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#### Abstract

In this paper, we have studied the problem of estimating the finite population mean when information on two auxiliary attributes are available. Some improved estimators in simple random sampling without replacement have been suggested and their properties are studied. The expressions of mean squared error's (MSE's) up to the first order of approximation are derived. An empirical study is carried out to judge the best estimator out of the suggested estimators.
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## Introduction

The role of auxiliary information in survey sampling is to increase the precision of estimators when study variable is highly correlated with auxiliary variable. But when we talk about qualitative phenomena of any object then we use auxiliary attributes instead of auxiliary variable. For example, if we talk about height of a person then sex will be a good auxiliary attribute and similarly if we talk about particular breed of cow then in this case milk produced by them will be good auxiliary variable.

Most of the times, we see that instead of one auxiliary variable we have information on two auxiliary variables e.g.; to estimate the hourly wages we can use the information on marital status and region of residence (see Gujrati and Sangeetha (2007), page-311).

In this paper, we assume that both auxiliary attributes have significant point bi-serial correlation with the study variable and there is significant phi-correlation (see Yule (1912)) between the auxiliary attributes.

Consider a sample of size n drawn by simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) from a population of size N . let $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j},}, \phi_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ denote the observations on variable $y$ and $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ respectively for the $j^{\text {th }}$ unit $(i=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots N)$. We note that $\phi_{i j}=1$, if $j^{\text {th }}$ unit possesses attribute $\phi_{i j}=0$ otherwise . Let $A_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_{i j}, a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{i j} ; i=1,2$ denotes the total number of units in the population and sample respectively, possessing attribute $\phi$. Similarly, let $P_{i}=\frac{A_{i}}{N}$ and $p_{i}=\frac{a_{i}}{n} ;(i=1,2)$ denotes the proportion of units in the population and sample respectively possessing attribute $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$.

In order to have an estimate of the study variable $y$, assuming the knowledge of the population proportion P, Naik and Gupta (1996) and Singh et al. (2007) respectively proposed following estimators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{t}_{1}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{P_{1}}{p_{1}}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{2}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{p_{2}}{P_{2}}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{3}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{P_{1}-p_{1}}{P_{1}+p_{1}}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
& t_{4}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{p_{2}-P_{2}}{p_{2}+P_{2}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The bias and MSE expression's of the estimator's $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4)$ up to the first order of approximation are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left[1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{~b}_{1}}\right]  \tag{1.5}\\
& \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{1}{4}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}\right]  \tag{1.7}\\
& \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{t}_{4}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{1}{4}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}\right]  \tag{1.8}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left(1-2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}\right)\right]  \tag{1.9}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left(1+2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}\right)\right]  \tag{1.10}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{3}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}\right)\right]  \tag{1.11}\\
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{4}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}\right)\right] \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{f}_{1}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad, \mathrm{~S}_{\phi_{\mathrm{j}}}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{ji}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y} \phi_{\mathrm{j}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\left(\phi_{\mathrm{ji}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$,
$\rho_{\mathrm{pb}_{j}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}_{j}}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{S}_{\phi_{j}}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\phi_{j}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}} ;(\mathrm{j}=1,2), \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}=\rho_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}=\rho_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}$.
$\mathrm{s}_{\phi_{1} \phi_{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\phi_{1 \mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{2 \mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$ and $\rho_{\phi}=\frac{\mathrm{s}_{\phi_{1} \phi_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}_{\phi_{1}} \mathrm{~s}_{\phi_{2}}}$ be the sample phi-covariance and phi-
correlation between $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ respectively, corresponding to the population phi-covariance
and phi-correlation $\mathrm{S}_{\phi_{1} \phi_{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\phi_{1 \mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{2 \mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\rho_{\phi}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\phi_{\phi} \phi_{2}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\phi_{1}} \mathrm{~S}_{\phi_{2}}}$.
In this paper we have proposed some improved estimators of population mean using information on two auxiliary attributes in simple random sampling without replacement. A comparative study is also carried out to compare the optimum estimators with respect to usual mean estimator with the help of numerical data.

## 2. Proposed Estimators

Following Olkin (1958), we propose an estimator $t_{1}$ as
$\mathrm{t}_{5}=\overline{\mathrm{y}}\left[\mathrm{w}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{p}_{1}}+\mathrm{w}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{p}_{2}}\right]$
where $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ are constants, such that $\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}=1$.
Consider another estimator to as
$\mathrm{t}_{6}=\left[\mathrm{K}_{61} \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{K}_{62}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)\right] \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{p}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2}}\right]$
where $\mathrm{K}_{61}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{62}$ are constants.
Following Shaoo et al. (1993), we propose another estimator $\mathrm{t}_{7}$ as
$\mathrm{t}_{7}=\overline{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{K}_{71}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{K}_{72}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$
where $\mathrm{K}_{71}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{72}$ are constants.
Bias and MSE of estimators $t_{5}, t_{6}$ and $t_{7}$ :

To obtain the bias and MSE expressions of the estimators $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=5,6,7)$ to the first degree of approximation, we define

$$
e_{0}=\frac{\bar{y}-\bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}}, e_{1}=\frac{p_{1}-P_{1}}{P_{1}}, \quad e_{2}=\frac{p_{2}-P_{2}}{P_{2}}
$$

such that, $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0 ; \mathrm{i}=0,1,2$.

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{1} C_{y}^{2}, E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=f_{1} C_{p_{1}}^{2}, E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=f_{1} C_{p_{2}}^{2}, \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=f_{1} K_{p_{1} b_{1}} C_{p_{1}}^{2}, E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=f_{1} K_{p_{2}} C_{p_{2}}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=f_{1} K_{\phi} C_{p_{2}}^{2}, \\
& K_{p_{1}}=\rho_{p_{b_{1}}} \frac{C_{y}}{C_{p_{1}}}, K_{p_{b_{2}}}=\rho_{p_{2}} \frac{C_{y}}{C_{p_{2}}}, K_{\phi}=\rho_{\phi} \frac{C_{p_{1}}}{C_{p_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressing (2.1) in terms of e's we have,
$t_{5}=\bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)\left[w_{1} \frac{P_{1}}{P_{1}\left(1+e_{1}\right)}+w_{2} \frac{P_{2}}{P_{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)}\right]$
$\mathrm{t}_{5}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\left(1+\mathrm{e}_{0}\right)\left\lfloor\mathrm{w}_{1}\left(1+\mathrm{e}_{1}\right)^{-1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}\left(1+\mathrm{e}_{2}\right)^{-1}\right\rfloor$
Expanding the right hand side of (3.1) and retaining terms up to second degrees of e's, we have,
$t_{5}=\bar{Y}\left[1+e_{0}-w_{1} e_{1}-w_{2} e_{2}+w_{1} e_{1}^{2}+w_{2} e_{2}^{2}-w_{1} e_{0} e_{1}-w_{2} e_{0} e_{2}\right]$

Taking expectations of both sides of (3.1) and then subtracting $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}$ from both sides, we get the bias of estimator $\mathrm{t}_{5}$ upto the first order of approximation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\mathrm{t}_{5}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}\left[\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}\right)+\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2), we have,
$\left(\mathrm{t}_{5}-\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Y}}\left[\mathrm{e}_{0}-\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{1}-\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{e}_{2}\right]$
Squaring both sides of (3.4) and then taking expectations, we get the MSE of $\mathrm{t}_{5}$ up to the first order of approximation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{5}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{w}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{w}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pb}}^{2} 22+2 \mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimization of (3.5) with respect to $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we get the optimum values of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1(\text { opt })}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}=\mathrm{w}_{1}^{*}(\text { say }) \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2(\text { opt })}=1-\mathrm{w}_{1(\text { opt })} \\
& =1-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}\left[1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}}\right]}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}=\mathrm{w}_{2}^{*}(\text { say })
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we get the bias and MSE expressions of estimator $\mathrm{t}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{7}$ respectively, as
$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\mathrm{t}_{6}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{61} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}\left[1+\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{8}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{b}_{2}}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{\phi}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}$
$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\mathrm{t}_{7}\right)=0$
And
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{6}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{61}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{62}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}-2 \mathrm{~K}_{61} \mathrm{~K}_{62} \mathrm{P}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{A}_{3}+\left(1-2 \mathrm{~K}_{61}\right) \overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2}$
where $A_{1}=1+f_{1}\left(C_{y}^{2}+C_{p_{2}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-K_{p_{2}}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{2}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{3}=\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And the optimum values of $\mathrm{K}_{61}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{62}$ are respectively, given as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{K}_{61(\text { opt })}= & \frac{\mathrm{A}_{2}}{\mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}-\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2}}=\mathrm{K}_{61}^{*}(\text { say }) \\
\mathrm{K}_{62 \text { (opt) }}= & \frac{\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~A}_{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}-\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2}\right)}=\mathrm{K}_{62}^{*}(\text { say }) \\
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{t}_{7}\right)= & \overline{\mathrm{Y}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{71}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{72}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~K}_{71} \mathrm{P}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~K}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{Y}} f_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2} \\
& +2 \mathrm{~K}_{71} \mathrm{~K}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

And the optimum values of $\mathrm{K}_{71}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{72}$ are respectively, given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{71(\text { opt })}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \mathrm{~K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{71}^{*}(\text { say }) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{72(\text { opt })}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{pb}} \mathrm{~K}_{\phi} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}^{2}-\mathrm{K}_{\phi}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}^{2}}\right)=\mathrm{K}_{72}^{*} \text { (say) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Empirical Study

Data: (Source: Government of Pakistan (2004))
The population consists rice cultivation areas in 73 districts of Pakistan. The variables are defined as:
$\mathrm{Y}=$ rice production (in $000^{\prime}$ tonnes, with one tonne $=0.984$ ton) during 2003,
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=$ production of farms where rice production is more than 20 tonnes during the year 2002, and
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=$ proportion of farms with rice cultivation area more than 20 hectares during the year 2003.
For this data, we have
$\mathrm{N}=73, \overline{\mathrm{Y}}=61.3, \mathrm{P}_{1}=0.4247, \mathrm{P}_{2}=0.3425, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}=12371.4, \mathrm{~S}_{\phi_{1}}^{2}=0.225490, \mathrm{~S}_{\phi_{2}}^{2}=0.228311$,
$\rho_{\mathrm{pb}_{1}}=0.621, \rho_{\mathrm{pb}_{2}}=0.673, \rho_{\phi}=0.889$.

The percent relative efficiency (PRE's) of the estimators $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ( $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots 7$ ) with respect to unusual unbiasedestimator $\bar{y}$ have been computed and given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 : PRE of the estimators with respect to $\bar{y}$

| Estimator | PRE |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{y}$ | 100.00 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | 162.7652 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ | 48.7874 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{3}$ | 131.5899 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{4}$ | 60.2812 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{5}$ | 165.8780 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{6}$ | 197.7008 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{7}$ | 183.2372 |

## Conclusion

In this paper we have proposed some improved estimators of population mean using information on two auxiliary attributes in simple random sampling without replacement. From the Table 4.1 we observe that the estimator $\mathrm{t}_{6}$ is the best followed by the estimator $\mathrm{t}_{7}$.
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