.15]

Remark 3.20. In the following example, we see that the converse of Theorem (3.21) may not be true in general.

Example 3.21. Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by table where $Q = \{0, 2\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A defined by

$$A(0) = 0.7, A(1) = 0.5 \text{ and } A(2) = 0.2$$

Then A is Q-S.F.I.I of X , but A is not a Q-S.F.P.I of X , since if $x = 2, y = 1, z = 2$, then $A(2) = 0.2 \leq \min\{A((2 * 2) * (1 * 2)), A(1)\} = 0.5$

Theorem 3.22. Let A be a Q-S.F.I, such that Q is a bounded BCK- algebra. Then A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X .

Proof. It's clear that $A(0) \geq A(X), \forall x \in X$

Now, let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in X$, Then

$A(x * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$, [Since A is a Q-S.F.I of X , by 2.21(F₂)] implies that $A(x) \geq \min\{A((x * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since Q is bounded BCK- algebra, by 2.6] Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X

Definition 3.23. A fuzzy subset A of X is called a Q-Smarandache fuzzy subimplicative ideal of X , denoted by (a Q-S.F.S.I.I) of X if it satisfies: (F1) and

$$(F_5) A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x))) * z), A(z)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in Q, z \in X$$

Example 3.24.

Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with binary operation "*" defined by the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	1	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

Where $Q = \{0, 2\}$ is a BCK-algebra. The fuzzy subset A is defined by

$A(0) = A(1) = 0.9$ and $A(2) = A(3) = 0.3$ it easy to check that A is Q-S.F.S .I.I of X

Proposition 3.25. Every Q-S.F.S.I.I is Q-S.F.I. of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.S.I.I. Then (F1) it is satisfied. Now let $x \in Q$ and $y \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= A(x * 0) = A(x * (x * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * x)) * (x * x)) * y), A(y)\} \\ &\quad [\text{Since A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X, by Definition 3.23 (F}_5\text{)}] \\ &= \min\{A(((x * 0) * 0) * y), A(y)\} \quad [\text{Since , } x * x = 0] \\ &= \min\{A((x * 0) * y), A(y)\} \quad [\text{Since , } x * 0 = x] \\ &= \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\} \quad [\text{Since , } x * 0 = x] \text{ Thus } A(x) \geq \min\{A(x * y), A(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.I of X .

Proposition 3.26. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X if and only if A satisfies the following inequality: $\forall x, y \in Q \ A(y * (y * x)) \geq A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x))$ (b3) .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.S.I.I. and $x, y \in Q$, Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(y * (y * x)) &\geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * 0), A(0)\} = \min\{A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)), A(0)\} \\ &\quad [\text{Since Q is BCK; } x * 0 = x] \text{ it follows that } = A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \quad [\text{Since } \\ &\quad \text{A is a Q-S.F.I of X , } A(0) \geq A(x)]. \text{ Then the condition (b4) is satisfied.} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

Let A be a Q-S.F.I. Then (F₁) satisfied , Let $x, y \in Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) &\geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} \quad [\text{Since A is a Q-} \\ &\quad \text{S.F.I of X by Definition 2.21}] \text{ By (b3) we have } A(y * (y * x)) \geq A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \\ &\text{ implies that } A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is Q-S.F.S.I.I of X.

Theorem 3.27. Let X be a Q-Smarandache implicative BH-algebra. Then every Q-S.F.I of X is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X. Then (F1) satisfied [By (2.21)] and let $x, y \in Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(y * (y * x)) &\geq \min\{A((y * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\} \quad (\text{since A is a Q-S.F.I.I) we get } \geq \\ &\min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z) \quad [\text{since } x * x = 0, \forall x \in Q] \text{ namely } A(y * (y * x)) \\ &\geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}. \quad (\text{since } x * 0 = x, \forall x \in Q]) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X.

Corollary 3.27.2. Let X be a Q-Smarandache implicative BH-algebra and A be Q-S.F.I.I of X . Then A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X .

Proof. Directly from proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.27

Proposition 3.28. Let X be a Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra and A be a Q-S.F.I

of X . Then A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X .

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.I of X . Then (F1) satisfied [By 2.21] and let $x, y \in Q$, and $z \in X$.

Then $A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$. we get

$A((y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since X is a Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra]. Hence A is a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X .

Corollary 3.28.3. Let X be an Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra and A be a Q.S.F.I.I of X . Then A is a Q.S.F.S.I.I of X .

Proof. Directly from proposition 3.3 and proposition 3.28.

Theorem 3.29. Let X be an Q-Smarandache medial BH-algebra and A be Q.S.F.S.I.I satisfies the condition $\forall x, y \in Q, A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \geq A(x * (y * x))$ (b₄). Then A is Q.S.F.I.I.

Proof. Let A be a Q-S.F.S.I.I of X . Then (F1) is satisfied

Now let $x, y \in Q$ and $z \in X$. Then By (b₄) we have $A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \geq A(x * (y * x))$.

Thus, $A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * z), A(z)\}$ [Since A is a Q-

S.F.S.I.I of X] if $z = 0$, then $A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A(((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) * 0), A(0)\}$

we obtain $A(y * (y * x)) \geq \min\{A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)), A(0)\}$ [Since Q is a BCK-

algebra, $x * 0 = x$]. It follows that $A(y * (y * x)) \geq A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x))$ By (b₄), We

have $A((x * (x * y)) * (y * x)) \geq A(x * (y * x))$. Thus $A(y * (y * x)) \geq A(x * (y * x))$, But

$A(x) = A(x * (y * x))$ [Since X is a medial, $y * (y * x) = x$]. So, $A(x) \geq A(y * (y * x))$

Hence, A is a Q-S.F.I.I of X [By 3.15(b₂)]

References

- [1] Zadeh LA. Fuzzy sets. Information and control. 1965 Jun 1;8(3):338-353..
- [2] Iséki K. On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XV. Proceedings of the Japan Academy. 1966;42(3):217-220.
- [3] Xi OG. Fuzzy BCK-algebra. Math. Japon.. 1991;36(5):935-942.
- [4] JUN YB. Smarandache BCI-algebras. Infinite Study; 2005.
- [5] Saeid AB, Namdar A. Smarandache BCH-algebras. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2009;7(11):1818-4952.
- [6] Abbass H H and Mohammed S J "On a Q-Samarandach Fuzzy Completely Closed ideal with Respect to an Element of a BH-algebra", Journal of Kerbala university, (2013)"vol. 11 no.3, pp.147-157,
- [7] Abbass HH, Neamah SA. "On Implicative ideal with Respect to an Element

- BH-algebra " First Edition, Scholar's Press, Germany,2016 ISBN:978-3659842474-4,
- [8] Abbass H H and Gatea H K "A Q- Smarandache Implicative Ideal of Q-Smarandache BH-algebra", First Edition , Scholar's Press, Germany, 2016 ISBN:978-3-659-83923-8,
- [9] Jun YB. Smarandache BCC-algebras. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. 2005;2005.
- [10] Jun YB. On BH-algebras. Sci. Math.. 1998;1:347-354.
- [11] Jun YB, Kim HS, Kondo MI. On BH-relations in BH-algebras. Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae. 2004;59(1):31-34.
- [12] Meng J, Jun YB. BCK-algebras. Kyung Moon Sa Company; 1994.
- [13] Kim EM, Ahn SS. ON FUZZY n -FOLD STRONG IDEALS OF BH-ALGEBRAS. Journal of applied mathematics & informatics. 2012;30(3_4):665-676.
- [14] Zhang Q, Roh EH, Jun YB. On fuzzy BH-algebras. J. Huanggang, Normal Univ. 2001;21(3):14-9.
- [15] Abbass HH, Saeed HM. The fuzzy closed BH-Algebra with respect to an element. Journal of Education for Pure Science. 2014;4(1):92-100.
- [16] Liu YL, Liu SY, Meng J. FSI-ideals and FSC-ideals of BCI-algebras. Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society. 2004, NO (1):167-79.
- [17] Ganesh M. Introduction to fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd. 2006.